LIME ESTIMATION OF INDONESIAN ACID MINERAL SOILS AND ITS SIGNIFICANCE TO CROP PRODUCTION

DIS

63' 4'

REPOSITORY, UB. AC. ID

8.8

7/82

POSITORY.UB.ACID

INIVERSITAS BRAWIJAYA



SETI

JONO

Rept

Repository Universitas Brawijsya

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Repository Universitas AMET

Repository Universitas Brawi

FAKULTAS PASCA SARJANA INSTITUT PERTANIAN BOGOR 1982

Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya



Univers0500004

SEDSitory Universitas Brawijava

pository Universitas Brawijavi

Repository Universitas Brawijava

epository Universites

Repository Universitas BrawijayaP

Repository Universitas Brawijava 98



RINGKASAN

ository Universitas Brawijava

Repository Universitas Brawijava

SLAMET SETIJONO. Perkiraan Kebutuhan Kapur Tanah-Tanah Mineral Masam di Indonesia dan Peranannya dalam Peningkatan Produksi Tanaman (Di bawah bimbingan GOESWONO SOEPARDI sebagai Ketua, ACHMAD MUHAMAD SATARI, ANDI HAKIM NASOETION, OETIT KOSWARA dan HARI SUSENO sebagai Anggota).

Pemberian kapur pada tanah masam merupakan kunci keberhasilan dalam mencapai produksi tanaman yang baik pada tanah-tanah mineral masam. Secara luas telah diakui, bahwa pemberian kapur merupakan usaha terbaik untuk menaikkan pH tanah, meniadakan keracunan aluminium dan mengurangi pencucian hara. Pemberian kapur mempunyai ciri-ciri yang berpengaruh menguntungkan dan merugikan terhadap pertumbuhan dan produksi tanaman. Oleh karenanya, suatu tindakan pencegahan harus dilaksanakan untuk tidak memberikan kapur berlebihan. Setelah tindakan pencegahan tersebut diketahui, kiranya pengaruh yang menguntungkan akan selalu dapat dicapai.

Berkaitan dengan sasaran utama daripada pengapuran tersebut, telah dilaksanakan percobaan-percobaan di laboratorium, di rumah kaca, dan di lapang untuk meneliti berbagai aspek pemberian kapur pada tanah-tanah mineral masam dalam kaitannya dengan peningkatan produksi tanaman di Indonesia.

Tujuan pertama dari serangkaian percobaan itu adalah untuk meneliti hubungan antara pH-tanah dengan perubahan-

1

Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawija Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawija Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawija Repository Universitas Brawijaya

perubahan fisiko-kimia dan kimia tanah lainnya sebagai respons pengapuran. Duapuluh delapan contoh tanah mineral masam, berasal dari berbagai lokasi di Jawa Barat, Jawa Tengah, Sumatera Selatan, Sumatera Barat dan Kalimantan Timur, masing-masing diberi 6 taraf CaCO₃ murni. Ditambahkan air suling hingga kapasitas lapang, dimasukkan dalam kantong plastik, dan ditempatkan pada suhu kamar selama 30 hari. Selanjutnya diambil contoh tanah sebagian untuk dianalisis. Analisis laboratorium meliputi: pH_(H20; KCl), kemasaman tanah permanen, kemasaman tanah dapat ditukar, aluminium dapat ditukar (Al_{dd}), kejenunan aluminium, kapasitas tukar kation (KTK), kapasitas tukar

kation efektif (KTKE), kejenuhan basa (pH 7.0 dan efektif).

1. Kecepatan perubahan pH-tanah untuk tiap taraf $CaCO_3$ berbeda untuk masing-masing contoh tanah yang diteliti. Kurva pH-CaCO₃ menunjukkan bahwa masing-masing tanah memiliki beberapa kisaran kapasitas penyangga; makin tinggi kandungan Al_{dd} makin besar kapasitas penyangganya pada kisaran pH_(H20) 4.0 - 6.0. Dengan demikian makin banyak jumlah kapur yang dibutuhkan untuk menaikkan pH tanah hingga suatu nilai tertentu.

2. Pemberian kapur hingga pH_(H20) 5.5 akan menurunkan kemasaman permanen, kemasaman dapat ditukar, kandungan Al_{dd}, dan kejenuhan Al. Proses penurunan berlangsung secara drastik. Makin tinggi kandungan Al_{dd} makin cepat naiknya

TORY,UB.AC.ID

BRAWIJAN

REPOSITORY UB, AC ID

kandungan Al atau kejenuhan Al untuk tiap penurunan satuan pH di bawah pH_(H20) 5.5. Perbedaan akan kandungan Al_{dd} atau kejenuhan Al antara tanah-tanah mineral masam itu akan makin kecil dengan naiknya pH tanah hingga 5.5 atau lebih. Pada pH_(H20) tanah 5.5 sejumlah 92.5 persen dari kandungan Al_{dd} telah dinetralisasi.
Pemberian kapur menyebabkan bertambahnya tapak negatif netto yang aktif dalam proses jerapan. Kapasitas tukar kation dan kejenuhan basa meningkat. Kejenuhan basa yang ditetapkan dengan NH₄OAc pH 7.0 meningkat secara linear dengan meningkatnya pH tanah dalam kisaran taraf CaCO₃ yang digunakan dalam penelitian, sedangkan kejenuhan basa

92.5 persen. versitas Brawij

1

Tujuan kedua dari rangkaian penelitian yang dilaksanakan adalah untuk mendapatkan satu atau lebih metode penetapan kebutuhan kapur (KK) tanah-tanah mineral masam berdasarkan ciri-ciri tanah tertentu atau mengadakan perubahanperubahan daripada metode KK yang menggunakan larutan penyangga. Metode-metode penyangga yang diteliti adalah: (1) SMP-LR-pH_{6.0}, (2) SMP-DB-LR-pH_{6.0}, (3) Yuan-DB-LR $pH_{6.0}$. Tingkat ketelitian dari metode-metode tersebut ditetapkan terhadap nilai KK yang diturunkan dari kurva

 $pH_{(H_20)}$ - taraf CaCO₃ atau kurva $pH_{(H_20)}$ - taraf Ca(0H)₂

masing-masing pada nilai $pH_{(H_2^0)}$ 5.5 dan 6.0 setelah berakhirnya masa inkubasi 30 hari (dan 12 bulan). Kebutuhan kapur baku (reference LR test) dinyatakan sebagai $CaCO_3-LR-pH_{(H_2^0)}$ 5.5 dan $CaCO_3-LR-pH_{(H_2^0)}$ 6.0. Dari kurva pH-taraf bahan kapur telah diturunkan pula $CaCO_3-LR$ $pH_{(KC1)1}$ atau 2 dan $Ca(OH)_2-LR-pH_{(H_2^0)}$. Sumbangan ciriciri tanah terhadap KK dikaji berdasarkan analisis regresi sederhana dan berganda.

1. Bubuk CaCO₃ murni maupun bubuk Ca(OH)₂ murni sama baiknya untuk menetapkan kebutuhan kapur baku dalam rangka pengujian metode kebutuhan kapur lainnya

2. CaCO₃-LR-pH_{(H2}0)6.0 dan CaCO₃-LR-pH_{(H2}0)5.5 berkorelasi sangat nyata berturut-turut dengan CaCO₃-LR-pH_{(KCl)1} dan CaCO₃-LR-pH_{(KCl)2}

3. Metode SMP-LR-pH_{6.0} yang ditetapkan menurut Schoemaker, McLean, dan Pratt (1961) pada pH_(H20) 6.0 berkorelasi sangat nyata dengan CaCO₃-LR-pH_(H20) 6.0 maupun dengan CaCO₃-LR-pH_(H2)5.5 untuk tanah-tanah mineral masam dengan kandungan kejenuhan aluminium kurang dari tigapuluh persen. Kebutuhan kapur tanah-tanah mineral masam yang termasuk dalam kelompok ini sebaiknya didasarkan atas persamaan: (a) untuk menaikkan pH_(H20) menjadi 5.5

 $KK_{pH}(H_20)5.5$ (me/100 g) = -1.34 + 0.71 SMP-LR-pH_{6.6}

Repository (r = 0.960**)

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Repos

REPOSITORY.UB.AC.ID

POSITORY.UB.ACID

(b) untuk menaikkan pH_(H20) menjadi 6.0 KK<sub>pH_{(H2}0)6.0 (r = 0.974^{**})
4. Metode SMP-DB-LR-pH_{6.0} dan Yuan-DB-LR-pH_{6.0} kurang baik untuk digunakan dalam penetapan kebutuhan kapur tanahtanah mineral masam di Indonesia dibandingkan dengan metode
</sub>

kebutuhan kapur lain yang diuji.

Iniversitas Brawilava

5. Kandungan bahan organik tanah-tanah mineral masam dengan kejenuhan aluminium < 30 persen merupakan penyumbang paling menonjol terhadap kebutuhan kapur tanah bersangkutan, disusul oleh kemasaman dapat ditukar dan kandungan aluminium yang tidak dapat ditukar.

6. Aluminium dapat ditukar (Al_{dd}) merupakan penyumbang yang paling utama untuk tanah-tanah mineral masam dengan kejenuhan Al > 30 persen. Metode SMP-LR-pH_{6.0} kurang baik untuk menentukan kebutuhan kapur tanah yang termasuk dalam kelompok ini. Kebutuhan kapur tanah-tanah ini sebaiknya ditetapkan atas dasar persamaan:

(a) untuk menaikkan $pH_{(H_20)}$ menjadi 5.5 $KK_{pH_{(H_20)5.5}}$ (me/100 g) = 5.71 + 0.70 Al_{dd} (r = 0.971^{**}) (b) untuk menaikkan $pH_{(H_20)}$ menjadi 6.0 $KK_{pH_{(H_20)6.0}}$ (me/100 g) = 7.53 + 0.85 Al_{dd} (r = 0.974^{**})

Tujuan ketiga dari rangkaian penelitian adalah untuk mengadakan kajian tentang respons tanaman jagung (Zea mays L.)

terhadap pemberian 6 taraf CaCO3. Respons tanaman dinilai dari produksi bahan kering dan serapan hara. Enam taraf CaCO₃ yang diberikan setara dengan 0.00, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, 1.00 dan 1.25 satuan SMP-LR-pH 6.0. Jenis tanaman jagung yang dipakai adalah jagung hibrida percobaan 17x16. Tujuh macam tanah mineral masam yang dipilih dari 28 macam tanah dipakai dalam percobaan inkubasi. Bobot contoh tanah untuk tiap taraf CaCO, adalah setara dengan 1000 g kering mutlak. Setelah ditambahkan kapur, tanah diinkubasi pada kapasitas lapang selama 30 hari dan kemudian ditambahkan pupuk dasar (berbentuk larutan), dan inkubasi dilanjutkan selama 10 hari. Sebelum dipindahkan dalam pot, diambil contoh tanah untuk dianalisis di laboratorium. Hubungan antara respons tanaman dengan perubahan-perubahan ciri kimia tanah sebagai akibat pemberian CaCO3 juga diteliti. Tanaman jagung dipanen 35 hari setelah tanam, bobotnya ditetapkan setelah dikeringkan dalam oven 60° C selama 72 jam dan dianalisis kandungan haranya. Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas

ory Universitas Brawijaya Repository

1. Hasil bahan kering tanaman sangat nyata dipengaruhi oleh pemberian kapur. Respons tertinggi dicapai bila pH tanah dinaikkan hingga $pH_{(H_20)}$ 5.3 dan respons maksimum terjadi pada kisaran $pH_{(H_20)}$ antara 5.5 dan 6.0.

2. Serapan hara meningkat dengan pemberian CaCO₃ hingga taraf yang setara 0.75 SMP-LR-pH_{6.0}. Baik hasil bahan kering tanaman, maupun serapan hara menunjukkan penurunan

Rect

Universitas Brawijaya

pada taraf pemberian CaCO₃ yang menyebabkan meningkatnya pH_(H20) di atas 6.0.

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

3. Hasil bahan kering berkorelasi negatif dengan 10⁻² <u>M</u> CaCl₂-Al⁺³, Al_{dd} atau kejenuhan-Al. Penetapan nilai kritikal menurut Cate dan Nelson (1971) menghasilkan nilainilai kritikal berturut-turut: 1.00 ppm Al⁺³, 0.75 me Al⁺³/100 g tanah, dan 17.0 persen kejenuhan-Al. Masingmasing nilai kritik ini kiranya dapat dipakai sebagai "pengaman" kebutuhan kapur tanah-tanah mineral masam di Indonesia.

4. Bilamana kandungan (Ca+Mg) dapat ditukar adalah 9.0 me/ 100 g tanah atau nisbah K/ $\sqrt{(Ca+Mg)}$ tanah $\langle 15.3 \times 10^{-2}$ maka paling sedikit 80 persen produksi bahan kering tanaman jagung dapat dicapai.

5. Dengan pemberian CaCO₃ setara dengan 0.75 SMP-LR-pH_{6.0} dapat diharapkan adanya peningkatan indeks keefisienan serapan hara rata-rata sebesar 150 persen pada saat tanaman berumur 35 hari setelah tanam; khusus untuk unsur kalsium indeks keefisienan serapannya dapat meningkat hingga 800 persen.

Termasuk dalam rangkaian percobaan rumah kaca, telah pula dilaksanakan percobaan pot untuk meneliti pertumbuhan dan perkembangan akar tanaman jagung (hibrida percobaan 17 x 16) sebagai respons terhadap pemberian berbagai taraf

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

CaCO, dan kedalaman pemberian. Latosol dari Darmaga dan Podzolik dari Gajruk digunakan dalam percobaan. Contoh Vaya tanah diambil dari lapisan atas (0-30 cm) dan lapisan bawah (30-60 cm). Contoh tanah lapisan atas diberi perlaku- ya an 5 taraf CaCO3, setara dengan 0.00, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, 1.00 satuan SMP-LR-pH ; inkubasi adalah 30 hari. Kedalaman pemberian CaCO3 adalah 0-10 cm dan 0-20 cm. Pupuk dasar berupa larutan diberikan 10 hari sebelum tanah dipindahkan dalam pot, dicampur rata dengan agregat tanah setebal 0-10 cm. Contoh tanah bawah (30-60 cm) tidak diberi perlakuan kapur, dan ditempatkan dalam pot pada kedalaman 20-50 cm dan di sebelah atasnya ditempatkan contoh tanah lapisan atas yang telah diperlakukan. Tebal tanah dalam pot seluruhnya mencapai 50 cm dan tanah dalam pot dimampatkan hingga dicapai kerapatan isi 1. Selama percobaan air ditambah secukupnya. Tanaman jagung yang dipakai adalah jagung hibrida percobaan 17x16. Tanaman jagung yang ditanam 5 cm dari tepi pot dipanen pada umur 35 hari dan yang ditanam di tengah-tengah pot dipanen pada umur 45 hari. Pada salah satu sisi pot terdapat jendela kaca untuk dapat mengamati pertumbuhan akar tanaman. Versilas Brawijaya

1. Pemberian CaCO₃ sangat nyata meningkatkan hasil bahan kering umur panen 35 dan 45 hari. Hasil bahan kering pada pemberian CaCO₃ 0-20 cm lebih tinggi dibandingkan dengan pemberian 0-10 cm.

VUB.AC.ID

UNIVERSITAS RRAWIIAVA

tEPOSITORY.UB.AC.ID

REPOSITORY, UB. AC. ID

BRAWII

REPOSITORY, UB, AC, ID

UNIVERSITAS BRAWIJAY 2. Pertumbuhan dan perkembangan akar tanaman jagung pada tanah tanpa perlakuan kapur sangat terbatas pada kedalaman 5 cm. Akar-akar yang dapat menembus lapisan tanah yang tidak diberi kapur menunjukkan gejala keracunan aluminium. Nampaknya dengan intensitas pemberian air bebas ion sebanýak 500 ml tiap hari selama jangka waktu 45 hari kurang pengaruhnya terhadap gerak ion Ca⁺² ke lapisan tanah yang lebih dalam yang tidak diberikan kapur. Kandungan aluminium dapat ditukar yang relatif tinggi sangat menghambat perakaran tanaman jagung. Pemberian kapur seyogianya dilakukan sedalam mungkin untuk meniadakan "fungsi" penghalang dari aluminium di lapisan tanah sebelah dalam.

pository Universitas Brawijaya 🦳 Repository Universita

Percobaan lapang dilaksanakan untuk meneliti pengaruh pemberian kapur terhadap produksi biji jagung. Percobaan dilaksanakan pada tanah Latosol di Kebun Percobaan Darmaga dan pada tanah Podzolik di Kebun Percobaan Jonggol. Enam taraf pemberian kapur untuk percobaan di Darmaga, setara dengan 0.125, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, 1.00, dan 1.25 satuan SMP-LR-pH_{6.0}, dan bahan kapur yang diberikan adalah batuan kapur kalsitik berukuran 80 mesh dengan nilai CaCO₃ setara sebesar 98 persen. Kapur diberikan sedalam 15 cm, dua minggu sebelum tanam. Pupuk dasar yang terdiri atas 100 kg N, 150 kg P, 150 kg K, dan 45 kg Mg/Ha untuk petak seluas 7.5 cm x 5.0 cm dengan disebar rata dan dicampur dengan tanah sedalam <u>+</u> 15 cm dua hari sebelum tanam. Varietas REPOSITORY, UB. AC. ID

REPOSITORY.UB.AC.ID

ditanam 20 cm dalam barisan dan 100 cm antara barisan, di mana pada umur 30 hari diperjarang hingga satu tanaman per lobang, atau setara dengan kerapatan 50 ribu tanaman per hektarnya. Satu hari setelah diperjarang, sisa pupuk N yang setara dengan 100 kg N/Ha diberikan menurut larikan sejauh 15 cm dari batang tanaman dan kedalaman + 5 cm. Selama percobaan dikerjakan pemberantasan hama dan penyakit dan khusus untuk pencegahan penyakit bulai diadakan perlakuan biji dengan redomil sebelum biji ditanam. Rancangan percobaan adalah rancangan acak kelompok (RBD) dengan 8 ulangan. Percobaan faktorial dilaksanakan pada tanah Podzolik di Jonggol. Perlakuan anak petak adalah 6 taraf pemberian kapur: 0.00, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, 1.00, dan 1.25 satuan SMP-LR-pH ... Perlakuan utama adalah 3 taraf pemberian pupuk TSP: 75 kg P, 150 kg P dan 225 kg P/Ha. Rancangan percobaan adalah rancangan petak terbagi dengan 3 ulangan. Macam pupuk dasar yang diberikan 100 kg N, 150 kg K/Ha. Luas anak petak 7.0 cm x 5.0 cm dan jarak tanam 100 cm antara barisan dan 20 cm dalam barisan; jumlah tanaman setara dengan 50 ribu tanaman per hektar. Lain-lain pekerjaan adalah sama dengan apa yang dikerjakan pada Latosol di Darmaga. Tanaman jagung di Darmaga dipanen pada umur 96 hari dan pada Podzolik di Jonggol pada umur 105 hari setelah tanam.

Percobaan lapang pada Latosol di Darmaga dan pada tanah Podzolik di Jonggol menunjukkan bahwa respons hasil jagung pipilan kering terhadap pemberian kapur adalah kuadratik. Hasil maksimum di dua lokasi berturutan dicapai dengan taraf pemberian setara 0.75 dan 0.50 kali jumlah kapur yang ditetapkan dengan uji KK menurut metode SMP-LR-PH_{6.0}. Ciri kuadratik daripada respons hasil jagung pipilan kering tersebut adalah selaras dengan respons hasil bahan kering, serapan hara, dan indeks efisiensi serapan hara terhadap taraf pemberian kapur yang sama pada percobaan di rumah kaca. Dengan demikian, sasaran pokok daripada pemberian kapur pada tanah-tanah mineral masam di Indonesia adalah untuk meniadakan keracunan aluminium, sebab aluminium dapat ditukar dalam tanah-tanah tersebut merupakan sumber kemasaman tanah yang utama.

pository Universitas Brawsaran-saran sitory Universitas Brawijaya

Tanah-tanah mineral masam diperkirakan meliputi 55.6 juta hektar dengan tingkat kesuburannya adalah rendah hingga sangat rendah. Sebagian besar dicirikan oleh kandungan aluminium dapat ditukar yang tinggi sehingga meracun tanaman. Masukan pupuk saja belum dapat menjamin berhasilnya usaha pertanian tanaman pangan pada tanah-tanah masam tersebut. Oleh karena itu, untuk mensukseskan program pertanian tanaman pangan pada tanah-tanah mineral masam di Indonesia, pemberian kapur seyogianya dapat direalisasi secepatnya. Masukan kapur akan memperbaiki tingkat kesuburan

> PER®USTAKAPN Universitas Brawijaya

tanah, sehingga masukan pupuk dapat ditingkatkan efisiensinya dan pada akhirnya produksi tanaman meningkat.

Untuk menurunkan kandungan aluminium dari tingkat meracun hingga tidak meracun tanaman dibutuhkan jumlah masukan kapur yang tinggi. Dalam hal ini kita seyogianya tidak perlu cemas, sebab masukan yang tinggi itu hanya diperlukan satu kali saja, yaitu pada saat tanah itu disiapkan untuk usaha pertanian tanaman pangan. Sedangkan untuk selanjutnya masukan kapur sebagai sarana pemeliharaan untuk mengendalikan kandungan aluminium pada tingkat tidak meracun tidak lagi akan mencapai jumlah tinggi dan lagi pula pemberiannya kemungkinan besar tidak tiap tahun tergantung naiknya aluminium sebagai akibat menurunnya pH tanah.

Dalam menyiapkan lahan transmigrasi pada tanah-tanah mineral masam di Sumatera, Kalimantan, Sulawesi, dan di Irian Jaya, masukan kapur sebagai sarana perbaikan kesuburan tanah seyogianya mendapat perhatian yang lebih serius. Masukan kapur itu harus dikerjakan sebelum tanah diserahkan kepada para transmigran.

Pembuatan peta sebaran tanah-tanah mineral masam di Indonesia berdasarkan pH dan sumber-sumber kemasaman tanah, khususnya kandungan akan aluminium dapat ditukar atau kejenuhan aluminium, kiranya akan sangat bermanfaat bagi penyusunan program masukan kapur sebagai sarana perbaikan kesuburan tanah-tanah mineral masam di Indonesia.

REPOSITORY.UB.AC.ID

SLAMET SETIJONO. Lime Estimation of Indonesian Acid Mineral Soils and Its Significance to Crop Production (Under supervision of GOESWONO SOEPARDI as Major Advisor, ACHMAD MUHAMAD SATARI, ANDI HAKIM NASOETION, OETIT KOSWARA, and HARI SUSENO as Members of Advisory and Examining Committee.

Repository Universitas Brawie ABSTRACT OSITOR Universitas Brawijava

Lime application is the key of success in obtaining good crop production on acid soils. There is world wide recognition that liming is the best remedy for aluminium toxicity. Since liming can also have detrimental effects on crop production, if pH levels are raised too high, precautions should be taken not to overlime soils. Once this precaution is taken, beneficial effects from liming will be obtained.

In conjunction with the major goal of determining appropriate levels of liming, laboratory, greenhouse, and field experiments were undertaken to study various effects of liming on soil chemical changes, dry matter yields, nutrient uptake, and corn grain yields. The laboratory experiment was set up to evaluate relationships between soil-pH and other soil chemical changes affected by CaCO₃ increments on 28 acid mineral soils. The greenhouse experiment was set up to evaluate dry matter and nutrient uptake by corn plants in response to CaCO₃ increments.

Relations between dry matter yield response and soil

chemical changes affected by CaCO3 increments were also studied. Seven different acid minerals soils were used in the greenhouse experiment. Field experiments were conducted on a Latosol at Darmaga, and a Podzolic soil at Jonggol to evaluate lime effect on corn grain yields. Repo Corollary to the major objectives were the needs: aw ava (1) to develop a reliable lime requirement test based on selected soil properties or to modify the existing buffer lime requirement methods; (2) to study corn root growth and development in response to lime increments applied to ave different soil layers in a greenhouse experiment. Two different acid mineral soils were used in the root growth study. After receiving treatment combinations of 6 levels of CaCO, and two depths of CaCO, application the top-layer and the unlimed subsurface soil layer were packed in proper sequence in specially constructed boxes to obtain bulk density equal to one. The total height of the soil

in each box was 50 cm and consisted of a 0-20 cm top soil layer and a 20-50 cm of subsurface soil. Experimental hybrid corn 17x16 was used in this experiment.

The experimental results support the following conclusions.

1. Liming acid mineral soils to a $pH_{(H_2^0)}^{5.5}$ resulted in a neutralization of permanent charge acidity and in partial neutralization of pH-dependent charge acidity, resulting

in an effective base saturation increases to 82.5 percent.

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

1

P2.

2. Liming acid mineral soils to a $pH_{(H_2^0)}$ 5.0 does not remove potential aluminum toxicity for corn on soils having initial exchangeable aluminum levels above 10.0 me Al⁺³ per 100 g soil.

3. Liming acid mineral soils to a $pH_{(H_2^0)}$ 5.5 reduces exchangeable aluminum from potentially toxic to nontoxic levels, regardless the existing differences in aluminum content of the soils.

4. When soil acidity of mineral soils is maintained between $pH_{(H_20)}$ 5.5 to 6.0 by liming, good plant growth and good crop yield may be obtained.

5. Equations to determine lime requirement to raise the pH_(H20) of acid mineral soils to 5.5 are as follows:
(a) for soils having Al-saturation less than 30 percent LR (me/100 g) = -1.34 + 0.71 SMP-LR-pH_{6.0} (r = 0.960^{**})
(b) for soils having Al-saturation higher than 30 percent-LR (me/100 g) = 5.71 + 0.70 Exch-Al⁺³ (r = 0.971^{**})
6. Equations to determine lime requirement to raise the P^H(H₂0) of acid mineral soils to 6.0 are as follows:

(a) for soils having Al-saturation less than 30 percent-LR (me/100 g) = -0.47 + 0.90 SMP-LR-pH_{6.0} (r = 0.974^{**}) (b) for soils having Al-saturation higher than 30 percent-LR (me/100 g) = 7.53 + 0.85 Exch-Al⁺³ (r = 0.974^{**})

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

The author was born on November 29, 1935 in Kediri. His parents are Tio Poo Sian [†] and Teng Tjwan Nio [†]. In 1956 he graduated from Sekolah Menengah Atas Kristen, Malang.

Repository Universitas Brawig BIOGRAPHY ository Universitas Brawijaya

He received the Insinyur Pertanian degree from Fakultas Pertanian, Universitas Indonesia, Bogor in 1963, and a Master of Soil Science degree from University of Wisconsin in 1974.

Since April 1979 he has been a graduate student in the Department of Soil Science at Fakultas Pasca Sarjana Institut Pertanian Bogor.

He is a staff member of the Department of Soil Science, Fakultas Pertanian, Universitas Brawijaya, Malang.

He is married to Christiani Suryawidjaja, and they have three children, M. F. Kurniawati, M. A. Dwinita, and

Repository Universitas BrawijayaRepository Universitas Brawi

REPOSITORY, UB. AC.II

BRAWII/

DSITORY.UB.AC.ID

UNIVERSITAS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to express his grateful appreciation to Professor Goeswono Soepardi for his helpdful advice and abiding interest during the course of the investigation, and to the members of his Advisory and Examining Committee, Professors Achmad Muhamad Satari, Andi Hakim Nasoetion, Oetit Koswara, and Hari Suseno.

Appreciation is also expressed to Dr. John T. Murdock for his willingness to be the reader of this manuscript.

The author extends his sincere gratitude to the Rector of Brawijaya University, the Rector of the Institut Pertanian Bogor, the Dean of the Graduate School, the Director of Research and Extension, Directorate General of Higher Education, the Executive Secretary of the Agriculture Consortium, and the Project Director MUCIA/AID, without whose support this study would have not been possible.

Appreciation is also extended to Dr Ir Amris Makmur and his field staff at Darmaga and at Jonggol Experimental Stations, to Dr Ir Yayah Koswara, and to all staff members and laboratory technicians in the Department of Soil Sciences, for the friendship extended to him during his study at Institut Pertanian Bogor.

The author finally wishes to express special thanks to his family for their understanding. Their encouragement, love, and unselfish sacrifice have been a great asset to him.

This study was supported in part by AID Loan 041, and in part by a research grant from International Foundation of Science (IFS), Sweden.

RRAWIIAN

REPOSITORY, UB. AC.II



Thesis Title : LIME ESTIMATION OF INDONESIAN ACID MINERAL SOILS AND ITS SIGNIFICANCE TO CROP PRODUCTION Name of Repository Universitas Brawijaya SLAMET SETIJONO epository Universitas Brawijaya Candidate Identification Stas Brawijava Card Number : 79523 Thesis defended before The Senat Guru Besar, Institut Pertanian Bogor, May 29, 1982 at 11:00 WIB at The Fakultas Pasca Sarjana, Institut Pertanian Bogor. ADVISORY COMMITTEE iversitas Brawijaya Frsitas Brawijava (Goeswono Soepardi) (Achmad Muhamad Satari) Repositor chairman las Brawijava member (Andi Hakim Nasoetion) (Hari Suseno) member member ository Universitas Brawijaya (Oetit Koswara) member Repository University Dean of The Graduate School rbrarfi Repository Universitas Repository Universitas Repository Universitas Br(EdiaGuhardja) sitory Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijava Iniversitas BK PER KAAN Universitas Brawijaya

TABLE OF CONTENTS Repository Universitas BrPageva LIST OF TABLES Bviii Iniversitas LIST OF FIGURES ·Repository Universitas Brawxiava INTRODUCTION Repository Universitas Brawijaya iversitas Brawlava Experimental Approach . . Repository Universitas Bravalava Working Plans is Brawlaya pository Universitas Brawlava ReposIncubation Experiment Brawajaya Universitas Brawijaya DS. 101 Greenhouse Experiments awn 6 Universitas Field Experiments niversitas Brawijava LITERATURE REVIEW Brawijava Inversitas Sources of Acidity and Their Significance to Lime Requirement S. Brawyjaya Determination of Lime Requirement . . 12 Estimation of Lime Requirement Involving Cation Exchange Capacity 13 The pH Depression of Buffered Solutions 15 Titration and Equilibration of Soil with Bases 18 Lime Estimation Based on Soil Properties 20 Liming Factor ava Repository Unive 22 Potentials and Problems of Acid Mineral Soils Permanent Agriculture Production Programs 23 MATERIALS AND METHODS Universitas Bran Soils V Universitas Braw Universitas Brawi 30 Universitas Brawijaya Iniversitas Chemical Analyses 30 ava

Repository Universitas Brawijava

	Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universeas I	
	Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas I	
	Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas I	Brawvi ya
	Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas I	Page
	Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas I	Drawijaya Drawijaya
	Estimation of Field Moisture Capacity	pla 32 aya
	Repository Oniversitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas I	Brownaya
3	Repository Universitas Brawilava Repository Universitas	Brawijava
A	The Prediction of Lime Requirement	Bro 35 ava
S S	Repository Universitas Brawijaya - Repository Universitas I	Brawijaya
LIS S	The Buffer Methods	35
۳ X	Lime Estimation Based on Soil Properties	35
N T	Reo Greenhouse Experiments ava Repository Universitas	Braygiava
	Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas I	Brawijaya
	Reposit The First Unit Experiment Reposition Universitas I	Bra36 ava
	Reposit The Second Unit Experiment epoeten. Universitas I	Bra 37 jaya
	Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas I	
	Repository Experiments Brawijaya . Repository Universitas I	Bra41jaya
	ReposiField Experiment on a Latosol from Darmaga	Brayijaya
1108	Repos Field Experiment on a Podzolic Soil from	
	Reposit Jonggo Lers tas Brawijaya . Repository Universitas I	Bra42jaya
	Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas I	
a	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION AND REPOSITOR CONVERSION	sra ₄₄ aya
X	Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas I	
N T	The Effect of Lime on Selected Soil Properties	Dia44jaya
M	Soil-pH _(H20) and Soil-pH _(KC1)	44
E RS	Titratablé Acidity	47
≩≩	Exchangeable Acidity	47
5 📫	Exchangeable Aluminum	49
	Repos Effective Cation Exchange Capacity	Bra 51 ijava
	Repos Aluminum Saturation av Recontory Universitas	Brasz java
	ReposiBase Saturation raviava . Repository Universitas I	Brassijava
	Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas I	
	Rep ^{The Prediction} of Lime Requirement	Bra58ijaya
	Calcium Carbonate or Calcium Hydroxide	
	ReposiEquilibrations Brawijaya . Repository Universitas I	Bressijaya
	ReposiThe Buffer Lime Requirement Tests	Bread ava
	Lime Estimation Based on Soil Properties	72 jaya
4	Repusitory Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas I	Brawijaya
X	Peopeiron Lawreitas Brauna Poopeiron Lawreitas	82 Jaya
St	The Effect of CaCO ₃ Application on Dry Matter	Brawijaya
SIT/	Repository Universitas Brawijava - Repository Universitas I	82
ER.	Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas I	
₹ ∝	Repository Universitas Brawijaya - Repository Universitas I	Brawijava
	Papagitany Universitas Prawijava - Popogitany Universitas I	

BRAWIJA

	Repository Universitas	
	Repository Universitas	Brawvii
		Brawijaya
		Brandage
The Effect of CaCO Appl Concentration and Nutri Plants	lication on Nutrient ent Uptake by Corn	Brawijaya Brawijaya 87
Soil Chemical Properties	<i>versus</i> Drv Matter	
epository of wersitas Brawijaya Repositori del diversitas Brawijaya	•Repository Universitas	Bra 97 aya
Choop bounded Funda (State 1) 773		Brandiava
Perasitory Universitas Brawijava	Repository Universitas	Brawijava
Dry Matter Yield Respons	eRepository Universitas	104
Root Growth and Developm	ent pository Universitas	108
Field Experiments	Repository Universitas	Brawijaya
Latosol from Darmaga		Brauliavs
Podzolic Soil from Jong	Repository Universitas	Branziava
epository Universitas Brawijava	Repository Universitas	Brawijava
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION		Brawilava
epository Universitas Brawijava	Repository Universitas	Brawijava
APPENDICES	Repository Universitas Repository Universitas	Brawijaya Brawijaya
repository Universitas Brawijaya	·Repository Universitas	Brawjaya
	- Repository Universitas	
	Repository Universitas	
Papository Universitas Brawijaya		
	Repository Universitas	
epository Universitas Brawijaya		
		Brawijaya

NVA REPOSITORY.UB.AC.ID

UNIVERSITAS

UNIVERSITAS

UNIVERSITAS

	Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas	
	Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas	
02110	Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas	
	Repository Universitas PLIST OF TABLES sitory Universitas	
	Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas	Page
	Repository Universitas Brawij Text Repository Universitas	
RSITAS	 Classification of the Inorganic Sources of Acidity According to Acid Strength of Pro- ton Retaining Sites (Jackson, 1963) 	Brawijaya Brawijaya Brav8iava
3RA BRA	2. Estimates of Potential Arable Land by Soil Groups and Continents	Brawijaya Bra24 jaya
	3. Latosol and Podzolic Soil Distribution in Indonesia	Brawijaya
_	4. Climatic-, Physical-, Physico-chemical-, and Chemical Characteristics of Tropical Acid Mineral Soils (Summaries)	Brawijaya Brawijaya Bra ₂₆ ijaya
	5. The Average and the Range Values of Selected Soil Properties of the Soils Used in Various	Brawijaya Brawijaya
ositor	6. Chemical Methods Used for Soil and Plant	Brasiljaya Brawijava
	Repository Universitas Brawjaya Repository Universitas	Bra ³³ ijaya
A	7. The Composition and Concentration of Basal Nutrient Solution (greenhouse experiment-I) .	36
ERSITAS AWIJAY	8. The Composition and Concentration of Basal Nutrient Solution (greenhouse experiment- II)	Brawijaya
	9. Relationship between the SMP-LR-pH 6.0 and the CaCO ₂ -Determined LR Tests	Brawijaya Br 64 jiava
	10. The SMP-DB-LR-pH _{6.0} , CaCO ₃ -LR-pH _(H2O) 6.0, and the SMP-LR-pH _{6.0} Test Values	Brawijaya
	11. Simple Correlation Coefficients Among the Soil Properties with the Reference LR Tests .	Brawijaya
	12. Multiple Regression Analyses of the Soil Properties Contributing to LR by the Forward Selection Procedure	Brawijaya Brawijaya Branjiaya
	13. Multiple Regression Analyses of the Soil Pro- perties Contributing to LR by the Forward	Brawijaya Brawijaya
	14. Contribution of Exch-Al ⁺³ to LR of the Soils	Br 7 8/ijaya Brawijaya
	15. Dry Matter Yields of Corn in Response to	Brawijaya Brawijaya
MA	16. The Effect of CaCO ₃ Application on Aluminum	Brawijaya Brawijaya
	Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas	Brawijaya
RSI	Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas	
	Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas	
300	Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas	

Rep

Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Number Ory Universitas Brawijaya

	STORY UNIVERSITAS/BRAWIIAVA REDOSITORY UNIVERSITAS E	
17.	Relationship between Nutrient Uptake (Y_i) and Levels of CaCO ₃ Application (X_i) .	90
18. Repos	Correlation Coefficients (Simple and Multi- ple between Micronutrient Uptake and CaCO ₃ Treatments	Brawija Bravija Bravija
Repos	Average Nutrient Uptake Efficiency Index of Corn in Response to CaCO3 Application	Brawija Bra100a
R20.3	Dry Matter Yield Responses to CaCO, Increments and Depth of CaCO, Applications at Two Growth Stages	Brawija Brawija 105
21.	Corn Grain Yields in Response to Calcitic Limestone Application on a Latosol from	Brawija Brawija Brawija
R22.3	Corn Grain Yields in Response to Calcitic Limestone Application on a Podzolic Soil	Brawija Brawija
	sitory Universitas Brawijaya * Repository Universitas E	Brawla
	LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES	
Rebos	Selected Chemical Properties of the Soils Star	Brawija
Repos	Soil Chemical Changes Affected by CaCO3	138
Repos	Application Brawleya Repository Universitas E	141
Repos	Selected Chemical Properties of the Soils Used in the Greenhouse Experiment-I	145
Repos Repos	The Chemical Properties of a Latosol from Darmaga and a Podzolic Soil from Jonggol Experimental Plots	5 146
Re5-09	The Ca(OH) 2 LR Test Values of the Soils States	Brawija
6.	The CaCO ₃ -Determined LR Tests and the SMP-	Brawija
Repos	LR-pH6.0 of the Acid Mineral Soils Studied	148
Repos	Simple Correlation Coefficients Among Soil Properties and LR Tests	150
Repos	Completely Random Design Analysis of Dry Matter Yield in Response to CaCO ₃ Application	151
Repos Repos Repos	Average Dry Matter Yield and Nutrient Concen- tration in Corn Tissue as Affected by CaCO3 Application, Greenhouse Experiment-I	5 152
Repos	Average Nutrient Uptake by Corn Plants in Response to CaCO ₃ Application, Greenhouse Experiment-I	Brawija
	sitory Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas E	Brawija

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Repository Universitas Bravixaya

Page

Repository Universitas Bragejaya Number On Universitas Brawijava **Repository Universitas Brawijaya** Nutrient Uptake Efficiency Indexes as myersias Brandaya 11. Affected by CaCO3 Increments pository Universitas Bravijaya The Effect of Liming on a Latosol from Darmaga on Corn Grain Yields 12. versitas Bravijaya versitas Brawijaya 13. The Effect of Liming on a Podzolic Soil from Jonggol on Corn Grain Yields . . . versitas Br156 ava The Statistical Analyses of Corn Grain Versitas Brawijaya 14. Yields on a Latosol from Darmaga and on Versitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya **Repository Universitas Brawijaya** Repository Universitas Brawijava Repository Universitas Brawijawa

Repository Universitas Bravajava

BRAWIJ

EPOSITORY, UB. AC. ID

UNIVERSITAS BRAWIJ

A

BRAWIL

Repository Universitas Braveage

Numberory Universitas Brawijaya

va Repository Universitas Brawijaya

	situry oniversitas brawija ya – Repusitory oniversitas br	
Repos	The Design of Locally Made Pot for the Greenhouse Experiment-II	awijaya aw 38ya
Re2.	The Effect of CaCO3 Application on Soil-pH(H2O)	awi45ya
Rego:	The Relationship between Soil-pH and Exchange- able Acidity as Affected by CaCO, Application .	awijaya aw48ya
Re40	The Relationship between Soil-pH and Exchange- able Aluminum	awiiaya 50 a
Re ⁵ 0	The Relationship between Soil-pH and Aluminum Saturation	awijava
Repo	The Relationship between Soil-pH and Aluminum Neutralization	awijaya awijaya
Repo	The Relationship between Soil-pH and Base Satur- ation Based on Cation Exchange Capacity	awijaya aw ₅₆ ya
	The Relationship between Soil-pH and Base Satur- ation Based on Effective Cation Exchange Capacity	awijaya awijaya
Re ⁹ •0	The Relationship between CaCO ₃ -LR Test Values and Ca(OH) ₂ -LR Test Values of the Soils	awiaya
10.	The Relationship between CaCO ₃ -LR-pH _(H2O) 6.0 and Its Corresponding CaCO ₂ -LR-pH _(H2O) 6.0	awiaya 61
Repo	The Relationship between CaCO ₃ -LR-pH(H ₂ O)5.5 and Its Corresponding CaCO ₃ -LR-pH(H ₂ O)5.5	awiaya awiaya 62
Repo	The Relationship between SMP-LR-pH and CaCO - LR-pH (H2O) 6.0	awijaya av ₆₅ ya
R13.0	The Relationship between SMP-LR-pH 6.0 and CaCO ₃ -LR-pH (H O) 5.5	awijaya _. avéeiva
R14.0	The Relationship between SMP-LR-pH and CaCO ₃ -LR-pH (KCI)1	awijaya
15.0	The Relationship between SMP-LR-pH 6.0 and CaCO ₃ -LR-pH (KCl)2	awijaya
16.	The Effect of Lime Application on Dry Matter Production of Corn	awijaya 83
17.	The Relationship between Soil-pH (H ₂ O) and Rela- tive Yield of Dry Matter of Corn, (H ₂ O) Experimen-	awijaya awijaya awijaya
18.0	The Effect of Lime on N Uptake by Corn	85 av _{0 1} ava
	sitory Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Br	awijaya
	sitory Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Br	awijaya
	sitory Universitas Brawijaya - Repository Universitas Br	awijaya
	sitory Universitas Brawijaya - Repusitory Universitas Brawijaya - Repusitory Universitas Brawijaya - Repusitor	wijaya
	Maine Universitas Die	and a part of and

UNIVERSITAS

Number tory Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya

19.	The Effect of	Lime on P	Uptake by Co	rniversitas	92
20.	The Effect of 3	Lime on K	Uptake by Co	rn	93
21.	The Effect of 3	Lime on Ca	Uptake by C	orn	94
22.	The Effect of I	Lime on Mg	Uptake by C	orn	95
23.	Cate-Nelson Ple	ot for 10	² M CaCl. Ex	tract-	
Repo	able Aluminum	versus Rela	atīve Yield	as Af-	Brawija
Repo	fected by CaCO	3 Applicat:	Repositor.	Universitas	98 Brawia
24.	Cate-Nelson Plo	ot for Exc.	Affected by	CaCO	
Repo	Application	Brawijaya	•Repository	Universitas	B 99
25.	Cate-Nelson Plo	ot for Al-	Saturation v	ersus rsitas	
Repo	Relative Yield	as Affecte	ed by CaCO3	Applicat-	Brawija
26	Cate-Nelson Pl	t for Eval	angoable_(C	Universitas	Brawija
Repo	versus Relative	e Yield as	Affected by	CaCO	
Repo	Application	Brawijaya	Repository	Universitas	102
27.	Cate-Nelson Plo	ot for Excl	nangeable K/	(Ca+Mg)	
Repo	CaCO, Applicat:	iacive ile	eid as Affec	ted by stas	103
28.	Corn Dry Matter	Yield Res	sponse to Ca	CO, In-	Brawija
Repo	crements and De	epth of Ca	CO3 Applicat	ion, a	Brawija
Babo	Corp Drug Matta	inaga .	Repository	Universitas	Brawija
Repo	crements and De	epth of Ca	CO. Applicat	10_3 In-	
Repo	Podzolic Soil f	rom Gajrul	Repository	Universitas	107
30.0	Cate-Nelson Plo	t for Soil	-pH versus	Relative	
Repo	Experiments I a	and II) .	3 Applicatio	on (Pot las	109
31.	Root Growth and	Developme	ent in Respon	Universitas ise to	Brawija
Repo	CaCO3 Increment	s and Dept	h of CaCO3	Applicat-	
Repo	zolic Soil from	Gairuk; 4	Darmaga; B 5 davs of G	= a Pod-	110
32.	The Effect of I	ime Applic	ation on Con	n Grain	Brawija
Repo	Yields (Field T	rials)	Repository	Universitas	113
Repo	sitory-Universitas				
Repo			Repository		
Repo					
Repo			Repository		
Repo					
Repo	sitory Universitas		Repository		
Repo					
repo					

Repository Universitas Bravijaya

Rep

BRAWIJA

POSITORY, UB, AC, ID



Soils of the humid tropics are potentially highly productive, but generally sustain crop yields far below potential levels due to soil acidity. These soils need to be limed and fertilized if their full production potential is to be realized. In Indonesia, more than 50 percent of the 190 million hectares of land area is acid (Satari and Orvedal, 1968). The region encompassing the acid mineral soils tends to have the highest total annual rainfall and the shortest dry season in the country. For this reason, the region comprises the area of highest potential agricultural productivity.

pository Universitas [INTRODUCTIONepository Universitas Brawijaya

Repository Universitas Brawijava

In Indonesia, lime materials and fertilizers are considered costly agricultural inputs. Therefore, the main objective of lime and fertilizer usage is to maximize the return of capital invested in these materials. Optimum return of fertilizers can be obtained if soil acidity, known to be a growth limiting factor, has been eliminated.

Disagreement among scientists as to the relative importance of factors responsible for the low productivity of acid mineral soils throughout the years has not reduced the recognation of the beneficial effects of liming acid mineral soils to crop production (Hartwell and Pember, 1908; Funchess, 1918; Setzer, 1940; Massey, Kang, and Surjatna Effendi, 1964; Sitorus, 1971; Soewandi, 1976; Lathwell, 1979).

REPOSITORY.UB.AC.I

The presence of high concentration of aluminum (plus Mn) is toxic to most crops (Lignon and Pierre, 1932; Vlamis, 1953; Adams and Pearson, 1967; McLeod and Jackson, 1967; Arminger, Foy, Fleming, and Caldwell, 1968; Abruna, Vincent-Chandler, Pearson, and Silva, 1970; McLean, Halstead, and Finn, 1972; Lathwell, 1979). Liming is the best remedy to control aluminum and manganese toxicities.

Repository Universitas Brawizava

By considering acid mineral soils as a continuum from slightly leached and slightly weathered Mollisols through the progressively more leached and more weathered Alfisols and Ultisols to the highly leached and highly weathered Oxisols, McLean (1971) listed nine potential events to occur when an acid mineral soil is limed. It has also been recognized that not all of the events following liming are beneficial to crops. Under certain conditions, some of them may be detrimental.

Since liming acid mineral soils, especially in the humid tropics, exhibits potential benefits as well as detrimental effects to crop production, and judging from previous results of greenhouse and field experiments, scientists have come to a more solid conclusion that lime requirement (LR) tests which are designed to achieve a soil-pH_(H₂0) of 6.4 or higher should not be recommended for tropical acid mineral soils. They have suggested that liming acid mineral soils in the tropics should not exceed a pH_(H₂0) of 6.0, because of the potential danger of lime-inducing micronutrient deficiencies and soil structure deterioration (Corey, Ludwick, and Kussow, 1971; Kamprath, 1971; McLean, 1970, 1971; Reeve and Sumner, 1970). Therefore, before attempting to recommend lime for Indonesian acid mineral soils, effects of lime on soil properties and crop responses should be studied. Through these researches a scheme for lime recommendation based on soil properties should be developed for tropical acid mineral soils.

Repository Universitas Braviliava

The general objective of this study is to try to determine soil factors that result in positive as well as negative yield response resulting from lime application. The specific objectives of the study are:(1) to relate soil-pH to other soil properties as affected by lime increments; (2) to devise a lime requirement (LR) test based upon one or more selected soil properties; (3) to correlate selected soil properties to crop yield; and (4) to relate base status in soil with crop yield.

Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya

The properties of acid mineral soils in Indonesia vary widely and can be classified as Inceptisols, Alfisols, Ultisols, or Oxisols. With such a broad range of soils, it is doubtful that any of the existing LR tests can be used for all soils. Successful development of a new LR test or the effects of liming on soil properties known to influence crop growth and production, and also on the nature of crop response to changes in these soil properties.

Repository Universitas Brawijava

Samples of acid mineral soils, representatives of areas with the highest potential crop production in Indonesia, were used in these studies. The gerenal approach was to first measure the effects or lime treatments on selected soil properties; PH (H20) ' PH (KC1) ' titratable acidity (TAC) , exchangeable acidity (exch-Ac), exchangeable aluminum (exch-Al⁺³), extractable aluminum (ext-Al), aluminum saturation (Al-sat), cation exchange capacity (CEC), effective cation exchange capacity (ECEC), and base saturation (BS). This study was followed by observation of crop response to increasing lime increments in the greenhouse. Crop response was measured in terms of dry matter production and nutrient uptake. Plant parameters were then related to known effects of lime on soil properties. The nature vaya of crop response would serve to indicate soil-pH value for optimum crop production. Crop response to lime-induced Waya soil property changes would provide some information for adjusting soil-pH to higher or lower value for crops other than corn (Zea mays L.). Relationships between soil-pH and lime levels with soil properties would define factors of prime importance in the development of LR test.

The reliability of some important LR tests, and also those derived from soil properties were evaluated against reference LR tests. A calibration study was carried out on Latosol and Podzolic soils in West Java.

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Universitas Brawijava

An additional set of greenhouse experiment was conducted to study corn root growth and development, and dry matter yields in response to lime levels and depth of application.

Repository Universitas Bworking Plansository Universitas Brawijaya

Incubation Experiment

The purpose of this experiment was to measure the effects of lime application on selected soil properties. Various scatter diagrams and regression analyses were made to show the chemical changes resulting from liming. The standard reference lime requirement (LR) test value of each soil was derived from the corresponding lime rates-soil pH scatter diagram. The reference LR test value was determined at soil-pH_(H20) of 6.0 and 5.5. The acid mineral soils were equilibrated with various lime increments, incubated at 100 percent field moisture capacity at room temperature. Sub-samples for chemical analyses were taken after 30 days and twelve months incubation period.

Greenhouse Experiment

The greenhouse experiment consisted of two units of pot experiments. One unit was designed to study the effect

1

REPOSITORY, UB. AC. ID

tePositoRV.UB.ACID

UIVERSITAS RAWIJAY of lime application on dry matter yield and nutrient availability. These plant responses were related to soil parameters affected by lime increments. Seven soils exhibiting different levels of acidity were used in this experiment. The second unit was designed to study root growth and development, and dry matter yield of corn in response to lime increments and depth of application. Corn, experimental hybrid $17 \times 16^{\frac{1}{2}}$, was employed in these greenhouse study.

Repository Universitas Brawieava

Field Experiment as blaw ava

Repository Universitas Brawijava

Two field experiments were conducted; one on a Latosol on the Darmaga Field Experimental Station, and the other a Podzolic soil on the Jonggol Field Experimental Station. Both field experiments were carried out during the wet season of 1980 - 1981. Corn variety H-6 was employed for these field trials. Sources of Acidity and Their Significance to Lime Requirement

REVIEWsitory Universitas Brawijava

Repos

Repor

Repo

Report

Report

Repo

Repo

Repository UniversitasLITERATURE

The hydrogen ion activity in the soil solution is a measure of the active acidity in the soil. The amount of acidity present in this form, while important from the standpoint of nutrient availability, is inconsequential when compared to the total acidity. However, the active acidity is usually correlated with total acidity for soils with similar buffering characteristics. This correlation indicates that lime requirement can be assessed by measuring active acidity (Ames and Schollenberger, 1916). However, this procedure is not always successful (Mehlich, 1941, 1942a, 1942b; Keeney and Corey, 1963), because it does not account for the buffering characteristics of different soils.

The capacity factors of soil acidity are much more closely related to the LR than is the intensity factor discussed above. Several capacity factors of acidity have been listed by Tisdale and Nelson (1975, p 420-422), and by Corey <u>et al</u> (1971). The one of them which has a great practical significance is aluminum (Jenny, 1961; Jackson, 1963; Black, 1968; Kamprath, 1970), especially for soils with a $pH_{(H_20)}$ of less than 5.0, and which are relatively low in organic matter content.

Repository Universitas Brawijava

The inorganic sources of acidity have been classified by Jackson (1963) according to acid strength of proton retaining sites (Table 1).

Repository Universitas Brawgaya

Table 1: Classification of the Inorganic Sources of Acidity According to Acid Streangth of Proton Retaining Sites (Jackson, 1963)

Class	Universitas Universitas (H ₂	Brawijaya Repositor 0) awijaya Repositor Brawijaya Repositor	Reactant with added base
epositen	Universitas <4.2	(strong acid)	y Un+/ersitas Brawijaya
epository	<5.2	(weak acid)	$A1(OH_2)_{6}^{+3}$
epolili	5.2 - 7.0	(very weak acid)	Al (OH) (OH2) 5
epositvn	U>7.0 sitas	(v.very weak acid)	Poly-Al-hydronium

Black (1968) slightly modified the acidity classes as follows: (1) Strong acid, $pH_{(H_20)}$ 4.2 or less. (2) Weak acids, $pH_{(H_20)}$ 5.2 or less. In most instances, the hydrated aluminum ions appears to be the only source of acidity that accumulates in large quantities in this range. However, small amounts of hydronium and possibly some hydroxyl groups in the organic matter also contribute. (3) Very weak acids, $pH_{(H_20)}$ 5.2 to 6.5 or 7.0. Carboxyl groups of organic matter are important sources of acidity in this range, however, edge groups of hydroxy-Al-polymers in interlayer positions and edges of silicate clay particle also contribute to this acidity. Carbonic acids are of minimum importance. (4) Very, very weak acids, $pH_{(H_20)}$ 6.5 or 7.0 to 9.5. Phenolic groups of organic matter may be significant.

1 1 1

UNIVER
Edge groups of hydroxy-Al-polymers in interlayer positions and edges of silicate clay particles contribute to this acidity. Bicarbonate of calcium and sodium in the soil solution are usually unimportant quantitatively. (5) Extremely weak acids, pH_(H20) above 9.5. These include alcoholic groups in organic matter, silicic acid, and gibbsite. The first three classes of either Jackson and Black, are the most important in tropical acid mineral soils. As Brawijaya

Repository Universitas Brawijava Repository Universitas Brawijava

Universitas Brawilava

The function of aluminum (and iron) as a source of awiaya acidity has been depicted by Corey et al (1971) as follows: $\mathbb{R} = \left[M(OH_2)_6 \right]^{+3} \xrightarrow{} \left[M(OH)_n(OH_2)_{6-n} \right]^{+3-n} + n_H^+ \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}$ $|M(OH_2)_6|^{+3} \longrightarrow |M(OH)_3 + 3H^+| + 3H_2O_{113}$ Brawijaya

where M is Al⁺³ or Fe⁺³. Aluminum, exchangeable and complexed, is in equilibrium with Al⁺³ in solution, which inturn is in equilibrium with the H⁺ ions in solution. Ions in solution are associated with water molecules independ-WJaya endly of one another and, therefore, hydrolytic reactions occur, such as hydrolysis. In the case of Al+3, the pro-Wilaya cess is as follows (Hunt, 1963): Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Altor+UrH20 Al(OH) +2 Peros + bry Universitas Brawijaya This implies that an aqueous solution of Al⁺³ ions gives an acidic reaction. The process can continue in an series of reactions as follows: aw ava

Repository Universitas Bravijava Al(OH)(OH₂) $_{5}$ |⁺²+ H⁺ Awijaya |A1(OH₂)₆|⁺³ AL (OH) (OH₂) 5 +2 $|Al(OH)_2(OH_2)_4|^+$ + H^+ rawiaya A1 (OH) $_{3}$ (OH₂) $_{3}$ $|^{0}$ + H⁺ awi aya Reposit | A1 (OH) 2 (OH2) 4 + Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya AL (OH) 6 0 Universita H Brawijaya Rep. si | Al (OH) 5 (OH2) | -2

Thus, in acid mineral soil, the concentration of H⁺ ions is a function of the rate of hydrolyses of monomeric hexahydronium Al and/or hydroxy-Al (or hydroxy-Fe), whether these ions are adsorbed by clays or organic matter (Coleman and Thomas, 1967).

Repos The addition of a N salt solution, such as N KCl to a soil will displace acid forming cations, mainly aluminum, which is classified as exchangeable aluminum (exch-Al⁺³) (Lin and Coleman, 1960; Jackson, 1963; Bhumbla and McLean, 1965). Polymeric Al forms, precipitated on clay surfaces va (Ragland and Coleman, 1960; Schwertman and Jackson, 1963), or complexed by organic matter (Schnitzer and Skinner, 1964, 1965; McLean, Hourigan, Shoemaker, and Bhumbla, 1964), which can be extracted with NH40Ac buffered at pH 4.8 following extraction with N KCl, are classified as nonexchangeable acidic-Al by Pionke and Corey (1967). R Both forms, exch-Al+3 and nonexch-Al, behave differently ava with soil-pH. At $pH_{(H_2O)}$ 6.3, the concentration of both forms are low, and practically all of the Al is present ava as Al(OH) 3 or other forms that cannot be extracted with

sitory sitory

Reposit

Repos

Repos

Repos

REPOSITORY, UB. AC. ID

BRAWILAY

tEPOSITORY.UB.AC.ID

ammonium acetate pH 4.8. When the $pH_{(H_20)}$ decreases to 5.1, the nonexch-Al reaches its apparent maximum and declines rapidly below this pH value. Over the same acidity range, the exch-Al⁺³ increases slowly as the $pH_{(H_20)}$ is lowered to 5.3, but below this pH value it increases very rapidly at the expense of the nonexch-Al. The nonexch-Al is progressively converted to exch-Al⁺³ below $pH_{(H_20)}$ 5.1 (Pionke and Corey, 1967). Thus, below $pH_{(H_20)}$ 5.1, most of the acidic aluminum in the soil is exchangeable. Since many acid mineral soils are highly saturated with Al, the pH measured in this manner is not necessarily the pH of the soil, but that of a dilute aluminum salt solution (Coleman, Kamprath, and Weed, 1959).

The discussion above gives a clear picture of dynamic changes occuring when soil acidity is neutralized with lime. The first ions neutralized from the soil probably are H_30^+ ions. The second, the most important, is neutralization of exch-Al⁺³ (Plucknett and Sherman, 1963; McLean <u>et al</u>, 1964; Coleman and Thomas, 1967; Hutchinson and Hunter, 1970; Kamprath, 1970; Reeve and Sumner, 1970; Sawhney, Frink, and Hill, 1970). In highly weathered Oxisols, the pH_(H20) is commonly higher than 4.0. Therefore, liming to soilpH_(H20) 5.5 will involve exch-Al⁺³, partially nonexch-Al, and H⁺ covalently bonded to organic matter and clays. Beyond pH_(H20) 5.5, the latter two sources are primarily neutralized. The trend of neutralization follows the <u>same</u>

order of activity of the various forms of soil acidity reported by McLean <u>et al</u> (1972), as follows: Permanent charge H⁺ (minor contributor)> exch-Al⁺³ (permanent charge Al⁺³)> hydroxy-Al monomers >hydroxy-Al polymers >organic matter acidity >lattice Al-OH or si-OH acidity.

Repository Universitas Bravijaya Repository Universitas Bravijaya

The products of a complete lime reaction are $\operatorname{exch-Ca}^{+2}$ and/or $\operatorname{exch-Mg}^{+2}$, $\operatorname{Al(OH)}_3$, $\operatorname{Fe(OH)}_3$, and H_2O , the soil pH measured in water is about 8.3, and complete base saturation is achieved. When acid mineral soils are limed to $\operatorname{pH}_{(\operatorname{H}_2O)}$ not higher than 6.8 for temperate acid soils, and not higher than 6.0 for tropical acid soils (Corey <u>et al</u>, 1971), a complete neutralization of permanent charge acidity is achieved. However, large amounts of pH-dependent acidity remains (Coleman and Thomas, 1967). Thus, the pH-dependent exchange sites will be only partially opened for cation exchange reaction, primarily Ca⁺² (and Mg⁺²), and other cations from the added fertilizers.

Determination of Lime Requirement

Total soil acidity has been shown to be dependent on exchangeable and nonexhangeable forms of aluminum and pHdependent CEC which arises from organic matter and clays (Keeney and Corey, 1963; Volk and Jackson, 1963; McLean, Reicosky, and Lakshmanan, 1965). Consequently, any determination of total soil acidity or lime requirement must measure the direct or inderect contribution of these factors.

UNIVERSITAS BRAWIJAY Estimation of Lime Requirement Involving CEC esilas Brawijaya

pository Universitas Brawijaya

Many methods for predicting LR include CEC determinations among the variables considered. However, the CEC obtained by a given method is a function of the saturating cation used and the pH of the saturating solution (Broadbent and Bradford, 1952).

Repository Universitas Braviava

The choice of the saturating solution cation is very important, especially if the CEC is determined by titrating a soil to a given pH. The change of pH for each added increment of base appears to be dependent on the position of the saturating cations in the lyotropic series (Li >Na > ava K >Mg >Ca). Lithium, sodium, and potassium clays, because of hydration effects, are more dissociated than Mg- or Ca-Va clays; Thus, show higher pH values at the same percent saturation of the CEC sites. When a buffered salt solution ava is used for saturating the exchange sites, this hydration effect becomes less important. However, extended washing Va to eliminate excess cations from the system may result in hydrolysis of monovalent cations, and consequently giving low results for CEC. The CEC specific value is arbitrary, since it depends on the pH of the saturating solution aw ava (Helling, Chesters, and Corey, 1964). Universitas Brawijaya

The selection of any particular pH of the saturating solution is arbitrary, but mostly the saturating solution is buffered at pH 7.0 (Jackson, 1958 p 60). Alexander (1976) advocated the use of a modified Mehlich (1948) barium chloride-triethanolamine (BaCl₂-TEA) buffered at pH 8.2 to determine the CEC of soils. Lucas (1942) recommended the use of CEC and pH fo determine LR and indicated that the percent base saturation must be known in addition to the pH of the soil.

Repository Universitas Br14/jiava

In several cases, CEC han been directly correlated with LR without considering the contribution of pH (Keeney and Corey, 1963; Ross, Lawton, and Ellis, 1964). Obviously, such comparison is meaningless unless the soils used are acid and the pH range is restricted, eliminating its contribution to LR.

At present, the use of CEC in estimating LR based on the concepts of percent base saturation and base unsaturation is unpopular, since: (1) percent base saturation does not determine the magnitude of soil acidity, and (2) the increase in percent base saturation or the decrease in base unsaturation may well correlate with pH as different amounts of lime are added; however, the relation does not necessarily exist between soil types (Ross <u>et al</u>, 1964). The relationship may vary considerably even among soils of the same origin and degree of weathering (Pierre and Scarseth, 1931). This poor relationship is a result of the different kinds of pH-dependent sites involved.

Other attempts have been made to relate the soil pH to buffering capacity and degree of base saturation through various derivations of the Henderson-Hassebach equation: pH = pK + log salt/acid (Roussopoulos, 1956). However, this Henderson-Hasselbach equation assumes a system with a single pK value, and this will not be the case for soil systems

Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya

since most acid soils have several buffering ranges and within each buffering range the neutralization curve approaches linearity (Volk and Jackson, 1963). This neutralization curve characteristic suggests the existence

of acid sites with many different pK's. Brawiaya Brawiaya

In conclusion, it is apparent that the relationship of pH to percent base saturation is erratic in soil systems where sources of pH-dependent charge are important. Even if those relationships were predictable, their use in estimating LR would be doubtfull since the percent base saturation has been shown to be imperfectly related to total acidity over a wide range of soils.

R The pH Depression of Buffered Solutions Universitas Brawijaya

acidity per 100 g of soil.

A number of LR tests have been developed which relate the pH depression of a buffered solution equilibrated with and acid soil sample to the LR of that soil. Some of these LR tests have achieved popularity within relatively short period of time, and are sufficiently accurate and rapid to show promise as routine laboratory methods.

Woodruff (1947, 1948) proposed the use of calcium acetate, paranitrophenol solution buffered at pH 7.0 for estimating LR. This buffer was calibrated against a clay subsoil so that 0.1 pH unit drop of the equilibrated soil-buffer solution system was equivalent to 1.0 milliequivalent of

> ya Repository Universitas Brawijaya ya Repository Universitas Brawijaya ya Repository Universitas Brawijaya ya Repository Universitas Brawijaya ya Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Repos

Repos Repos

Report

The Woodruff's buffer LR test has been shown to predict only 50 percent of the reference LR, though the correlation coefficient was highly significant (McLean, Shoemaker, and Hourigan, 1960; Shoemaker <u>et al</u>, 1961; McLean <u>et al</u>, 1964). Further study with several temperate acid soils indicated that the Woodruff's LR test underestimated soil with low LR (Keeney and Corey, 1963).

Repository Universitas Bravi6ava

Repo Repo

Repo Repo

Follwing the Woodruff's buffer LR test was that proposed by Shoemaker <u>et al</u> (1961). The buffer mixture composed of triethanolamine (TEA), paranitrophenol, potassium chromate, calcium acetate, and calcium chloride, buffered at pH 7.5. Its reliability has been tested with the $CaCO_3^{-1}$ determined LR test at pH_(H₂0) 6.8, and proved to be highly correlated (Shoemaker <u>et al</u>, 1961; Pratt and Blair, 1962; McLean <u>et al</u>, 1964; Ross <u>et al</u>, 1964). It showed high coefficient correlation value with the $CaCO_3^{-1R-pH}_{(H_20)}$ 6.5 (Keeney and Corey, 1963), and with the $CaCO_3^{-1R-pH}_{(H_20)}$ 6.0 (Slamet Setijono, 1974).

The other LR tests utilizing a weak buffer solution for predicting LR on poorly buffered acid mineral soils was introduced by Adams and Evans (1962). The buffer solution is composed of potassium hydroxide, paranitrophenol, boric acid, and buffered at pH 8.0. The titration curve of this buffer solution is linear within pH range 6.1 to 6.9; a pH change of 0.10 unit is equivalent to 0.08 milliequivalent acidity per 100 g of soil.

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

tro dou 197 197 ed tes

REPOSITORY, UB. AC.II

UNIVERSITAS BRAWIIAY

REPOSITORY.UB.AC.II

UNIVERSITAS BRAWILAY There are two other buffer LR tests that have been introduced in the last decade: (1) the Shoemaker-McLean-Prattdouble buffer LR test (McLean, Ecker, Reddy, and Trierweiler, 1978), and (2) the Yuan double buffer LR test (Yuan, 1974, 1976). Herewafter, both of these LR tests will be designated as the SMP-DB-LR test and Yuan-DB-LR test. Yuan-DB-LR test takes into account both the acidity and the buffering property. The determined acidity from the pH depression of the 20 acid soils used by Yuan (1974) was highly related with the titratable acidity of the supernatant solution and with the Ca(OH)₂-determined LR test values. The SMP-DB-LR test is especially useful for soils of low LR where the original SMP-LR test is known to lack desired accuracy.

Reposit0500004 as

B 17

Among the buffer LR tests which have been developed within the last two decades, the SMP-LR test seem to be the one rapidly gaining favor because of certain advantages over the other LR tests, especially over the Woodruff buffer LR test.

The neutralization curve of the SMP-LR test is linear within the pH range of 4.8 to 7.5. The derived LR test values for three different desired pH's of 6.8, 6.4, and 6.0 were listed in the original paper. This linearity coverage within relatively wide range of pH values is very important for several reasons: (1) due to a longer linear portion, the SMP-LR test exhibits a relatively higher sensitivity for measuring LR than does the Woodruff buffer which is only linear within the pH range 6.0 - 7.0 (McLean <u>et al</u>, 1960); (2) this greater sensitivity reduces the instrumental error associated with the LR measurement; (3) the linear portion encompasses a larger range of LR, meaning that soil-buffered pH values will commonly fall within this linear range, while they will often fall outside the linear range of the Woodruff test; (4) the SMP-LR test is more effective in measuring the aluminum contribution to LR than is the Woodruff LR test (McLean <u>et al</u>, 1960)

Repository Universitas Brausava

Titration and Equilibration of Soil with Bases Brawijaya

Direct titration or equilibration of acid soils with bases provides a fairly accurate measure of LR, but, these tests are time consuming. Therefore, they are not adaptable to routine laboratory analysis.

Early workers equilibrated acid soils with various carbonate compounds and determine the unreacted carbonate by measuring the carbondioxide (CO₂) evolved on addition of a strong acid (Patel and Truog, 1952). Later, following the general use of glass-electrodes for measuring pH, LR was determined by equilibrating acid soil samples with varying amounts of liming material required to raise the soil pH to a desired level. Lime requirement was also determined by titrating acid soils with bases to a predetermined pH value, and by equilibrating acid soils with neutral to alkaline buffers then estimating the LR from the difference Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Br₁₉ jaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya in the residual acidity of the buffer as determined by titration.

The laboratory determination of LR which is analogous to the determination of field LR, consists of equilibrating acid soil samples with liming materials. The method is frequently used as a substitute for field-determined LR when checking the accuracy of the other LR tests (McLean <u>et al</u>, 1960; Shoemaker <u>et al</u>, 1961; keeney and Corey, 1963; Ross <u>et al</u>, 1964; McLean, Dumford, and Coronel, 1966; Amedee, 1974; Slamet Setijono, 1974; Yuan, 1974). The determination of LR by titrating a soil sample with a base usually agrees well with the CaCO₃-determined LR test.

For titrations of acid soils, the choice of the base is crucial since the use of a strong base may result in side reactions (Kelley, 1926), and the change in pH per unit increment of base added follows the lyotropic series (Baver, 1931).

Other titrimetric mehtods include the use of buffered solutions. The soil is first extracted with the buffered solution and then the extract is titrated to a given end point with an acid or base. These methods have proven to be fairly accurate and rapid for use as LR tests. Schofield (1933) equilibrated acid soils with a lime solution buffered with paranitrophenol at pH 7.1. The extracted solution was then titrated with an acid. The difference between the amount of acid required to titrate the extracted solution and that required for the original buffer was considered to be the LR. In a similar manner, Mehlich (1938) has recommended the use of $BaCl_2$ buffered with triethanolamine at pH 8.2. The Mehlich buffer LR test has been found to be an accurate predictor of the $CaCO_3$ -determined LR test (McLean <u>et al</u>, 1960; Shoemaker <u>et al</u>, 1961).

Repository Universitas Br20 lava

The basic difference between these LR tests and the more rapid buffer LR tests described in the previous section is that in the titration and equilibration of acid soil with bases, the buffer solution is extracted and titrated to determine LR, whereas in the former the pH of the equilibrium soil-buffer mixture is correlated with LR.

It has been established that LR is dependent on permanent H_30^+ ions, monomeric trivalent aluminum, the pHdependent sites, the nonexch-Al, and the pH of the soil. In most acid soils the pH-dependent CEC comes from organic matter. Therefore, it is possible to correlate the factors contributing to total acidity to the LR of acid soils. Hopkins, Knox, and Petitt (1903) were unsuccessful in relating active acidity to LR. Ames and Schollenberger (1916) were unsuccessfully in relating exchangeable acidity to LR. Attempts to relate more than one soil property to LR have

UNIVERSITAS

REPOSITORY,UB.A

been more successful. Keeney and Corey (1963), working with Wisconsin soils, and Ross <u>et al</u> (1964), working with some Michigan acid soils, obtained high correlation coefficients of (6.5 - pH) (% OM) with the $CaCO_3-LR-pH_{(H_2O)}6.5$. They found that the addition of percent clay to the multiple regression equation did not improve the multiple correlation coefficient enough to warrant including percent clay in determining the LR.

Repos Lime requirement tests based on the measurement of soil properties are usually not accurate predictors of LR of acid soils with a wide range of acid strength or buffering characteristics. One must assume that when predicting LR by measuring combinations of one or more soil properties, the properties measured account for the major portion of the LR over the range of acid soils used. Kamprath (1970, 1972), and Reeve and Sumner (1970) proved that 1.5 x exch-Al⁺³ gave an accurate estimation of LR for acid soils relatively high in exch-Al⁺³, but found that it underestimated the LR of acid soils which are low in exch-Al⁺³. The (6.5 - pH)x(% OM) LR test is an accurate LR estimator for soils in which organic matter is the dominant source of acidity, and it will underestimate the LR of acid mineral soils high in exch-A1+3 or low in organic matter content. hiversitas Brawijaya



Repository Universitas Bravelaya

The Liming Factor as Brawijaya

Many people feel that a field trial is the only accurate method of determining LR. One reason for this belief is that LR determined in the field will usually be 1.5 to 2.0 times as high as that determined by $CaCO_3$ or $Ca(OH)_2$ incubation in the laboratory (Shoemaker <u>et al</u>, 1961; Adams and Evans, 1966; Keeney and Corey, 1963). This discrepancy is known as the *liming factor*, and must be accounted for when predicting field LR. The existence of a liming factor is attributed to slow reaction of large sized lime particles and to leaching losses and plant uptake over the several years required for complete equilibration of lime in the field (Russell, 1961 p 530).

Repository Universitas Brazzijava

Enough work has been done to suggest that part of the liming factor is due to slow reaction rate of some acidic sites in soils. From much of the literature reviewed, it appears that some of these slowly neutralizable sites may be polymeric acidic aluminum. McLean <u>et al</u> (1964) reported that soils equilibrated with lime will reach a miximum pH and then decreases coinciding with the reduction in extractable aluminum content. However, reduction in pH also takes place in the unlimed soil samples suggesting that part of the pH drop is due to the release of salt by docomposition of organic matter. the acid mineral soils into production with a reasonable degree of success.

Repository Universitas Braziliaya

alava

Table 2: Estimates of Potentially Arable Land by Soil Groups and Continents <u>1</u>/

Repository Unive	Highly wea	athered leached	Percent of
Continent	Latosol	R.Y. Podzolic	total land surface
Repository Unive	ersitas Bra(10	буна) Repository U	Inive (sisa) Braw
Africa	417.15	8.10	niversitas Braw
Asia	101.25	36.45	Iniver ²² as Brav
Australia Unive	12.15	4.05 sitory L	Iniverdias Braw
North America	16.20	aya 76.95 sitory L	Iniver20as Braw
South America	514.35	aya 4.05 sitory U	Iniversisas Braw
		Dopositoni I	Iniversition Drove

1/ Cited from The World Food Problem (2), vol. II, p 430, and presented in Table 22.4 in Brady, 1974 p 584

In order to establish a successful long-term solution to the food crop production problems on acid mineral soils, the inherent characteristics of acid mineral soils must be understood. From various studies and reviews of moderately to highly weathered leached soils (Pratt and Roberto Alvahydo, 1966; Mohr, van Baren, and van Schuylenborgh, 1972; McLean, 1971; Kamprath, 1971; Zelazny and Calhoun, 1971; Sarl hez, 1976; Driessen, Buurman, and Permadhy, 1976; Buurman and Junus Dai, 1976; Buurman, Rochimah, and Sudihardjo, 1976), some of the characteristics can be summarized, see Table 4. The conditions mentioned in point 1 and 3 indicate a high potential for developing rainfed agriculture.

BRAWIJA

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Reportable 3. Latosol and Podzolic Soils Distribution in Indonesia 4 ava

Province .		Great Soil Gr	roup(e)OSt	Total	(Ha) ers	% acid so	fl base
ository Univer	Latosol	'R.Y.Podzolic	G.B.Podzolic	o acid soils	arable land	Province	islan
ository Univer	sitas B	rawijaya	x 10 ³ Ha	lory U	niversi	tas.Br	wija
West Java	1.569	rawii 325 a	Renosi	1.894	4.625	tad1Bra	14.
D.K.I. Jakarta	31	angega	richosi	31	56	55	G.
Central Java	763	rawijava	Remei	763	3,425	22	5.
East Java	412	awijaya	Repusi	412	4,794	9	3.
Java and Madura	2,775	325 a	Reposi	3,100	13,219	tas_bra	23.
D. I. Aceh	388	1.450 d	100	1.938	5,538	lassbra	WIE.
North Sumatera	706	1,931	D 713	3,350	7.081	470	7.
West Sumatera	1,100	900	repusi	2,000	4.975	4000	4.
Riau	62	3,500	244	3,806	9.456	40	8.
Jambi Orv Univer	S 881	1 360	Keposi	2 250	4 494	5000	4
South Sumators	775	1 700	. 275	3 750	9 844	38	7.
Bengkulu	SIL	aw1,700	KEDOSI	.004	2 250	1254512	2
Lampung	1 350	1 2/.4		2 625	3 725	70 5	5
Costory Univer	S 1,350	rawijaya	Reposi	2,025	n.13,127 SI	tas Bra	Magai
Sumatera	6,018	12,332	2,363	20,713	47,363	tas Bra	43.
West Kalimantan	1,244	2,888	Donaci	4,132	14,675	28	7.
Central Kalimantan	2,112	2,867	Reposi	4,969	15,262	10 33 0 0	9.
South Kalimantan	530	237	788	1,555	3,769	41	2.
East Kalimantan	5110562	aw3,977 a	RE25005	4,789	20,294	las4Bla	8.
Kalimantan Univer	S 4,468	raw 9,959 a	1,038	15,465	54,000	tas-Bra	28.
North Sulawesi	531	raw11284/2	Reposi	615	2,675 5	a 23 Bra	W/ 3.
Central Sulawesi	1,012	200		1,212	6,475	19	6.
South Sulawesi	819	312	169	1,300	6,225	12 21 Bra	6.
S.E. Sulawesi	287	87	456	830	3,719	22	4.
Sulawesi	2,649	683	625	3,937	19,094	tas Dia	20.
Maluku	331	2 037	360	2 737	7 475	37	wijo
Irian Java	356	7 113	1 593	9 062	42 200	21 5	18
	0110350	,	1,555	3,002	42,200	1010110	10.
Maluku and Irian Jaya	S 687	9,150 a	1,962	11,799	49,675	tas-Bra	23.
Ball tory Univer	SI 250	rawijaya	Reposi	250	556	tagsBra	W 3.
NTB	it and the	manufi all'i	Delater	I. Trum a	air and the	to a Day	
stsitory Univer	SIL 313 5	rawijaya	Keposi	0 313	4,906	lase Bra	WIG
Nusatenggara	SIL 563 B	rawijaya	Reposi	0 563	7,593	tas-Bra	WIZ.
INDONESIA	S 17,160	av 32,449 a	P5,988	55,597	190,944	tas_Bra	29.

Reposito of the tentative map 1972, Central Soil Research Institute, Bogor. Versitas Brawijaya

BRAWIJ

26 Table 4. Climatic-, Physical-, Physico-Chemical-, and Chemical Characteristics of Tropical Acid Mineral Soils (Summaries) Condition Description 1. Climatic Mostly rainy to seasonal, with an average of 9.5-12 months with an average rainfall greater than 100 mm for the rainy region and 4.5-9.5 months for the seasonal region. 2. USDA Taxonomy Class Latosol and Podzolic soils in Indonesia are mostly belong to Inceptisol and Ultisol. severals belong to Alfisol 3. Physical condition: Loam to clay to heavy clay soils Texture Relatively exhibit good structure Structure Permaebility poor to excessive drained good to excellent structure stability Stability 4. Weatherable minerals Low to very low in weatherable minerals 5. Clay minerals Variable amount of montmorillonite, kaolinite, halloysite, vermuculite, 2:1 to 2:1:1 intergrades, hydrated oxides of Fe and/or Al (gibbsite, hematite, goethite, and resistant minerals (quartz, zircon). 6. Charge characteristics Exhibit permanent as well as variable charge. More intensive weathered will dominated by the variable charge type colloids. The permanent charge is dominated by exchangeable aluminum. Generally have a net negative exchange sites. Acid with pH(H,0). 1:1 ratio below 5.0 7. Acidity ö. Effective CEC Low to high in effective cation exchange capacity. Those with relatively high in ECEC (at soil pH) are primarily due to high in exchangeable aluminum. Generally, have ECEC less than 5.0 me/100 g soil. 9. Leaching lost of nutrient Low ECEC, coupled with high rainfall and high infiltration rate, will stimulate nutrient lost through leaching. High intensity of rainfall will stimulate soil and nutrient lost through surface runOff on sloping areas. 10. Aluminum content Contain apprecaible quantity of aluminum. Exchangeable aluminum ranges from as low as 0.05 to as high as 30.00 me A1/100 g soil. Aluminum saturation ranges from less than 1% to 90 %. Generally, the acid mineral soils have Al-saturation on the exchange sites which is toxic to most food crops. Some of the "Latosols" exhibit relatively 11. Manganese content high in available manganese which is toxic for most food crops 12. Micronutrient Many of the high leached soils are currently at marginal availability levels of micro nutrient, mainly zinc. 13. Base status Medium to very low in base saturation. 1

REPOSITORY, UB. AC. ID

UNIVERSITAS RRAWIIAVI

REPOSITORY.UB.AC.ID

UNIVERSITAS BRAWIJAY

Unfortunately, these two "favorable" conditions do not aviava balance the unfavorable properties listed in Table 4. Even with addition of reasonable amounts of NPK fertilizers, winya food crop production on many of these soils will fail. The presence of toxic concentrations of aluminum (lignon and Pierre, 1932; Vlamis, 1953; Adams and Pearson, 1967; McLeod and Jackson, 1967; Arminger et al, 1968; Abruna et al, 1970; Hutchinson and Hunter, 1970; Evans and Kamprath, 1970; Hoyt and Nyborg, 1971; McLean et al, 1972) and occasionally, the presence of toxic concentrations of manganese (Sherman and Fujimoto, 1946; Morris, 1948; Adams and Wear, 1957; Neenan, 1960; Foy, 1964; Siman, Cradock, Nichols, and Kirton, 1971) are a major limiting factors for optimum crop growth and production. Liming is the best solution to the alleviation of aluminum (and manganese) toxicity and to to the reduction of other un-Waya favorable conditions.

Repository Universitas Br27 java

Liming increases the availability of some nutrients and decreases that of others. Liming promotes organic matter decomposition, releasing NO_3^-N to soil solution, making it available to crops or to be leached into deeper soil layers. This increase in available N is at the expense of a reduced total N supply (Scarbrook, 1965). Liming hydrolizes strengite and variscite and releases P ions to soil solution. Liming also reduces the concentration of soluble and exch-Al⁺³ and iron ions which otherwise react with added soluble P to form sparingly soluble Al-P and Fe-P (Black, 1968). Liming increases mineralization of organic-P (Ghani and Aleem, 1942; Halstead, Lapensee, and Ivarson, 1963; Kaila, 1961, 1965). Despite the fact that the immediate benefits of lime application have not ava been related to increase supplies of Ca (and Mg) (Schmehl, Peech, and Bradfield, 1950), plant growth in acid soils 22 deficient in Ca (and Mg) will receive this additional advantage from liming. Liming increases the availability of both indigenous and fertilizer molybdenum (Bhella and Dawson, 1972). Liming increases the net negative exchange va sites. This is due to the fact that: (1) the exchange sites which are previously occupied by Al⁺³ and H₃O⁺ ions va are opened for exchange reaction; (2) the functional groups which form complex compounds with Al⁺³ ions are active again in exchange reaction; (3) the blocking effect of polymeric hydroxy-Al precipitate in and on clays (deVilliers and Jackson, 1967) is eliminated and become active again in exchange reaction; and (4) deprotonation of H-bonded to clay edges and organic matter increases the net negative sites too. On the other hand, liming decreases the availability of zinc (Camp, 1945; Epstein and Stout, 1951; Waya John, 1972; Shukla, 1972), and copper (Menzel and Jackson, 1940; Peech, 1941) through formation of organo-metal complex (Hodgson, Lidsay, and Trierweiler, 1966). Liming also will decrease the availability of boron (Sims and Bingham, Va McPhail, Page and Bingham, 1972). 1968;

Universitas Brawijava

Repository Universitas Brays

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Reportrom the above discussion, one should always keep in ava mind that liming acid mineral soils in the tropics creates potential benefits as well as potentially detrimetal ef-ava The detrimental effects generally occur from liming fects. beyoned levels required to gain the benificial effects. waya When precautions are taken not to lime beyond required levels, the maximum benefit can be achieved. Therefore, liming programs for acid mineral soils in the tropics should be directed toward three major targets: (1) for acid mineral soils having relatively high in exch-Al⁺³, liming should be directed to neutralization of aluminum (Kamprath, 1970, 1971, 1972; McLean, 1971; Reeve and Sumner, 1970); (2) for acid mineral soils having nontoxic level of Al⁺³, low in ECEC, and where the exchange sites are dominated by pH-dependent sources (organic matter plus hydroxy-Al or/ and Fe polymers), liming should be directed to pH adjustment. The adjustment of pH in temperate acid mineral soils, where major sources of acidity are pH-dependent, coupled with relatively high unweathered minerals, causes no adverse effects of liming to pH_(H₂0) 6.4 or higher (McLean, 1970, 1971). Waya But, for tropical acid mineral soils, liming beyond pH 6.0 may curtail acid weathering or solubilities of compounds 3/3/3 so as to cause deficiencies of Mn, Cu, Zn, or B (Corey et al, 1971; Kamprath, 1970, 1971; and (3) liming beyond pH 6.0 ava Kamprath, 1970, 1971; is not recommended (Corey et al, 1971; McLean, 1971) . ersitas Brawijaya

Repository Universitas Bra29 Java

REPOSITORY.UB.AC

REPOSITORY, UB. AC. II

UNIVERSITAS BRAWI IAV

tEPOSITORY.UB.AC.ID

UNIVERSITAS BRAWIJA Twinty-eight acid mineral soil samples were used in an incubation experiment. The first set of greenhouse experiments used seven of these soils, and the second greenhouse study used four soils selected from the 28. The soil samples were collected from different location in West Java, Central Java, South Sumatera, West Sumatera, and East Kalimantan. Twinty-five samples were taken from the top layer (0-30 cm), and the rest were taken from the sub-layer (30-60 cm). Hereafter, they will be assigned code numbers 1 to 28 whenever no descriptive name is given in the text.

Repository Universitas Brawiava

Repository Universitas Brawijaya s

The soil samples were air dried, ground and sieved prior to use. Soil aggregates less than 2 mm were used in the incubation experiment, and for chemical analysis. Soil aggregates less than 3 mm were used in the greenhouse experiments. The chemical properties of the 28 soils are tabulated in Appendix Table 1; the average values are presented in Table 5.

Repository Universitas Chemical Analyses ory Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya – Repository Universitas Brawijaya

The chemical methods used for soil and plant tissue

analyses are presented in Table 6. Detailed procedures are given in Appendix B. Repository Universitas Brawiaya Repository Universitas Brawiaya Repository Universitas Brawiaya Repository Universitas Brawiaya

OSITORY.UB.AC.ID

Reposito Table 5. The Average and The Range Values of Repository Universite Selected Soil Properties of the Islas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Used in Various Experiments Versitas Brawiava

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

o <u>sitory Universitas Brawijav</u>	a Repos	itory Universitas	Brawija
Soil property	average a/	itory Universitas range	
ository Universitas Brawijay	a · Repos	itory Universitas	Brawija
ository Universitas Brawijay	a Repos	tory Universitas	
pH (H20) 111	a Repos	itorf: Universitas	
os ph (Kc1) , Netsitas Brawijay	a repos	itor3.3) TV4.9 tas	
sand (%)	a Repos	itory Universitas	
osilt (%) versitas Brawijay	27.2005	7.0 - 48.9	
OSIClay (%)/ersitas Brawijay	a 64.600s	18.5 - 92.5	
OSC-organic (%) Las Brawlay	2.06 05	0.78 - 4.04	
STotal N (%) Las Brawijay	0.20	0.19 - 0.29	
Exch-Cations (me/100 g),			
osi <mark>na+y Universitas Brawijay</mark>	a Repos 0,14	0.04 - 0.86	
ositery Universitas Brawijay	a Rebos	0.06 - 0.59	Brawija
osito+2 Universitas Brawijay	a Rebos	0.45 17.16	
ositas Brawijay	2.00 05	0.43 - 17.10 as	
ostery Universitas Brawijay	a 2.050s	00.04 - 10.1935	
osabry Universitas Brawijay	a 5.8800s	0.06 - 28.82 35	
OSTAC (me/100 g) Wildy	a 7.1500s	0.22 - 30.88 8	
Exch-Ac (me/100 g)	a 11.1305	5.87 - 21.46	
CEC (me/100 g)	24.73	8.85 - 49.51	
ECEC (me/100 g)	12.36	3.46 - 32.58	
Base saturation ^{b/} (%)	18.0	4.3 - 39.9	
Base saturation (%)	52.3	5.2 - 99.0	
Al-saturation (%)	38.1	0.2 - 88.5	
Ext-A1 (me/100 g)	12.83	2.90 - 38.24	
Usitory Universitas Brawijay	a Repus	nory-oniversitas	
a/ Average of 28 soils us	sed Doopool	itory Universitas	
b/ Calculated based on CI	EC Repos		
osito <u>c</u> / Calculated based on EC	DEC Repos		
ository Universitas Brawijay			
	a Repos	itory Universites	Diami
	a Denas	interpress	Drawije
	a blint	PER USTAN	S N
	a bolton	Universitas Bi	awijaya
	a hep	cory Universitas	Drawije
	a Repos		

BRAWIJAVA

BRAWIJAYA

JAYA

BRAWIJ

Repository Universitas Brazvijava

Field moisture capacity (FMC) was determined according to the following procedure. A known quantity of air dried soil aggregates was placed in a paralon cylinder having an inner diameter of 5.0 cm and height of 20 cm. Distilled water was then added until a wetting front of about 12.5 cm was observed. The top of the cylinder was sealed with a piece of plastic sheet to prevent evaporation, and the cylinder was stored at room temperature. After 3 days, the middle portion of the moist soil was removed and its moisture content determined by lost of weight upon drying in an oven at 105° C for 48 hours.

Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya

The 28 soil samples were equilibrated with 6 levels of pure reagent grade $Ca(OH)_2$ or $CaCO_3$ powder. The amount of lime material needed to achieve soil-pH_(H20) 6.4 as determined by the SMP-LR-pH_{6.4} (Shoemaker <u>et al</u>, 1961) was assigned as the 1.00 SMP-LR-pH_{6.4} unit. The other five levels were: 0.00, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, and 1.50 SMP-LR-pH_{6.4} units (Appendix Table 2 column 4). The amount of Ca(OH)₂ or CaCO₃ required for each level was mixed with 500 g soil aggregates. Distilled water was added to wet to 100 percent field moisture capacity, and the moist limed soil aggregates

Repository Universitas Brawaaya

Table 6. Chemical Methods Used for Soil and Plant Analyses 4/

Analysis	Repository	Reference
pository Universita	s Brawijaya Repository	Universitas Braw
postiny Universita		
pH(H ₀) or pH(KC1)	1:1 ratio; shaken 5 min	Anonymous, 1981
Soil ² Texture ersita	Pipette method using Na- pyrophosphate as dispers- ing agent	Kilmer and Alexander, 1949
apository Universita	NH ₄ OAc pH 7.0 extraction titration with std. NaOH	Anonymous, 1981
epececory Universita epository Universita	NH ₄ OAc determ. bases plus TAC	A.S.F. Juo (ed.) . 1979.
C-organic	Walkley and Black method	Universitas Braw
Total N	Macro Kjeldahl method	Universitas Braw
TActory Universita	$\frac{N}{10}$ KCl, 1:10 ratio, titrat- ion with std. NaOH	Anonymous, 1981
Exch-Ac epository Universita	BaC1 ₂ -TEA buffered at pH 8.0. Modified method of Alexander	Alexander, 1976 Universitas Braw
erExch-Al ⁺³ niversita epository Universita epository Universita	N KCl extraction, 1:10 ra- tio, shaken 30 min, and Al in aliquot determ. by Fer- ron method	Rainwater and Thatcher, 1960
epository Universita epository Universita epository Universita epository Universita	NH ₄ OAc pH 4.8, 1:25 ratio, Al in aliquot determ. by Ferron method after treatm with <u>agua regia</u>	Slamet Setijono, 1974
Exch-bases NVersita pository Universita pository Universita pository Universita	NH ₄ OAc pH 7.0 extract, 1:20 ratio. Na and K by flame photometer, Ca+Mg by EDTA titration after aqua regia treatment	Chapman and Pratt, 1961 Universitas Braw Universitas Braw
Plant Tissue:		
Dry Ashing	I.I.T.A. Selected Method	A.S.F. Juo (Ed.)
P K Ca, Mg, Mn, Zn, Cu Fe, and Al	by Vanado-molybdate by Flame photometric by Atomic Absorption by Ferron method	Universitas Braw Universitas Braw

UNIVERSITAS BRAWIJAYA REPOSITORVUB.ACID

was put in a plastic bag and tightly sealed to inhibit evaporation without unduly inhibiting diffusion of 0_2 and CO_2 gas. The plastic bags with soil were kept at room temperature during the entire period of the experiment. After 30 days incubation, a sub-sample was taken from each bag for chemical analyses. The chemical analyses included: $pH_{(H_20)}$, $pH_{(KC1)}$, TAc, exch-Ac, exch-Al⁺³, exch-bases, exchangeable-H⁺, ECEC, base saturation, Al-saturation, and Al-neutralization.

Repository Universitas Brayijaya

The relationship between soil-pH and the other soil properties being affected by lime application is presented in the form of scatter diagrams.

The reference LR test value of each soil used in the incubation experiment was calculated from the corresponding $pH_{(H_20)}$ - lime curve at two predetermined $pH_{(H_20)}$ values of 5.5 and 6.0. The reference LR tests were designated as the $CaCO_3$ -LR-pH_(H_20) 6.0 and the $CaCO_3$ -LR-pH_(H_20) 5.5. The corresponding $CaCO_3$ -LR-pH_{(KC1)1} and $CaCO_3$ -LR-pH_{(KC1)2} were also derived. The average value of the difference between pH measured in distilled water and that in <u>N</u> KCl of each soil receiving different levels of lime was calculated; this value was then substracted from 6.0 or 5.5, and the result was used as the predetermined pH_{(KC1)1} or 2⁻ lime curves.

UNIVERSITAS BRAWIJAYA

Repository U The Prediction of Lime Requirement Slas Brawlaya The Buffer Methods

The selected buffer methods were: (1) the SMP Buffer Méthod (Shoemaker et al, 1961), (2) the SMP-Double Buffer Method (McLean et al, 1978), and (3) Yuan's Double Buffer Method (Yuan, 1974, 1976). All the buffer methods were ava Hereafter, they are based on a desired $pH_{(H_20)}$ of 6.0. referred to as SMP-LR-pH 6.0, SMP-DB-LR-pH 6.0, and Yuan-Viaya DB-LR-pH_{6.0} Universitas Brawijaya

OTV. Lime Estimation Based on Soil Properties Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Repos The soil properties used in this study were derived ava from the original chemical data presented in Appendix Table 1. Simple correlation analyses and multiple regression by the forward selection procedure (Kleinbaum and Kupper, 1978 chapt. 15) were carried out to evaluate soil properties contributing to LR of the acid mineral soils. The soils

were classified into two groups based upon the amount of

exch-Al⁺³ contents.

Repository Universitas Bravilava

The First Unit Experiment Seven different acid soils were used in this experiment. Each soil received 6 levels of pure reagent grade CaCO₃ powder. The amount needed to achieve pH_(H20) 6.0 was based on the SMP-LR-pH_{6.0} and was assigned as the 1.00 SMP-LR-pH_{6.0}

Repository Universitas Greenhouse

unit. The other 5 levels were: 0.00, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, and 1.25 SMP-LR-pH_{6.0} units (Appendix Table 3 column 2-3).

Repository Universitas Brawaeva

Experiments Universitas Brawijaya

Air dried soil aggregates, equivalent to 1000 g 105°C oven dry, was mixed with the specific amount of lime, placed in a plastic bag, brought to 100 percent FMC with distilled water, closed tightly with a rubber band, and kept at room temperature for 30 days. After 30 days, the soil was air dried and basal nutrient solution was sprayed onto the soil aggregates. The basal nutrient mixture is listed in Table 7. After the basal nutrient application, the soil

Table 7. The Composition and Concentration of Basal Nutrient Solution

Elementers	Carrier Va	Conc. in 200 ml volume awijay
ository Univers	ilas Brawijaya	Reposit (rppm persitas Brawijay
ository _N Univers	NH4NO3	Repositor200 hiversitas Brawijay
sitory plnivers	H ₃ PO ₄	Repositorio niversitas Brawijay
sitory K nivers	K ₂ SO ₄	Repository Universitas Brawijay
Mg	MgSO4	Repository Universitas Brawijay
Zn	ZnSO ₄	Repository ¹⁰ niversitas Brawijay
Sitory Cunivers	CuSO4	Repository Chiversitas Brawijay
sitory BJnivers	H ₃ BO ₃ /iava	Repository IO.9/ersitas Brawijav
ository Monivers	H_MOO_	Repository 0.9 ersitas Brawijay
ository Universi	itas Brawijaya	Repository Universitas Brawijay

BRAWIJAYA

UNIVERSITAS BRAWIJAYA [REPOSITORY UB.ACID]

BRAWIJAYA

was brought to 100 percent FMC again, and incubated for additional 10 days. Prior to putting it in a 1.0 kg capacity plastic pot, a sub-sample was taken for analysis. The results of the chemical analyses are tabulated in Appendix Table 3. The test crop, corn (*Zea mays* L.), experimental hybrid 17x16 was planted four seeds per pot, and thinned

hybrid 17x16 was planted four seeds per pot, and thinned to three plants after emergence. The seeds were planted 2 cm deep. All pots were rotated at random twice a week, and watered daily to maintain the moist soil at 100 percent FMC during the entire period of the experiment. The experimental design used was a completely random design (CRD) with three replications. The corn plants were harvested at 35 days after planting, oven dreid at 60-65^OC for 72 hours, weighed and ground in a Wiley cutting mill having stainless steel blades, and a 40 mesh sieve. The plant tissue was then saved for further chemical analyses.

Repository Universitas Brayajaya

The Second Unit Experiment ava

Square boxes lined with black plastic sheet were made of 9 mm plywood. The boxes had an upper diameter of 25 cm and a bottom inner diameter of 20 cm, and were 60 cm deep (Figure 1). They were packed with sub-layer soil aggregates to depth of 20-50 cm, and with top-layer soil aggregates to depth of 0-20 cm. The soil aggregates were packed to a bulk density of 1.0. The toplayer of soil aggregates received a factorial combination of treatments before they



Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Br₃₉ jaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya placed in their respective pots. The factorial combinat-

ions were: L_1D_1 , L_1D_2 , L_2D_1 , L_2D_2 , L_3D_1 , L_3D_2 , L_4D_1 , L_4D_2 , where L_{1-4} represents CaCO₃ levels, equivalent to 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, and 1.00 SMP-LR-pH_{6.0} unit respectively; D_1 and D_2 represent depth of CaCO₃ application of 0-10 cm and 0-20 cm. A randomized block design arrangement was used with three replications.

The CaCO₃ treated soil aggregates were incubated at 100 percent FMC in a tightly sealed plastic bag at room temperature for a period of 30 days. The incubated soil was then air dried, and the basal nutrient solution was evenly sprayed onto th soil aggregates (Table 8). An Incubation of 10 days

Rep

Table	e 8.	The Compositio	n and C	Concentrat	ion of	
		Basal Nutrient	Soluti	ion of you		

Element	Carrier	Conc. in 200 ml volume
itory Univer	sitas Brawijaya sitas Rrawijaya	Renos (ppm.)
itor ^N Univer	NH ANO 3	Reposito 200 1/versitas Braw
itor P Univer	Sita H ₃ PO4 ava	Reposito 200 niversitas Braw
itor K Univer	sita K2SO4 jaya	Reposito 200 niversitas Braw
iton _{Mg} niven	Sita MgSO	Repository15/niversitas Braw
iton _{Zn} niven	Sita ZnSO4	Repositor ₁₀ Iniversitas Braw
iton _{Cu} niver	CuSO	Repository 6 niversitas Braw
B Univer	H ₃ BO ₃	Repositor 10 Iniversitas Braw
Мо	H2MOO4	Popository 0.9
<u>1</u> / 100 j The at 3	ppm N was appli other half (100 0 days after pl	ed as basal nutrient. ppm N) was applied anting.
nen carried	out at 100 % F	MC. Finally, the treated
nen carried were put in	out at 100 % F nto their respe	MC. Finally, the treated ctive pot.
nen carried were put i	out at 100 % F nto their respe	MC. Finally, the treated ctive pot.
nen carried were put i	out at 100 % F nto their respe	MC. Finally, the treated ctive pot. Repository Universitas Braw
were put in	out at 100 % F nto their respe sitas Brawijaya sitas Brawijaya	MC. Finally, the treated ctive pot. Repository Universitas Braw Repository Universitas Braw

-

W

S

Repos Two soils with different acid strengths were used in ya the second greenhouse experiment: a Reddish Brown Latosol and a Red Yellow Podzolic. The Latosol was taken from a dya previously unlimed rubber nursery site at the Darmaga Experimental Station, and the Podzolic soil was taken from 2V2 an uncultivated area near Gajruk village. Present vegetation is mainly Melastoma sp. and Imperata cylindrica. Stawijaya Repos The plant indicator was an experimental hybrid of corn, 17x16. Four seeds were planted per pot; two seeds 5 cm from the glass window, and two seeds in the center of the available pot. After emergence, they were thinned to one plant per hole. Prior to planting the seeds, dionized water was added at rate of 250 ml per minute until a waterfront at 20 cm was observed through the glass window. During the entire experimental period, 500 ml of deionized water was added daily. The water was sprayed 5 cm above the soil surface, and allowed to move downwards by gravity. Within 3 days dya after planting, water reached the bottom, and the excess water was permitted to leach out through the bottom hole. 3/3 The corn plants near the glass window were harvested 35 days after planting and those at the center were harvested Va 10 days later. The corn plants were oven dried at 60-65°C for 72 hours, and weighed. During the course of the experiment, root growth and development were visually observed through the glass window. The glass windows were covered with a black plastic sheet

Repository Universitas Braven

UNIVERSITAS BRAWI IAV

tePOSITORY.UB.AC.ID

when not under observation. At the end of the 45 day period, the glass windowed side was opened, soil aggregates were removed from the root surfaces by gentle tapping and a gentle tap-water spraying. Several pictures were then taken.

Repository Universitas Brayijaya

Repository Universita Field Experiments Universitas Brawijaya

Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Univer

The field experiments were conducted on a Latosol from Darmaga and on a Podzolic soil from Jonggol. The two soils have different soil properties (Appendix Table 4). Detailed procedures are outlined in the following sections.

Field Experiment on the Latosol from Darmaga

Different levels of calcitic limestone (80 mesh), equivalent to 0.125, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, 1.00 and 1.25 SMP-LR-pH_{6.0} test values were used. The lime material was broadcast on 7.5 m x 5.0 m plots, and mixed with the top 15 cm of soil using a small rototiller hand-tractor. Eight replications of each lime treatment, laid out in a randomized complete block design arrangement were used. The liming material was applied two weeks prior planting. In addition, basal fertilizers composed of 100 kg N, 250 kg P, 150 kg K, and 45 kg Mg per hectare in the form of urea, TSP, K_2SO_4 and MgSO₄, respectively, were applied. The basal fertilizers were broadcast, mixed with the soil to a depth of 15 cm with a small rototiller hand-tractor. A high yielding corn variety H-6 was hand planted in rows 100 cm apart running east and west. Three redomil-treated seeds were spaced 20 cm apart in the row and later thinned to one plant per hill 30 days after emergence, and one day before the application of the second half of the N (100 kg/Ha). The final population was 50 thousand plants per hectare.

Repository Universitas Brave

10

During the first weeks of the growing period, the corn plants were sprayed with insecticide (Basodin 60) at the recommended rate at two days interval. The rest of the growing period, the corn plants were sprayed with Basodin 60 or Thiodane at much longer intervals. The corn plants were harvested at 96 days after planting, 9 days ealier than it had been planned, because of strong wind and thunder stroms during the last two weeks on the previously planned schedule.

Field Experiment on Podzolic Soil from Jonggol Brawijaya

The experimental layout was 6 x 3 factorial arranged in a split-plot design with three replications. The experimental plot area was 7.0 cm x 5.0 cm. The main-treatment was P, applied at three levels: 75, 150, and 225 kg P per hectare. The sub-treatment was lime with six levels, equivalent to 0.00, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, 1.00, and 1.25 SMP-LR $p_{6.0}^{H}$ test values. The lime material was 80 mesh calcitic limestone. Both treatments were broadcast over the entire 7.0 cm x 5.0 cm plot and mixed in the top 15 cm of soil with

a large hoe. In addition, basal fertilizers, consisting of 100 kg N and 150 kg K per hectare were added. The awiava second treatment of N (100 kg N/Ha) was applied at 30 days UNIVERSITAS BRAWIJAY after planting the corn seeds (high yielding variety H-6) or one day after thinning to one plant per hill. The cal-Va citic limestone was applied two weeks before planting. P fertilizer plus the basal fertilizers were applied two aya days before planting.

Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya

The plant protection procedure used was the same as that of the Darmaga field experiment. Wayaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya



Repository Universitas Bravijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya

The

Repository Universites RESULTS AND DISCUSSION of Universites Brawiava

The Effect of Lime on Selected Soil Properties

The selected soil properties were: pH, titratable acidity, exchangeable acidity, exchangeable aluminum, aluminum saturation, base saturation, cation exchange capacity, and effective cation exchange capacity. The selected properties were determined on samples which had been incubated with different levels of lime for 30 days. The results are tabulated in Appendix Table 2.

Soil-pH(H20) and Soil-pH(KC1)

Soil pH changes as affected by CaCO₃ increments are tabulated in Appendix Table 2 column 4 and 5. Some of these lime rate-soil pH relationships are presented in Figure 2. The circled numerical figure at the end of each curve represents the soil code number. Different acid strength or buffering capacities are observed among the soils. The general forms of the buffering curves are the same as those reported by McLean <u>et al</u> (1960), Pratt and Roberto Alvahydo (1966). The buffering curves indicate that the 28 soils exhibit several buffering ranges within the CaCO₃ levels used in the experiment. According to Volk and Jackson (1963) descrete buffering zones are visible in most of acid soils. Working with H-resin treated montmorillonite plus AlCl₃, Volk and Jackson (1963) uncovered four distinct buffering ranges which had been attributed to hydronium monomeric


REPOSITORY, UB. AC. ID

tEPOSITORY.UB.AC.ID

JNIVERSITAS BRAWIJAY

tirvalent aluminum, polymeric hydroxy aluminum, and other pH-dependent charges. Within the pH range obtained in this experiment, all sources of acidity (Jackson, 1963; Black, 1968) made important contribution to the acid strength of the soils. The pH data presented in Appendix Table 2 column 4 and 5 show that when the $pH_{(H_20)}$ is substracted from the pH_(KCl) a negative value is obtained. With increasing CaCO, increments the negative differences tend not to de-Thus, during the 35 day incubation period, there crease. was no significant effect of salt. Either means of measuring soil pH in distilled water or N KCl, can be used to determine the CaCO3-LR test, though for temperate acid mineral soils pH(KC1) is preferred (Keeney and Corey, 1963). When the average value of the negative differences between pH(H20) and pH(KC1) values is correlated with the corresponding exch-Al⁺³ of the CaCO3-untreated sample, a highly ava significant correlation coefficient is obtained (r = 0.894,* n = 28), indicating that the higher the difference between $^{\text{pH}}$ (KCl) and $^{\text{pH}}$ (H₂0) the more important is the exch-Al⁺³ as source of acidity (Julian Velez and Blue, 1971), and the higher the LR of the particular soil. The negative difference, pH (H20 - KCl), indicates that the 28 soils exhibit net negative charge at their existing soil pH values, and their zero point of charge (ZPC) should be below these natural pH values (Uehara and Keng, 1975; Morais, Page, and Lund, 1976; Gallez, Juo, and Herbillon, 1976; Parker, Zelazny, Sampath, and Harris, 1979).

sBlak

PERPUSTAKAAN

Universitas Brawijaya

UNIVERSITAS BRAWIJAY

REPOSITORY, UB. AC. ID

INIVERSITAS

Titratable Acidity (TAc)

The determined titratable acidity of the soils in response to CaCO₃ increments is tabulated in Appendix Table 2 column 6. Calcium carbonate treatment reduced TAc drastically in the first two CaCO₃ increments. The relationship with soil-pH is curvilinear. The components of TAc are exch-Al⁺³ and exch-H⁺ (Coleman, Weed, and McCracken, 1959). The higher the exch-Al⁺³ the faster was the rate of TAc reduction. The exch-H⁺ contributes only a small fraction to the TAc of the soils (Appendix Table 2 column 11).

Repository Universitas Braw47aya

Re!

The rates of change of exch-Ac with pH as both soil properties were affected by $CaCO_3$ application are tabulated in Appendix Table 2 column 13 and in Figure 3. The arabic number at the begining of each curve indicates the soil code number. Some of the relationships between soil pH_(H20) and exch-Ac were not shown in Figure 3 because they superimposed on those presented. The negative rate of exch-Ac reduction with increasing CaCO₃ increments is either linear or curvilinear, depending on the exch-Al⁺³ content of each soil studied. Those which exhibit relatively high levels of exch-Al⁺³ showed curvilinear relationships, and the inflection points are within soil-pH_(H20) range value of 5.5 to 6.0; below this arbitrary inflection point, the rate of



REPOSITORY, UB. AC.II

exchangeable acidity reduction with liming is higher when ava the exch-Al⁺³ content of the soil is higher. This implies that exch-Al⁺³ plays an important role in determining the Java rate of neutralization of exch-Ac of the soils below $pH_{(H_20)}$ of 5.5. It is interesting to note further that when the Waya titratable acidity value is subtracted from the corresponding exch-Ac value, several of the soils having relatively high levels of exch-Al⁺³ ,resulted in a negative value in the first 2-3 CaCO, increments, indicating that axch-Al+3 did not react with the BaCl2-TEA buffer solution. This fact was also observed by Shoemaker et al (1961). According to Shoemaker et al (1961), when CaCO3 is added to moist soil, the CaCO, reacts slowly with Al⁺³ ions causing only gradual change in pH (see Figure 2 code numbers 11, 12, and 28). Under these conditions, all the exch-Al⁺³ would eventually be replaced from the exchange sites, reacting quantitatively with the added CaCO3. In contrast, when BaCl2-TEA buffer solution is added to the soil sample, there is change in pH, which may cause a major an instanteneous portion of the unreacted Al+3 to be trapped inside the clay lattice, giving rise to the negative values.

Repository Universitas Braggiava

Exchangeable Aluminum (exch-A1+3) Pepository Universitas Brawijaya

The values of exch-Al⁺³ of the soils in response to calcium carbonate application are tabulated in Appendix Table 2 column 10. The relationship of exch-Al⁺³ to the corresponding $pH_{(H_20)}$ changes is presented in Figure 4. The exch-Al⁺³ decreases with increasing pH. The negative curvilinear characteristics and the drastic change which

Repository Universitas Br50vijava Repository Universitas Brawijaya **Repository Universitas Brawijaya** Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya **Repository Universitas Brawijaya** UNIVERSITAS BRAWIJA Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya Iniversitas Brawijaya **Repository Universitas Brawijaya** Repository Universitas Brawijaya **Repository Universitas Brawijaya** Repository Universitas Brawijaya niversitas Brawijaya Repository L Reposeory Universitas Brawijaya Reposeory Universitas Brawijaya Reposeory Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repos Fory Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repostory Universitas Brawijaya Repositor 1.0 siversitas Brawijaya Reposiery Universitas Brawijaya Reposiery Universitas Bravejaya Reposizory Universitas Brawijeya Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repose oy Universions Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya Soil-pH(H20) y Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya epository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Uni Figure 4. The Relationship between Soil-pH ava Repository Universitas Bravand Exchangeable Aluminum 1 BRAWIJ 1

occurs is in line with the evidence reported by many workers (Coleman et al, 1959; Moschler, Jones, and Thomas, 1960; Ayres, Hagihara, and Stanford, 1965; McLeod and Jackson, 1967; Pionke and Corey, 1967).

Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Effective Cation Exchange Capacity (ECEC)

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

As was shown previously, the permanent charge acidity or titratable acidity, and to some extent the pH-dependent charge acidity, were neutralized by CaCO, application. This neutralization resulted in an increase in net negative exchange sites. Thus, the cation exchange capacity of the soils increases accordingly. The magnitude of the increase depends on the buffering capacity. The CEC will supply information about the cation adsorption potentials of a soil. But, a more realistic approach is to measure the effective cation exchange capacity (ECEC). The ECEC of the soils in reponse to CaCO, increments is tabulated in Appendix Table 2 column 12. Twinty-one of the soils show an increase in ECEC with CaCO, increments. The rest of the soils (code numbers 4, 7, 11, 12, 26, 27, 28) did not follow this increasing trend. These seven soils, which have exch-Al+3 between 11.30 to 28.82 me Al⁺³ per 100 g soil, show a reduction in ECEC with the first 2-3 increments of CaCO3, and a drastic increase with further CaCO3 increments. This condition could be due to precipitation of the newly formed hydroxy-Al-polymers in interlayer position of 2:1 type minerals (Barnhisel and Rich, 1963; Hsu and Bates, 1964), and the increase with further CaCO₃ increments is associated with the formation of gibbsite.

Aluminum Saturation (Al-saturation) Postory Universitas Brawijaya

The method used to calculate Al-saturation of the soils was the one used by Sanchez (1976 p 224). The calculated values are tabulated in Appendix Table 2 column 14. The relationship between Al-saturation and soil-pH (H,0) as affected by CaCO, increments is presented in Figure 5. The curvilinear characteristics of the relationship has been previously reported by many workers (Abruna et al, 1975; Soares, Labato, Gonzalez, and Naderman, Jr., 1975; Sanchez, 1976; Fox, 1979). The Al-saturation and soil-pH relationships are more meaningful than the corresponding exch-Al⁺³ in describing the different rates of change of aluminum occupying the exchange sites at any soil-pH value in response to liming. Figure 5 shows that Al-saturation of the soils is drastically increased per unit decrease in soil-pH below pH(H,0) 5.5; the higher the exch-Al+3 the higher is the Waya Al-saturation value per unit pH drop below pH (H20) 5.5. Thus, its toxic potential will be much pronounced. On the ava contrary, when soil-pH (H_20) reaches a value of 5.5 of higher the diffrences in Al-saturation approach a zero value; Ballaya of the soils have Al-saturation of less than 5 percent. At soil-pH (H,0) 5.5 or higher, a minimum of 92.5 percent of waya aluminum neutralization is achieved (Figure 6).

Repository Universitas Brawijay253 Repository Universitas Brawijava Brave Brave Repository Universitas Repository Universitas Brawijaya Univa Repository 26 24 25 Universit Repositor Repositorr Univer sita 11 Reposito Reposito Univer UNIVERSITAS BRAWIJA 17 2 Reposito 0118 Repos³⁰ 0/0 Al-saturation ; 8 16 20 14 Z UNIVERSITAS 9 Re10 5 15 Repo Repository Universi Universit¹⁰ Ro itory Universitas Brawijava Repositero Universita 5.0 6.0 Soil-pH(H20) Universite Brawijaya RepositFigure 5. The Relationship Between Soil-pH Aluminum Saturation Repository Universitas Bra (H20) and Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawija 1 UNIVERSITAS 8.8



Base Saturation (BS)

Base saturation of the soils as determined by NH_4OAc pH 7.0 extraction and titration with standard NaOH solution to the methyl-orange endpoint (BS₁) is tabulated in Appendix Table 2 column 16. Base saturation of the soils based on ECEC (BS₂) is tabulated in Appendix Table 2 column 15. The BS₁ increases linearly with increasing soil-pH as shown in Figure 7. The corresponding regression equation is:

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

 $BS_{1} (\%) = -88.10 + 24.44 \text{ pH}_{(H_{2}0)} (r = 0.933^{**}).$

Base saturation calculated the basis of on ECEC exhibits different characteristics with soil-pH. The relationship with soil-ava pH is assymptotic (Figure 8). This kind of relationship was also reported by Pratt and Blair (1962) for several acid mineral soils in California. It is interesting to note, further, that this relationship is similar to the soil-pHexchangeable-Al⁺³ relationship or to the soil-pH-Al-Saturation relationship, except that the last two relationships are negatively related to soil-pH. Figure 8 also indicates that the higher the exch-Al⁺³ content of the soil the faster is the rate of BS2 reduction per unit pH drop from pH (H20) of 5.5, causing greater variation in BS2 among the soils studied. At soil-pH(H20) 5.5, the soils have reached BS2 values of about 82.5 percent, and at soil-pH (H_20) 6.0 or higher, about 92.5 percent of the exchange sites is occupied by bases, mainly Ca⁺² ions, because Ca⁺² ions were the only element added in increasing amount. Ostory Universitas Brawlaya





Repostor The Prediction of Lime Requirement Versitas Brawlava

Repository Universitas Br 58 Java

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Repository Universitas Brawijava

Calcium Carbonate or Calcium Hydroxide Equilibration Braw ava

The use of $CaCO_3$ or $Ca(OH)_2$ determined LR test as the standard or reference LR test is quite common (McLean <u>et al</u>, 1960, 1966; Keeney and Corey, 1963; Ross <u>et al</u>, 1964; Yuan, 1974). It is derived by conducting an incubation experiment, and measuring pH changes with $CaCO_3$ or $Ca(OH)_2$ increments. The results are then plotted as scatter diagrams. This scatter diagram is known as the buffering curve. Some of the buffering curves of the soils studied, determined after 30 days incubation period, are presented in Figure 2.

The buffering curve is a reflection of composite contribution of all sources of acidity participating in the process within a particular pH range. Thus, the determined LR at any pH value takes into account the contribution of sources of acidity operating at and below the desired pH value.

The length of incubation period used by workers in the derivation of the reference LR test varies considerably. The shortest incubation period encountered was 30 days used by Reeve and Sumner (1970), and four weeks used by Kamprath (1970) when he developed the exch-Al⁺³ as a criterion for liming leached mineral soils. The longest period reviewed was 17 months by Ross <u>et al</u> (1964). The basic concept

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Repository Universitas Brawing Universitas Repository Universitas Brawijaya = 0.50 + 0.87 CaCO₃-LR-pH (H₂0) 6.0 Ca (OH) 2-LR-pH (H2O) 6.0 0 r = 0.977** Universitas Brean 15 CaCO3-LR-pH (KC1) Ca (OH) 2-LR-PH (KC1) 1 + 0.85 = 0.965 n = 17 CaCO3-LR-pH (H2O; KC1) Ca (OH) 2-LR-PH (H20; KCL) = 0.66 + 0.86 0 Universitas Brasilaya sitas Brawijaya soil Versitas Brawijaya Iniversitas Brawijaya 00 me/100 80 . 10 Jniversitas Brawijaya 0 ository Universitas Brawijaya 0 0 ; KC1) 0 0 CaCO3-LR-PH srawijaya Brawijaya 5 5.0 10.0 15.0 Ca(OH) 2-LR-pH (H20 or KC1) ; me/100 g Figure The Relationship between CaCO3-LR Test 9. and Ca(OH) 2-LR Test of the Soils ository Repository Universitas Brawija

Repository Universitas Brawielva Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universit's Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya 30.5 Ory Universitas Brawijaya Uni n # 12 months incubation, CaCO. • I wonth incubation, Ca(OR)
1 month incubation, CaCO3
* hiversitas Brawijaya Repository tory Universitas Brawijaya Repositor Universitas Brawijaya 00 25.0 ory Universitas Brawijaya 00 me ory Universitas Brawijaya Sository Universitas Brawijaya 20.0 ory Universitas Brawijay (KC1 15.0 3-LR-pH 10.0 CaCO Repository Universitas Brawijaya - 0.15 + 0.98 CaCO3.1R-pH(H_0)6.0 Brawiaya CaCO3. LR-pH (KC1), Rei Brawijaka . 0.999** Ository Universitas Brawijaya ersitas Brawijava GRepository Universitas Brawijava 5.0 10.08 Brassoava 20.0 00 25.0 30.0 30.0 35.0 Repository Universitas CaCO₃-LR-pH₂(H₂0)6.0, me/100 g Brawijaya ository Universitas Brawijaya The Relationship between CaCO3-LR-pH (H20)6.0 Figure 10. Repository Un and Its Corresponding CaCO3-LR-pH (KC1) 1 Brawijaya

	. in pository						
	Repository	Universitas					
	Repository	Universitas	Brawijaya .	Repository.		Brawijaya	
	Repository					Br ⁶² vijaya	
	Repository						
REPO	Repository		Brawijaya				
	Repository						Rep
4	Repository		Brawijaya				
8	Repository						
S M	Repository.						
45	Repository	Universitas					
ST ST	Repository		Brawijaya				
	Repository	Universitas					
5 📫	Repository						
	Repository						
	Repository	CaCD IE-oli	Brawijaya	Repository			
	Repositor	3 (KC1)2	- 0.05 + 0.99 C	aC03-LR-pH (H20)5.5	Universitas		
	Repositor	Universitas	- 0.998** ya		Universitas		
9	Repository		Brawijaya	Repository	Universitas		
	Rep [®] Sit ₂₅	Universitas	Brawijaya	Repositor	Universitas		
TOR	Repesitor	Universitas		Repository			
	Repositor	Universitas		Repository			
	Repesit201-			Repository			Rej
	Repository		Brawijaya	Repository			
	Repository		Brawija	Repository		Brawijaya	
A	Repartor		Braverya		Universitas	Brawijaya	
¥ S	Represitory		Brayijaya			Brawijaya	
IS S	Refesion	Universitas	awijaya•	• One month CaCD3	incubetion		
≝≵	Repository	Universit	Brawijaya•	- Tuelve months Ca	CO3 incubation		
N 🐻	Repository	Universitis					
	Reos s	Universitas	Brawijaya				
	Repusitory	Bar Silas	Brawijaya				Rei
	Reposito	Universitas	Brawijaya			Brawijaya	
	Repository	S star	10 15	20 25	Universitas	Brawijaya	
	Repository	Ca	CO3-LR-PH	PO)5 57	1e/100 g		
	Repository		Brawijaya V	2013.50	Universitas		
ORY	DEigure	Universitas	Drawijaya	Repusitory			
Posi	Decoditory	. me ker	ationship .	between CaC	O3-LR-PH (H	0)5.5	
	Repository	and its	Correspon	ding CaCO ₃ -	LR-pH (KC1) 2	Brawijaya	
	Repusitory				Universitas		
4	Repository						
X	Repository						
ST	Repository		Brawijaya				
	Repository						
ж . Т	Repository						
≧ ∝	Repository			Repository			
	Repository		Brawijaya		Universitàs		Re
	ropository						100

•

1

REPOSITORY.UB.AC.ID

REPOSITORY.UB.AC.II

UNIVERSITAS BRAWIJAY/

incubation period of 30 days (Appendix Table 6 columns 5, 3/3 7, 9, and 11). This indicates that additional 11 months incubation period caused a pH reduction. Sources of acidity responsible for the increase in acidity or to the increase in LR, are classified as pH-dehendent acidity sources, where H⁺ ions are released from deprotonation of hydroxy-Al and hydroxy-Fe species, and from hydrogen covalently bonded to organic matter (Pionke and Corey, 1967). The other contribution is from ionic strength build-up due to mineraliz-ya ation of organic matter, and production of NO3 - N and sulphate ions. The effect of ionic strength or salt con- ava centration on pH has been discussed extensively by Van Raij and Peech (1971), Morais et al (1976), and Sanchez (1976, p.135-161). The parallelism test conducted in relation to this matter was not significant at the 5 percent probability level. Therefore, the rate of pH drop or the increase in lime requirement of the soils samples incubated for 12 months are relatively the same for all soils used in this experiment.

The Buffer Lime Requirement Tests pository Universitas Brawijaya

The SMP-LR-pH_{6.0} test values are presented in Appendix Table 6 column 12. The average value of the 28 soils was 12.74 me CaCO₃ per 100 g soil, with the minimum-maximum range value of 4.80-26.60 me CaCO₃ per 100 g soil. The SMP-LR $pH_{6.0}$ test values are higher than the reference LR test values,

except for soil code numbers 11 and 12 (Podzolic Gajruk). The tendency for the SMP-LR-pH 6.0 test to produce higher values than the reference LR test values was also observed by several workers (Keeney and Corey, 1963; Ross et al, 1964). This may be because the SMP-LR test recommendations are more suited for field conditions rather than to laboratory conditions (Ross et al, 1964). The underestimated values for Pozolic soil from Gajruk (exch-Al⁺³ > 20 me Al⁺³ per 100 g soil) should be interpreted as being beyond the capacity of the buffer solution. The derived values were obtained by extrapolation below the linear range of the original data presented by Shoemaker et al (1961) Shas Brawla

The relationships of the SMP-LR-pH 6.0 with the reference LR tests are presented in Table 9 and in Figure 12-13.

Relationship between the SMP-LR-pH and the CaCO3-Determined LR Tests Table 9.

Soil SThe Reference LR away Regression equation r-value test (Yi) Brawia group

 $Y = -0.47 + 0.90 X^{a} 0.974^{**}$ A CaCO3 - LR-pH (H20) 6.0 (n=13)Y = -4.300.892 B 1.52 X (n=11)A+B Y = -1.91 + 1.04 X0.937 (n=24)Y = -1.34 + 0.71 XCaCO3-TR-bH 0.960 A (H,0)5.5 (n=13)0.914** Y = -4.58 + 0.98 XB (n=11)** A+B Y = -3.09 + 0.91 X0.953 (n=24)

a/ X = The SMP-LR-pH₆ test value. Both LR tests were calcultaed on the basis of me/100 g soil



Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya Reposito o Universitas Brawijava Universitas Brawijaya orv Universitas Brawijaya • 12 months 10 Repository versitas Brawijaya 0 **) Y = -1.82 + 0.93 X(r=0.883 ersitas Brawijaya . 00 me/100 0 Universitas Brawii . Universitas Bra 5 (H₂0)5. CaCO₃-LR-pH 0 0 0 % • One month iversitas Persitas By= -3.09 0.91 x (r=0.953**) tas Brawijaya + Universitas Brawijaya 0 10 pository Universita15 Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijava SMP-LR-pH6.0 me / 100 g Universitas Brawijaya Figure 13. The Relationship Between SMP-LR-pH 6.0 185 Brawijaya Repositor Only and CaCO3-LR-pH (H₂0)5.5 Universitas Brawi pository Universitals Brawilaya PERPOSTARAANawijaya Universitas Brawijaya

The relationships with the corresponding $CaCO_3-LR-pH_{(KC1)1}$ or $CaCO_3-LR-pH_{(KC1)2}$ are presented in Figures 14 and 15. The SMP-LR-pH_{6.0} correlates very closely to the reference lime requirement tests. Better correlation coefficients were exhibited with the acid soils in group A than with the acid soils in group B (Table 9). The tendency of the SMP-LR test value to correlate better with the reference lime requirement test value of acid mineral soils, where sources of acidity are mainly pH-dependent sources, was also reported by Corey <u>et al</u> (1971).

Repository Universitas Bravejaya

The relationship of the SMP-LR- $pH_{6,0}$ to the CaCO₃-LR tests with 30 days incubation was better than that with the CaCO₃-LR tests using 12 months incubation (Figures 12 to 15), indicating that the LR using 30 days incubation with CaCO₃ is better for testing the reliability of the SMP Buffer-LR method. The overall conclusion is that if other LR tests require laborous steps in the routine soil testing framework, the SMP-LR- $pH_{6,0}$ would appear to be the logical choice for a LR test, especially for acid mineral soils where sources of acidity are mainly pH-dependent.

The SMP-DB-LR-pH(H_2 0) test was among the buffer methods studied. The derived SMP-DB-LR-pH_{6.0} values, together with the corresponding CaCO₃-LR-pH_{(H20)6.0} and the SMP-LR-pH_{6.0}, are presented in Table 10. The SMP-DB-LR-pH_{6.0} test values of the soils with code numbers 11, 12, 22, 26, 27, and 28



Repository Universitas Brayijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya • 12 months Brawiaya Repository

Iniversitas Brawijaya asitory Universitas Brawijaya (r=0.903 Y = -2.32 + 0.98 X-10 0 Repco me/100 niversitas Brawijay9 Reposito Universitas Browijay 2 (KC1) caco₃-LR-pH₍₁ 0 Repositon One month -1.82 + 0.76 x (r=0.975**) Brawijaya Y = 0 sitas 0 Inives sitas Repository 15 Repository Universitas BravSMP-LR-pH_{6.0} , me/100 giversitas Brawijaya The Relationship Between SMP-LR-pH6.0 Figure 15. Repository Universit@and CaC03-LR-pH (KC1)2 awijaya

were negative, and the reason of this discrepancy is not understood. It could be that the SMP-DB-LR test is basically for estimating low LR of acid mineral soils (McLean, <u>et al</u>, 1978), while these particular acid soils are high in exch-Al⁺³ (Appendix Table 1 column 17), and their LRs are very high. When the calculated SMP-DB-LR-pH_{6.0} test values are related to the corresponding CaCO₃-LR-pH_{6.0}, a highly significant correlation coefficient is obtained

> $CaCO_3$ -LR-pH_{(H2}O)6.0 = 2.48 + 0.78 SMP-DB-LR-pH_{6.0} (r = 0.830**) But, when the correlation coefficient is compared to the corresponding correlation coefficient of the SMP-LR-pH_{6.0} with the same reference LR test, as shown by the regression equation:

(r = 0.830**; Table 10). The regression equation is:

 $CaCO_3 - LR - pH_{(H_2^0)6.0} = -0.50 + 0.89 \text{ SMP} - LR - pH_{6.0}$ (r = 0.973**) the SMP - DB - LR - pH_{6.0} is obviously less suitable for predicting LR of the acid mineral soils as a group.

The Yuan-DB-LR-pH_{6.0} also gave a lower correlation coefficient with the $CaCO_3$ -LR-pH_(H20); it could only explain about 53 percent of the variability of the dependent variable.

The SMP-DB-LR test and Yuan-DB-LR test require laborous laboratory work and calculations. Therefore, it is best not to use them for determining LR of the soils, unless additional research work will prove they are reliable for acid soils low in lime requirement.

		Repository	Universitas I	
	Universitas Brawijava			
				71
			Universites	
				Drawijaya
Repository				
RepositTable	e 10. The SMP-DB-LR-pH	o, CaCO3-LR-pH	(H O)6 0	
Repository I	and the SMP-LR-pH	Test Values	2 versitas	
Repository	Universitas Brawijaya	Repository	Universitas I	Brawijaya
Soil co	de SMP DB LR-pH. Ca(O PLR-DHILOW	SMP IP-PH	
number	Iniversitas Braviava	3 In pr (H 0)6	5.0 ⁻⁵¹¹ EK-ph	.0
	Iniversities Branning (a	me CaCO3/100 g)	Brawijava
Penository	6.49	7.15	8.20	
repusite ₂ y	5.03	6.85	9.20	Drawijaya
keposito3y	Universit7.595rawijaya	11.92	13.40	
keposito ₂ y	Univers ^{11,54} 3rawijaya	R 9.25 tory	Unive10.405	
Repository	Universit ² .44 ³ rawijaya	10.05 LOTY	Unive11.20	
Reposit10y	Universit5.843rawijaya	R7.10 itory	Unive 8.30	
Reposit ¹¹ V	Universitas Badwijava	30.05 itory	25.80	
12	Iniversitas Brawilava	32.55	26.60	
	3.97	4.95	6.40	
15	4 /8	5.20	5.40	
Repositing 16	University 40 Frawijaya	8 65	Univerz.005	
Repositing V	Universit <u>445</u> Brawijaya	3.50	4.60	
Reposit18 y	Universi8.73Brawijaya	10.05	Unive12.00	
Reposition	Universi ⁶ .04Brawijava	R 8.20	Univ 10.40	
Reposit21	5.01	6.45	8.80	
21	Universit-s Brawijava	12.35	13.80	
23	6.91	6.50	8 20	
24	8.27	11.00	12.40	
keposi25 y	Universi8.59Brawijaya	9.70	11.20	
Repository	Universit a s Brawijaya	15.95sitory	011/22.005	
Reposi 28 V	Universit <u>a</u> s Brawijaya	21 45	23.20	
Repository I	Universitas Brawijaya	Repository	Universitas	
Reposia/ Th	e "d-value" calculated	with the orig	inal equation	Brawijaya
Repositongi	ven by McLean et al (19	78) was negati	ve. Therefore.	Brawijava
Repositor	e LR test value could n	ot be derived.	Universitas	Brawijava
	Iniversitae Browijaya			
		Depository		

•

UNIVERSITAS

Lime Estimation Based on Soil Properties Universitas Brawijaya

The selected soil properties possibly affecting the LR of the soils were assumed to be: pH, percent OM, percent clay, TAc, exch-Ac, exch-Al⁺³, nonexch-Al, and ECEC. These soil properties, except ECEC, had been studied by Keeney and Corey (1963), and by Roos <u>et al</u> (1964) for Wisconsin and Michigan acid mineral soils, respectively. In the case of acid mineral soils in the tropics exch-Al⁺³ has received the greatest attention in determining LR (Kamprath, 1970, 1972; Corey et al, 1971; Reeve and Sumner, 1971).

Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya

The selected soil properties are presented in Appendix Table 1. The reference LR tests are listed in Appendix Table 6. Simple correlation analyses were carried out to determine which of the soil properties were important enough to be considered in further statistical analyses. The results are presented in Table 11. Further discussion is based on each soil group.

Soil Group A. Among the soil properties, percent clay has the poorest relationship with the reference LR tests, followed by soil-pH, nonexch-Al, and ECEC. The four correlation coefficients were not significant at the 5 percent probability level. The TAc was significantly related to the $CaCO_3-LR-pH_{(H_2O)5.5}$ (r = 0.614^{*}), but not to the $CaCO_3-LR-pH_{(H_2O)5.5}$ (r = 0.614^{*}), but not to the $CaCO_3-LR-pH_{(H_2O)5.5}$ The same relationship was observed with the exch-Al^{#3}. The reverse situation was observed with exch-Ac

REPOSITORY.UB.AC

Reportable 11. Simple Correlation Coefficients Among The Soil Properties with The Reference LR Tests

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Reference		So	Brawijay	Correlation coefficie			ient	ent Bra			
ReLR Test	/ers	para	neter av	a	Aa	osi	B	<u>a/</u>	ver	A + B-	<u>a/</u>
Repository Univ	/ers	sitas	Brawijay	a (n=13	bsi	(n=	11)	ver	(n=24)	Bra
CaCO3-LR-pH(H_O)	6.0	PH (H	Lo) wijay	a -0	.145		-0.1	10	ver	0.514	Bra
Repository Univ		% ON	Brawijay	a o	. 790	Ôsi	0.2	31	ver	0.229	
		% C1	ayawijaya	a -0	.109		0.2	74	ver	0.134	
		TAc	Brawijay	a 0	. 512		0.9	69**	ver	0.959	*
		Exch	Acawijay	a 0	.714	*Si	0.9	56**	ver). 931	*Bra
		Exch	-A1+3/Jay	a 0	. 518		0.9	74**	ver	, 960	*
		None	xch-A1	0	.261		0.4	82	ver	5.03	Bra
		ECEC		-0	. 151		0.9	**	ver	602	*
Cecoord Poet Univ		allas		d	Dan		10.9		ver	.092	DI:
3 ^{-LR-pH} (H ₂ 0)	5.5	PH (H	20)	-0.	. 387	*	-0.13	39	-0	.572	Br
		% OM	Brawijay	0.	.7	oci	0.23	19	0	.194	
		% C1	ay	0.	. 029		0.25	59	-0	.127	Br
		TAc		0.	.614		0.97	73	0	.965	Rn
		Exch	-Ac	0.	534		0.94	•6	0	.905	* Bn
		Exch	-A1 awiiavi	0.	584		0.97	1	0	.959*	*Br
		None	xch-Al	0.	029		0.47	3	0	. 414*	
Repository Univ		ECEC		-0.	111		0.96	8**	Ve0	.694*	*Bn
/ Group A = So: B = So: A+B = So:	ils ils ils	with with with	exch-A1 ⁺³ exch-A1 ⁺³ exch-A1 ⁺³	< bet	1.97 ween ween	me 1.9 0.0	A1 ⁺³ 99 to 06 to	/100) g 82 1	me/10 me/10	0 g 0 g
			Brawijay						ver		
	/ers								ver	sitas	
			Brawijay								
										sitas	
			Brawijaya								
			Brawijaya								

BRAWIL

BRAWIJ

AS IJAYA EE

where its relation to the $CaCO_3-LR-pH_{(H_20)6.0}$ was significant (r = 0.714^{**}), but not to the $CaCO_3-LR-pH_{(H_20)5.5}$. The greatest contributor to acidity as measured by reference LR tests was percentage of organic matter (r = 0.790^{**} and 0.0.714^{**}; Table 11 column 3).

Soil Group B. Among the soil properties contributing to LR, exch-Al⁺³, TAc, exch-Ac, and ECEC were highly related to the reference LR tests. The correlation coefficients were about the same magnitude (Table 11 column 4). The most significant change in contribution to LR of the soils in this group when compared to group A was that percentage organic matter, which proved to be very important contributor in group A, was not important for soils in group B. The contributions of percent clay, pH, and nonexch-Al were insignificant in this group.

Soil Group A+B. The soil properties contributing to lime requirement of the soils in this group were the same as those observed in group B, indicating that the inclusion of acid mineral soils having exch-Al⁺³ less than 1.97 me Al⁺³ per 100 g soil or Al-saturation less than 30 percent did not have any effect on the regression analysis. This implies that the grouping of the 28 soils into two group is justified. The highly significant correlation coefficients obtained between ECEC and CaCO₃-determined LR tests for soils in group B and group A+B was indicated by the highly (A la be re wi

significant effect of TAc, exch-Ac, and exch-Al⁺³ on ECEC (Appendix Table 7). Therefore, a highly significant relationship between ECEC and the reference LR tests should be expected. At a given pH value the ECEC is related to reserve acidity and because the major portion of lime reacts with reserve acidity, LR increases with increasing in potential acidity.

Though simple correlation coe^fficients were used to indicate which soil properties may be important in determining LR, they may not accurately predict contributions of each soil property to LR of acid mineral soils because of interactions or colinearities among soil properties (Appendix Table 7). Therefore, the relative importance of each soil property in predicting LR is better evaluated by multiple regression analyses. The multiple regression used in this study was the forward selection procedure given by Kleinbaum and Kupper (1978, section 15.2.3).

In the following discussion, the stadardized partial regression coefficients (β_{1}) were used to compare the relative contribution of each soil property to the LR of the acid mineral soils. the level of significance of the dependent variable was evaluated from the corresponding partial F-ratio value. When the last independent variable entered in the regression equation has a partial F-ratio value which was not significant at the 10 percent probability level, the process of computation was terminated. When the stadardized

partial regression coefficient of one independent variable was twice that of another independent variable within the same multiple regression equation, then that variable was twice as important as the other one in predicting the dependent variable (Steel and Torrie, 1960, p 284). These comparison are made in Table 12 and 13. The dependent variables were the reference LR test values. The results are as follows:

Repository Universitas Brawiava Repository Universitas Brawiava

Repo

The percentage of OM is the most impor-Soil Group A. tant soil property contributing to the CaCO3-LR-pH (H20)6.0 and to the CaCO3-LR-pH(H20)5.5. Its partial F-ratio values were significant at the one percent ptobability level. Exchangeable acidity and nonexch-Al contribution to the CaCO3-LR-pH (H,0)6.0 and the contribution of exch-Ac to the CaCO3-LR-pH (H_0)5.5 were significant at the 5 percent probability level. The relative importance of the percentage OM compared to the other soil properties was one and a half times to twice as important as exch-Ac or exch-Al⁺³. The overall results showed that soil properties contributing to LR of the soils, when liming to achieve a soil-pH (H,0) of 6.0 or 5.5, are those properties exhibiting pH-dependent acid characteristics. The exch-Al+3 does not give a significant contribution to lime requirement of 13 acid mineral soils in this group. Sixty-nine percent of the soils have exch-Al+3 of less than 1.0 me Al⁺³ per 100 g soil and the average Al-saturation was

	Universitas Brawijaya Universitas Brawijaya Universitas Brawijaya Universitas Brawijaya	Repository L Repository L Repository L Repository L		wijaya wijaya wijaya wijaya wijaya	Repositor Repositor Repositor Repositor Repositor		
	Universitas Brawijaya Universitas Brawijaya Universitas Brawijaya	Repository L Repository L	Jniversitas Bra Jniversitas Bra Iniversitas Bra	wijaya wijaya	Reposito	y y v	
Repository	e 12. Multiple Regression Universitas Brawijaya Universitas Brawijaya	n Analyses by Contribut	Forwards Select ing to Lime Requ	ion Prod drement	Repositor Repositor	e Soil Prop	erties
Repository group Repository	LR test Universitas Brawija va Regre Brawija F-va Universitas Brawija va	ession.Determ. alue (R ² ;%)	Soil parameter (X _i)	Regr. coeff. (B ₁)	Std.Error Reg ^{of} .coeff. (S _{β1})	Std.coeff. of regr. (B')	Partial F-value $(\beta_i^2/s_{\beta i}^2)$
Repository (n=13) Repository	CaCO ₃ -LR-pH _{(H2} 0)6.0 12.31	Repository Repository Repository	$X_0 = constant$ $X_0^2 = \% OM$ $X_2^2 = Exch-Ac$ $X_5^2 = Nonexch-A1$ $X_8^7 = ECEC$	-1.3925 2.4575 0.6907 -0.4122 -0.0625	0.5690 0.2190 0.1559 0.0497	0.731 0.537 - 0.502 - 0.199	- ** 18.654 9.947 6.991 1.597 ⁿ .
Reposite Repo (n=11)	CaCO ₃ -LR-pH(H ₂ 0)6.0 ^{105.70}	04 ^{***} Repository	$X_{2} = constant$ $X_{0}^{0} = Exch - A1$ $X_{7}^{0} = Nonexch - A1$	4.4031 0.8058 0.4432	0.0636 0.2517	0.925 0.129	$160.524^{**}_{n.}$ 3.101 ⁿ .
Repository Repository Repository	CaCO ₃ -LR-pH _{(H2} 0)6.0 ^{119.96}	7**posi 96.6 Repository L	$X_0 = constant$ $X_0 = Exch - A1^+ 3$ $X_5^6 = Exch - Ac$. $X_2^5 = % OM$	0.5827 0.6747 0.4995 0.8697	0.1229 0.2625 0.6042	0.718 0.257 0.082	30.138^{**} $3.621\frac{a}{2.072}^{n}$

Repository Universitas Bra

UNIVERSITAS BRAM/11AVA

UNIVERSITAS REAMAILAVA REPOSITORYUB.ACID

Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Repository Repository Repository

ository Universitas Brav	vijaya Re vijaya Re	eposito eposito	ry Universita ry Universita	as Brawija as Brawija	aya Repo aya Repo	ository ository	
ository Universitas Brav	vijaya Ro vijaya Ro	Contrib	y Forwards Se. Iting to Lime	lection Pr Requireme	ocedure of T nta Repo ava Repo	he Soil Prop Distory	erties
Soil The Reference	Overall	Coeff.	ny Usoi 1 Usoi 1 Usoi 1	Regr.	Std.Error	Std.coeff.	Partia
group On Universitas Brav	Regression F-value	Determ. (R ² ;%)	parameter (X ₁)	Coeff. (ß _i)	Regr.Coeff. (S _β) i	of Regr. (B ₁)	$(\beta_{i}^{F_{2}valye})$
A CaCO _{3^{-,}LR-pH(H₂0)5.5 (n=13)}	10.602**	88.3	$X_0 = constant$ $X_0^2 = % OM$ $X_7^2 = ECEC$	18.9482 2.5091 - 0.3847	0.7606 0.1070	0.932 0.585	- ** 10.881** 11.920*
			$\begin{array}{l} X_{5}^{\prime} = Exch - Ac \\ X_{5}^{\prime} = pH \\ X_{4}^{\prime} = T - Ac \end{array}$	0.4766 - 4.1922 - 1.2677	0.1764 1.7904 1.1868	0.463 - 0.556 - 0.348	7.300 * 5.483 1.141 n.s.
B CaCO ₃ -LR-pH(H ₂ 0)5.5 (n=11)	65,964 ^{**} Njaya Re Njaya Re	96,6 96,6 eposito	$X_0 = constant$ $X_0 = T - Ac$ $X_4 = pH$ $X_2 = % OM$	-19.2440 0.6820 5.1306 0.7920	- 0.0498 2.6478 0.7843	0.996 0.159 0.082	187.547 3.755 <u>a</u> / 1.020 ^{n.s}
A+B CaCO ₃ -LR-pH(H ₂ 0)5.5	161.630 ^{**} ijaya Re	93.9	$ \begin{array}{l} X = \text{constant} \\ X_{2}^{0} = T_{-} \Lambda c \\ X_{2}^{4} = \% \text{ OM} \end{array} $	2.4797 0.7040 0.8264	0.0400 0.4952	DSITO 958 0510.958 0510.090	- ** 309.760 2.785 ^{n.s.}

Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya

UNIVERSITAS BRAWIJAYA REPOSITORYUB.ACID BRAWIJAYA REPOSITORYUB.ACID

Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Repository Repository Repository Repository Repository 15.2 percent or about 25 percent below the critical level for Al-toxicity in corn or about 5 percent below that for soybean (Kamprath, 1970). The 15.2 percent Al-saturation value is close to the value reported by Kamprath (1970) when acid mineral soils were limed based on 1.5 times the exch-Al⁺³. There is substantial evidence in the literature that there should be no potential danger of Al-toxicity for growing crops on these soils, and that LR based on 1.5 times exchangeable aluminum will underestimate the actual LR. Assuming that pH adjustment as proposed by McLean (1971) will increase their ECEC, the best fit regression equations to assess LR of the soils are as follows:

 $LR-pH_{(H_2^0)6.0} = -2.34 + 2.29 (%OM) + 0.71 exch-Ac - 0.31 nonexch-A1 (R^2 = 0.832^{**})$

 $LR-pH_{(H_20)5.5} = 10.81 + 1.85 (\%0M) - 0.37 ECEC + 0.52 exch-Ac - 2.60 pH_{(H_20)} (R^2 = 0.864^{**})$

But, because of their R^2 values are lower than the R^2 value of the SMP-LR tests (Table 9), the two derived multiple regression equations are less favored than the SMP-LR test.

<u>Soil Group B</u>. A completely different picture was shown by the soil properties contributing to LR of the soils in group B. In this group, exch-Al⁺³ appears to be the dominant contributor to the $CaCO_3-LR-pH_{(H_20)6.0}$, and the TAc is the dominant contributor to the $CaCO_3-LR-pH_{(H_20)5.5}$.

Repository Universitas Braggila

The TAc which is highly related to the exch-Al⁺³ of this group (r = 0.989^{**}; Appendix Table 7 column 8 and 11), indicating that the exch-Al⁺³ indeed plays the greatest role in determining LR of the acid mineral soils having an average Al-saturation of 60 percent. Ninety-five percent of the variability of the reference LR test values could be explained by exch-Al⁺³. The corresponding SMP-LR-pH_{6.0} has correlation coefficient 0f 0.892^{**} and 0.914^{**} with the $CaCO_3-LR-pH_{(H_2O)6.0}$ and $CaCO_3-LR-pH_{(H_2O)5.5}$, respectively (Table 9), or 15 and 12 percent less, respectively, in explaining the variability of the reference LR test values. The best fit regression equations are:

 $CaCO_3 - LR - pH_{(H_2 0)6.0} = 7.53 + 0.85 exch-A1^{+3}$ (r = 0.974^{**}) $CaCO_3 - LR - pH_{(H_2 0)5.5} = 4.96 + 0.67$ TAc (r = 0.973^{**}) or: $CaCO_3 - LR - pH_{(H_2 0)5.5} = 5.71 + 0.70$ exch-A1⁺³ (r = 0.971^{**})

tory Universitas Brawijava

ository Universitas Brawijava

Soil Group A+B. The soil properties contributing to LR of the 24 soils in this group were the same as those in group B (Table 12, and 13, column 5). Exchangeable-Al⁺³ and TAc are the dominant contributors to the reference LR tests. The inclusion of the soils from group A in the multiple regression analyses resulted in a slight reduction in the coefficients of determination.

Another measure to evaluate the contribution of exchangeable-Al⁺³ to LR of the acid mineral soils is by Table 14. Contribution of Exchangeable aluminum to Lime Requirement of The Soils Studied

Repository Universitas Brawijaya · Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Repository Universitas Balwijaya

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

BRAWIJA

-

Soil Exch - A12CaCO3-LR-pH 5.5 6.0 $CaCO_3-LR-pH_{5.5}$ A:14 0.06 1.30 5.20 0.016 9 0.15 2.40 4.50 0.062 10 0.41 5.50 7.10 0.075 23 0.48 4.00 6.50 0.120 5 0.60 6.50 9.25 0.092 13 0.70 3.00 4.95 0.233 20 0.83 4.55 6.45 0.182 1 0.89 4.55 7.15 0.196 15 0.92 3.50 5.20 0.263 17 1.17 2.65 3.50 0.442 21 1.28 8.40 12.35 0.152 19 1.37 6.05 8.20 0.226 8 1.97 6.35 10.05 0.310 B: 3 1.99 8.85 11.92 0.225 2 2.18 5.30 6.85 0.411 24 2.55 8.05 11.00 0.317 25 2.96 7.15 9.70 0.414 18 3.18 7.70 10.05 0.413 22 8.09 14.15 16.70 0.572	Rep Rep	ository Uni	CaC0 ₃ -I	LR-pH(H_0)	(Exch-Al ⁺³)	Exch-Al+3
(me/100 g)(ratioA:140.061.30 5.20 0.01690.152.404.500.062100.41 5.50 7.100.075230.484.00 6.50 0.12050.60 6.50 9.25 0.092130.703.00 4.95 0.233200.83 4.55 6.45 0.18210.89 4.55 7.150.196150.923.50 5.20 0.263171.172.65 3.50 0.442211.28 8.40 12.350.152191.37 6.05 8.20 0.22681.97 6.35 10.050.3108:31.99 8.85 11.920.22522.18 5.30 6.85 0.411242.55 8.05 11.000.317252.967.15 9.70 0.41418 3.18 7.70 10.050.41322 8.09 14.1516.700.572	Soil	Exch - Al	5.5	6.0	CaCO3-LR-pH5.5	CaCO3-LR-pH6.0
A: 14 0.06 1.30 5.20 0.016 9 0.15 2.40 4.50 0.062 10 0.41 5.50 7.10 0.075 23 0.48 4.00 6.50 0.120 5 0.60 6.50 9.25 0.092 13 0.70 3.00 4.95 0.233 20 0.83 4.55 6.45 0.182 1 0.89 4.55 7.15 0.196 15 0.92 3.50 5.20 0.263 17 1.17 2.65 3.50 0.442 21 1.28 8.40 12.35 0.152 19 1.37 6.05 8.20 0.226 8 1.97 6.35 11.92 0.225 2 2.18 5.30 6.85 0.411 24 2.55 8.05 11.00 0.317 25 2.96 7.15 9.70 0.414 18 3.18 7.70 10.05 0.413 22 8.09 14.15 16.70 0.572	Rep	ository Uni	(me/100	g) awiay	/a · Repository	Liniversitas Bra
90.152.404.500.062100.415.507.100.075230.484.006.500.12050.606.509.250.092130.703.004.950.233200.834.556.450.18210.894.557.150.196150.923.505.200.263171.172.653.500.442211.288.4012.350.152191.376.058.200.22681.976.3510.050.3103:31.998.8511.920.22522.185.306.850.411242.558.0511.000.317252.967.159.700.414183.187.7010.050.413228.0914.1516.700.572	A:14	0.06	Ve1.30	Bra 20	a Repository	Universitas Bra
10 0.41 5.50 7.10 0.075 23 0.48 4.00 6.50 0.120 5 0.60 6.50 9.25 0.092 13 0.70 3.00 4.95 0.233 20 0.83 4.55 6.45 0.182 1 0.89 4.55 7.15 0.196 15 0.92 3.50 5.20 0.263 17 1.17 2.65 3.50 0.442 21 1.28 8.40 12.35 0.152 19 1.37 6.05 8.20 0.226 8 1.97 6.35 10.05 0.310 $3:$ 3.199 8.85 11.92 0.225 2 2.18 5.30 6.85 0.411 24 2.55 8.05 11.00 0.317 25 2.96 7.15 9.70 0.414 18 3.18 7.70 10.05 0.413 22 8.09 14.15 16.70 0.572	R9	OS 0.15 In	2.40	B 4.50	0.062	Univo 0338 Bra
23 0.48 4.00 6.50 0.120 5 0.60 6.50 9.25 0.092 13 0.70 3.00 4.95 0.233 20 0.83 4.55 6.45 0.182 1 0.89 4.55 7.15 0.196 15 0.92 3.50 5.20 0.263 17 1.17 2.65 3.50 0.442 21 1.28 8.40 12.35 0.152 19 1.37 6.05 8.20 0.226 8 1.97 6.35 10.05 0.310 $3:$ 3.99 8.85 11.92 0.225 2 2.18 5.30 6.85 0.411 24 2.55 8.05 11.00 0.317 25 2.96 7.15 9.70 0.414 18 3.18 7.70 10.05 0.413 22 8.09 14.15 16.70 0.572	10	0.41	5.50	B 7.10	0.075	Univ0.05835 Bra
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	23	0.48	4.00	6.50	0.120	0.074
13 0.70 3.00 4.95 0.233 20 0.83 4.55 6.45 0.182 1 0.89 4.55 7.15 0.196 15 0.92 3.50 5.20 0.263 17 1.17 2.65 3.50 0.442 21 1.28 8.40 12.35 0.152 19 1.37 6.05 8.20 0.226 8 1.97 6.35 10.05 0.310 3: 1.99 8.85 11.92 0.225 2 2.18 5.30 6.85 0.411 24 2.55 8.05 11.00 0.317 25 2.96 7.15 9.70 0.414 18 3.18 7.70 10.05 0.413 22 8.09 14.15 16.70 0.572	5	0.60	6.50	9.25	0.092	0.065
20 0.83 4.55 6.45 0.182 1 0.89 4.55 7.15 0.196 15 0.92 3.50 5.20 0.263 17 1.17 2.65 3.50 0.442 21 1.28 8.40 12.35 0.152 19 1.37 6.05 8.20 0.226 8 1.97 6.35 10.05 0.310 2 2.18 5.30 6.85 0.411 24 2.55 8.05 11.00 0.317 25 2.96 7.15 9.70 0.414 18 3.18 7.70 10.05 0.413 22 8.09 14.15 16.70 0.572	13	osi 0.70 m	V3.00	8 4.95	0.233 ION	Univo.141as Bra
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20	0.83	4.55	R 6.45	0.182	Uni 0.1295 Bra
15 0.92 3.50 5.20 0.263 17 1.17 2.65 3.50 0.442 21 1.28 8.40 12.35 0.152 19 1.37 6.05 8.20 0.226 8 1.97 6.35 10.05 0.310 $3:$ 3.99 8.85 11.92 0.225 2 2.18 5.30 6.85 0.411 24 2.55 8.05 11.00 0.317 25 2.96 7.15 9.70 0.414 18 3.18 7.70 10.05 0.413 22 8.09 14.15 16.70 0.572	1	0.89	4.55	7.15	0.196	0.124
17 1.17 2.65 3.50 0.442 21 1.28 8.40 12.35 0.152 19 1.37 6.05 8.20 0.226 8 1.97 6.35 10.05 0.310 $3:$ 3.99 8.85 11.92 0.225 2 2.18 5.30 6.85 0.411 24 2.55 8.05 11.00 0.317 25 2.96 7.15 9.70 0.414 18 3.18 7.70 10.05 0.413 22 8.09 14.15 16.70 0.572	15	0.92	3.50	5.20	0.263	0.177
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17	osit1.17 Uni	2.65	3.50	0.442	Univo.33438 Bra
19 1.37 6.05 8.20 0.226 8 1.97 6.35 10.05 0.310 8 : 3 1.99 8.85 11.92 0.225 2 2.18 5.30 6.85 0.411 24 2.55 8.05 11.00 0.317 25 2.96 7.15 9.70 0.414 18 3.18 7.70 10.05 0.413 22 8.09 14.15 16.70 0.572	21	0511.28	8.40	12.35	0.152	Univ0.104as Bra
8 1.97 6.35 10.05 0.310 8: 3 1.99 8.85 11.92 0.225 2 2.18 5.30 6.85 0.411 24 2.55 8.05 11.00 0.317 25 2.96 7.15 9.70 0.414 18 3.18 7.70 10.05 0.413 22 8.09 14.15 16.70 0.572	19	1.37	6.05	8.20	0.226	0.267
3: 3 1.99 8.85 11.92 0.225 2 2.18 5.30 6.85 0.411 24 2.55 8.05 11.00 0.317 25 2.96 7.15 9.70 0.414 18 3.18 7.70 10.05 0.413 22 8.09 14.15 16.70 0.572	8	0311.9701	6.35	10.05	0.310	0.196
2 2.18 5.30 6.85 0.411 24 2.55 8.05 11.00 0.317 25 2.96 7.15 9.70 0.414 18 3.18 7.70 10.05 0.413 22 8.09 14.15 16.70 0.572	3: 3	1.99	8.85	11.92	0.225	0,167
24 2.55 8.05 11.00 0.317 25 2.96 7.15 9.70 0.414 18 3.18 7.70 10.05 0.413 22 8.09 14.15 16.70 0.572 26 11.96 13.70 15.95 0.572	R20	OS 2.18 In	5.30	B 6.85	a Fo.411sitory	Univo.356as Bra
25 2.96 7.15 9.70 0.414 18 3.18 7.70 10.05 0.413 22 8.09 14.15 16.70 0.572 26 11.96 13.70 15.95 0.972	24	2.55	8.05	11.00	0.317 iton	Unit 0.232as Bra
18 3.18 7.70 10.05 0.413 22 8.09 14.15 16.70 0.572 26 11.96 13.70 15.95 0.572	25	2.96	7.15	9.70	0.414	0.305
22 05 8.09 14.15 16.70 0.572 0.572	18	3.18	7.70	10.05	0.413	0.316
26 11 06 12 70 15 05 0 070	22	05 8.09 Jn	14.15	16.70	a 0.572Sitory	Univo. 484as Bra
20 11.90 15.70 15.95 0.873	26	11.96	13.70	15.95	0.873	0.750 Bra
27 12.51 13.20 16.15 0.948	27	12.51	13.20	16.15	0.948	0.778
28 18.66 16.35 21.45 1.141	28	18.66	16.35	21.45	1.141	0.870
11 pos 24.33 UN 25.00 S 30.05 ava 0.973 tory U	110	0S24.33 Uni	25.00	30.05	a 0.9735101y	Univo.81038 Bra
12 28.82 25.75 32.55 1.119	12	28.82	25.75	32.55	a F1.119 sitory	Uni 0.885as Bra
and a second				Brawijay		Universitas Bra
						Universitas Bra
Repository Universitas Brawijaya						
Repository Universitas BrawijayaRepository Universitas Brawijaya					a Repository	
Repository Universitas BrawijayaRepository Universitas Brawijaya			versitas	Brawija	a Repository	
Repository Universitas BrawijayaRepository Universitas Brawijaya				D. minipaly		
Repository Universitas BrawijayaRepository Universitas Brawijaya			Versitae			

deviding the exch-Al⁺³ value of each soil by the corresponding CaCO₃-LR-pH_{(H20)6.0 or 5.5 and multiplying it by a factor of 100. The calculated results are presented in Table 14. For soils in group A, the contribution of exch-Al⁺³ to the $CaCO_3-LR-pH_{(H_20)6.0}$ and $CaCO_3-LR-pH_{(H_20)5.5}$ were only 18.2 and 12.4 percent, respectively. On the contrary, the contribution of exch-Al⁺³ of the soils in group B to the same reference LR tests were 67.3 and 54.1 percent, repectively. These results confirm and strengthen the results of the multiple regression analyses discussed previuosly.}

Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

The Effect of CaCO3 Application on Dry Matter Yield

Dry matter yields of experimental hybrid corn 17x16 in response to $CaCO_3$ application were tabulated in Appendix Table 8. Dry matter yields on the top-layer soils were higher than on the corresponding sub-layer soils over all $CaCO_3$ increments. The limed soils gave higher yields, and the increase were significant at the one percent probability level. Dry matter yield responses to the $CaCO_3$ levels used were curvilinear, only on BFS_{30-60} the response was linear over all $CaCO_3$ increments (Figure 16). Dry matter yield reduction at the 1.25 SMP-1R-pH_{6.0} levels for G₀₋₃₀, Sitiung, and BFS_{0-30} were significant (P_{0.05}), but not significant on D₀₋₃₀, D₃₀₋₆₀, G₃₀₋₆₀ and BFS_{30-60} (Table 15).


The largest yield response occured with lower levels of avalage CaCO3 application, and the maximum yields were obtained at higher CaCO3 levels within the 0.50 to 1.00 SMP-LR-pH6.0 unit range.

When dry matter yields were related to the corresponding soil-pH (H20) changes, the largest yield response was wayaya obtained when soil-pH (H_20) was increased to 5.3, and the (H_20) highest dry matter yields occured within soil-pH (H20) 5.5 wiaya to 6.0. Beyond soil-pH_(H20) 6.0, dry matter yield decreased on the top-layer soils (Figure 17). story Universitas Brawijaya

RepTable 15. V Dry Matter Yields a/ of Corn in ersitas Brawijaya Repository Univ Response to CaCO3 Application iversitas Brawijaya

CaCO3 appl.			jays o	ie los			
SMP-LR-pH unit	<u>b</u> ∕ 0−30	D 30-60	STG	G 0-30	G 30-60	BFS 0-30	BFS 30-60
Repository Ur	niver si ta	is Brave	- (g/g	oot) -	itery Un	itversit	as Bre
0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00 1.25 L.S.D.0.05	2.68 4.48 4.72 4.75 4.77 4.69 0.72	2.20 3.19 3.14 4.07 4.40 4.58 0.58	1.93 7.17 8.66 7.70 6.80 4.13 1.14	2.64 4.17 5.68 6.76 6.70 5.48 1.06	1.00 2.46 3.09 4.02 4.79 4.71 0.60	4.36 5.70 6.41 6.90 6.17 5.80 1.07	2.83 2.78 3.30 3.40 4.16 3.98 0.74
<u>a</u> / Average hybrid c after pl <u>b</u> / D = Darm Brown Fo from 0-3	of thr orn pl anting aga, S orest S 0 or 3	ee repl ants we , 72 ho TG = Si oil; 0 0-60 cm	icates; re harv urs at tiung, -30 or depth	the rested $60^{\circ}C$ of $G = Ga$ $30-60$	experi at 35 oven dr ajruk, = soil	mental days ied. BFS = . sampl	as Bra as Bra as Bra Led Bra
			ijaya I		itory.Ur		
			ijaya l ijaya l		itory Ur		
Repository Ur Repository Ur Repository Ur			ijaya I ijaya I ijaya I		itory Ur itory Ur itory Ur		
Repository Ur Repository Ur Repository Ur Repository Ur			ijaya I ijaya I ijaya I ijaya I		itory Ur itory Ur itory Ur itory Ur		
Repository Ur Repository Ur Repository Ur Repository Ur Repository Ur			ijaya ijaya ijaya ijaya		itory Ur itory Ur itory Ur itory Ur itory Ur		
Repository Ur Repository Ur Repository Ur Repository Ur Repository Ur		as Braw as Braw as Braw as Braw as Braw as Braw	ijaya ijaya ijaya ijaya ijaya		itory Ur itory Ur itory Ur itory Ur itory Ur itory Ur		

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Br84vijava

Re		Universita	s Braw			itory l				
Re									Brawi	jaya
Re										Jaya
								ersitas		
R										
				ijaya						
10	00			00	Repos	itory t	JAIVE	ersitas	Brawi	
	epository	Universita		ijaya	000	0	0	0 0	8	
	epository		0		Dane			reitas	Browi	
	orioettory	- Universita	5 Diaw	ijaya	Dopes	•	Inite	0	Drawi	
R	enository		00	ijaya	Renos	itoryal		arsitas	Orawi	
R	enository		s Braw	ijava	- nos	0			Brawi	
R7	75 -sitony				@ Repos		Inive		Brawi	iava
R	epository		s Paaw		Repos					
R	epository		5 0 0	java	Repos					iava
	epository	Universita	s O ew		Repos	itory l				
R	epository	Universita			Repos	0-30	Unive			
R	epository	Universit [®]	s Braw		Repos	30-6	onive			
- P5	ository	Universito	s Braw		Fep@s	G30-6	onive			jav
R	epository	Universita	s Braw		Repos	STG				jaya
Re	epository				Repas	G_ 20	Jnive			
R	epository	Universita			Repos	BFS				
R	epository				Repos	0-	30 1/1			
R	epository				Hepos	30.	-60			
2	spository	Universita			Hepos		Jnive			
K	ository	o ersita			Hepos					
I K	4,	Universita	is Braw	ijaya	Hepos	itory (JUINE	ersitas	Brawi	Jaya
		Un4.0 Sita		5.0	5.3	6.	0	a sitas	7.0	aye
				S	oil-pH(H_	0)				
R					Renos	itory (
R	epositon	Universita	s Braw	ijava	Repos					
R	epository	Universian	d Rela	tive	vield o	f Dry	Soil-	PH		
Re		Univers of	Exper	iment	tal Hybr	id Con	rn 1	7x16		
R			s Braw		Repos					
R										
R								ersitas		
R										
R										
R						itory l				
1.1.1.1										
R										
Re										
Re Re		Universita Universita								
Re Re Re		Universita Universita Universita								

.

The corn plants on the unlimed pots of D0-30' D30-60' STG, G₀₋₃₀, G₃₀₋₆₀, and BFS₃₀₋₆₀ suffered from severe Altoxicity. During the first week after emergence, the young leaf tips stuck together and had a watery appearance. The watery tip became dry within the second week of growth. Some of the fibrous roots grew upwards. At the end of the 35 days growing period, the root growth appeared to be restricted to the upper 5 cm soil layer. Lateral roots were damaged, resulting in a knobby appearance. They were easily pulled out, and easily seperated from soil aggregates. Detailed study by Wright and Donahue (1953) used a special staining test to show that aluminum was accumulated on the root surface and in the cortex under conditions of Al-toxicity. Waya In the cortex, the staining occured mostly in the cell protoplasm, sepecially in the nuclei. Little or no staining due to Al-toxicity was found in the conducting tissue inside the endodermis. Microscopic examination on cotton Wava (Gossypium hirsutum L.) roots had an abnormally large number of cells with two nuclei in the meristimatic region of the root tip, indicating an inhibition of cell division (Rios and Pearson, 1964). A further assumption had been made that the inhibition of branch root development may be due to reaction of aluminum with the pectic substances of ava the young cell walls, causing them to lose plasticity prematurely and inhibiting elongation.

Repository Universitas Braw

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

IVERSITAS 2 4 V VI 1 AV A

EPOSITORY,UB. AC.ID

UNIVERSITAS BRAWIIA

EPOSITORY.UB.AC.ID

UNIVERSITAS BRAWIJAN The Effect of CaCO₃ Application on Nutrient Concentration

Repository Universitas Brazvijava

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Nutrient concentration in corn tissue affected by CaCO, Va application varied among soils and among elements (Appendix Table 9). A striking effect was primarily observed on calcium content. Calcium concentration increased with increasing CaCO, application; the most significant increase was observed on pots receiving 0.25 SMP-LR-pH6.0 unit level, indicating that the response to Ca⁺² as a nutrient is likely to occur at very low application levels. This tremendous increase was followed by gradual increase with further addition of CaCO3. Magnesium concentration in corn tissue tended to increase with the first 2-3 levels of CaCO3 treatments, but it decreased or remain unaffected with higher CaCO, increments; an exception was observed on G30-60, where Mg concentration tended to decrease with increasing CaCO, levels The first 2 levels of $CaCO_3$ on G_{0-30} and G_{30-60} resulted a significant reduction of Al concentration in corn tissue, Waya but the other five soils used in the experiment did not show a significant Al reduction with increasing CaCO, levels awiaya (Table 16). It seems that Al-concentration in corn tissue above ground portion is unaffected by Al concentration in waya the soil solution. Solution culture studies showed that increasing Al concentration in solution increased the Al absorption rate by corn plants, but it decreased the portion of absorbed aluminum which was translocated to the above

ground portion, resulting in a tremendously high Al concentration in the roots (Anonymous, 1974, p 133). On the contrary, the manganese concentration in corn tissue was drastically reduced, especially by the first level of CaCO₃

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Repository Universitas Brasilaya

application. The other elements were inconsistently affected by CaCO₃ treatments. Potassium concentration was unaffected by CaCO₃ increments. Phosphorus and nitrogen concentrations were below the sufficient range in corn tissue over all CaCO₃ levels, indicating that the amounts applied as basal fertilizers were not enough.

Table 16. The Effect of CaCO₃ Application on Brawiaya Al-Concentration in Corn Tissue Brawiaya

CaCO3 level		Braw	l upt	ake on	itory Ur	iversit	
SMP-LR-pH unit 6.0	D <u>a</u> / 0-30	D 30-60	STG	G 0-30	G 30-60	BFS 0-30	BFS 30-60
Repository Uni	versitas	Brawi	(pp	m) -	atory Or atory Ur	iversit	as Bra
0.00	297	327	206	268	386	202	206
0.25	365	220	156	195	179	160	196
Reposoory Uni	241	229	176	177	212	150	204
0.75 ov Uni	246	441	152	2172	221	129	213
1.00	181	227	168	201	204	160	230
1.25 01 01	329	227	170	193	268	168	195
Repository Uni							
1.5.0.0.05	N.S.	116	N.S.	R-79	58	N.S.	N.S.

a/ D = Darmaga, STG = Sitiung, G = Gajruk, BFS = Brown Forest Soil; 0-30 or 30-60 = soil sampled from 0-30 or 30-60 cm depth

Rep To assess the beneficial effect of CaCO3 application ava

on nutrient availability in short term greenhouse experiment

such as this, it is best to measure nutrient uptake instead ya

UNIVERSITAS

REPOSITORY.UB.AC.ID

UNIVERSITAS

REPOSITORY.UB.AC.IC

1

of concentration. The uptake of each element was calculated by multiplying concentration with the corresponding dry matter yield. The average values of nutrient uptake of awaya each element are presented in Appendix Table 10 column 6 to 14. Nutrient uptake of major elements was significantly increased by liming within the 6 levels used. The nutrient uptake by corn plants from the top-layer soils was higher waya than from the corresponding sub-layer soils (Figures 18-22). The responses for major elements were quadratic for the toplayer soils and linear for the sub-layer soils (Table 17). The simple or the multiple correlation coefficients (r or R) was significant (P0.05) to highly significant (P0.01). Aluminum uptake on D_{0-30} , D_{30-60} , and Sitiung was insignificantly affected by CaCO, increments, but it was significantly increased on the other soils (Table 18). Manganese uptake was significantly reduced on D₃₀₋₆₀, BFS₀₋₃₀ and BFS 30-60, but not on other soils. Zinc uptake was significantly increased on D_{0-30} and BFS₃₀₋₆₀, but was insignificantly affected on the other soils. Copper uptake was significantly increased on D₃₀₋₆₀, G₀₋₃₀, BFS₀₋₃₀, BFS₃₀₋₆₀, but insignificantly affected on D_{0-30} and STG.

Repository Universitas Biggvilava

In general, nutrient uptake by corn plants starts to level off or decrease at CaCO₃ application equivalent to 0.75 SMP-LR-pH_{6.0} unit or higher (Appendix Table 10, column 4 to 13; Figure 18 to 22). The magnitude of change varied among soils and among elements. The soils that showed

Soil	Regression equation	R ² -value
Repositor	Universitas Brauptake Repository U	iniversitas Brawi
Repositor	Universitas Brawijaya Repository U	Iniversitas Brawi
0-30 ositon	$Y = 59.93 \div 233.60 X - 209.03 X^2$	nive0.903*5*aw
30-60	$I = 42.12 + 165.51 X - 135.65 X^2$	0.954 Braw
Popositor	$Y = 58.65 \pm 100.82$ Y = 55.48 X	0.952
0-30	Y = 20.62 + 114.57 X = 85.42 X	0.997
30-60 siton	$Y = 123.02 + 85.73 X - 02.64 X^2$	nive0.954 srawi
3FS0-30	Y = 35.59 + 40.89 X	0.768** raw
Repositor	V Universitas Brawijava Repository U	Iniversitas Brawi
Repositor	Universitas Brawijava Repository	Iniversitas Brawi
0-30	$Y = 4.09 + 28.47 X - 27.78 X_2^2$	0.966
30-60	$Y = 2.36 + 13.28 X - 12.02 X_2^2$	0.910 ^{ns}
Repositor	$Y = 56.59 + 44.96 X - 39.22 X_2^2$	0.865 ns
0-30 ositor	$Y = 3.80 + 31.37 X - 18.75 X^2$	0.981.
30-60	I = 1.21 + 5.98 X	0.974
FS0-30	$X = 2.23 + 12.95 X - 12.30 X^{-1}$	0.993
30-60	011-512.21 + 0.33 X - 5.26 X	0.790"Braw
Repositor	Universitas BIK uptake Repository U	niversitas Brawi
0-30 ^{00siton}	$Y = 95.72 + 268.26 X - 226.06 X_2^2$	0.977* Braw
30-60 05100	$Y = 48.12 + 271.21 X - 185.89 X_2^2$	niv 0.950. Brawi
Repositor	$Y = 53.81 + 444.41 X - 345.12 X_2^2$	0.971, Brown
0-30	$Y = 77.17 + 290.33 X - 188.35 X_2^2$	0.978.
30-60	$Y = 25.41 + 279.63 X - 127.13 X_2^2$	0.997
FS0-30 Siton	$X = \frac{203.61 + 350.80}{1 - 273.21} X^{-1}$	0.923 Braw
30-60	y Universitas Brawijaya Repository U	nive ^{0.948} s Brawi
Repositor	V Universitas B Ca aptake Repository U	
0-30 hositon	$Y = 5.93 + 73.75 X - 42.21 X^2$	10.993 Rraw
30-60	Y = 6.02 + 40.17 X	0.977**
Repusitor	$Y = 8.13 + 164.55 X - 118.75 X_2^2$	0.935 ^{ns}
0-30 OSITON	$Y = 4.98 + 50.68 X - 14.40 X^2$	0.997
30-60 ositon	Y = 4.92 + 25.94 X	0.905 Braw
s0-30	$Y = 9.60 + 41.29 X - 19.53 X^{-}$	0.991
30-60	$I = 5.13 + 30.95 X - 9.07 X^{-1}$	0.993
Repositor	Mg uptake	
Repositor	$Y = 5.14 + 37.84 X - 25.15 x^2$	0 997
0-60 ositor	Y = 3.12 + 14.04 x Repository	0.978** Braw
Repositor	$Y = 3.34 + 56.69 X - 39.86 X^2$	0.990** Braw
)-30	Y = 4.31 + 12.32 X	0.978
30-60	Y = 2.08 + 4.91 X	0.946
S0-30 SILON	$Y = 9.60 + 41.29 X - 19.53 X^2$	0.991 ** Braw
S 30-60	Y = 3.61 + 4.12 X Repository	0.945 Braw

Repository Universitas Broovijaya

Re

OSITORY.UB.A

EPOSITORY UB. AC

UNIVERSITAS











leveling off or decreasing trends at higher CaCO, levels have pH(H,0) value above 6.0 (Appendix Table 10 column 6 v ava Thus, the overall results indicate that CaCO3 apto 14). plication equivalent to 1.00 SMP-LR-pH6.0 test value should ya be considered as the maximum for liming the acid mineral soils to obtain maximum corn dry matter yield and maximum ava nutrient uptake. Slas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijava

Table 18. Correlation Coefficients (Simple and Multiple) between Micronutrient Uptake and CaCO₃ Treatments Repository Universitas Brawijava Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Repos	Simple or			So	i 1		niversita	as Braw
Repos	Corr. Coeff.	D <u>a</u> / 0-30	D 30-60	STG	G 0-30	G 30–60	BFS 0-30	BFS 30-60
Repos	itory Univers	sitas Br	awijaya	a R	eposi	tory U	niversita	as Braw
RADOS	itory Univers	sita s Br	N.S.	a - R	leposi	0.977	niversita	0.913
	itory R Jnivers	N.S.	awi j aya	N.S.	0.975	tory U	0.993	as Braw
RMnOOS	itory _r Univers	sitas Br	awijaya	a - R	leposi	tory U	-0.999**	-0.936*
	itory _R Univers	N.S.	-0.980*	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	nive <u>r</u> sita	as <u>B</u> raw
R _{zn} os	itory _r Univers	sitas Br	aw <u>li</u> aya	a_R	eposi	tory U	nive <u>r</u> sita	0.982**
	itory Univers	0.982*	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	as Braw
Cu	itory Univers	sitas Br	awijaya	a R	eposi	0.990*	A iversita	as Braw
	itory Univers	N S	0 986*	NS	0 996	**	0 080*	0.080*
Repos Repos Repos	or 30-60 cm o N.S. = Not si * = Signif ** = Signif	lepth Ignifica Ticant a Ticant a	nt at t t the 5 t the o	he 5 percone pe	percen ent pro	t proba obabili probabi	bility lo ty level lity leve	evel el Braw
							niversita	
							niversita	as Braw
							niversita	
							niversita	

UNIVERSITAS BRAWIJAYA [REPOSITORVUB.ACID]

Soil Chemical Properties versus Dry Matter Yield

Repository Universitas Big7vijava

The soil chemical data clearly show that the amount of soil aluminum as determined by various methods (10⁻² M CaCl, extractable-Al⁺³, exch-Al⁺³, and Al-saturation) change with CaCO, increments (Appendix Table 10 columns 10, 12, and 14). The effect of these soil properties on dry matter yield were evaluated by performing Cate and Nelson critical value determinations (Cate and Nelson, 1971). The results are presented in Figure 23 to 25. The derived critical values were as follows: (1) the critical value of 10⁻² M CaCl, extractable-Al is 1.00 ppm Al⁺³; (2) the critical value of N KCl extractable-Al (exch-Al⁺³) is 0.75 me Al⁺³ /100 g soil; and (3) the critical value of Al-saturation is 17.0 percent. Among the soil-aluminum parameters, the 1.00 ppm $Al^{+3} 10^{-2} M$ CaCl, extractable aluminum or the 17.0 percent Al-saturation critical value are equally good as soil-aluminum parameters to be utilized as a "safeguard" for liming acid mineral soils, especially in obtaining optimum dry matter yield of corn.

It is certain that aluminum plays an important role in the negative effect of soil acidity to dry matter yields and nutrient uptake by corn plants in the greenhouse experiment. This can be easily seen in Figures 23, 24, and 25 for pots receiving the same amount of basal fertilizers but no lime. When the amount of aluminum accounted for was at least

REPOSITORY.UB. AC. ID

UNIVERSITAS

E





			jaya Reposito	ry Universitas		
	Repository				100 aya	
			java Reposito			
	Repository					
	Repository					
	Repository					
	Repository	Universitas Braw				
×	Repository	Universitas Braw				
A	100 -	Universitas Braw				
	Repository	Universitas Braw				
\overline{a}	Repository	Universitas Braw				
	Repository	Universita® Braw	java Reposito			
	Fan Instant	Universitas Braw	java Reposito	a Universitas		
	Repository	Universitas Braw	java Renosito			
	75 - •	Universitas Braw				
LL L	Repository	Universitas Braw	java -Reposito			
en	Repository	Universitas Braw	java Reposito	ry Universitas		
LO	Repository	Universitas Braw	java - Reposito	ny Universitas		
pe	Repository	Universitas Braw	java Reposito			
ď,	Repository	Universitae Braw	java Reposito	ry Universitas		
el	50 -	Universitas Draw	java Renosito	ry Universitas		
Υi	Repository	Universitas Braw	java Reposito			
de	Repository	Universitas Braw	java Reposito	ny Universitas	Rrawijaya	
t i	Repository	Universitas Braw		ry Universitas	Brawijaya	
la	Repository	Universitas Braw		ny Universitas		
Re	Perository	Universitas Braw				
5	25 -	Universitas Braw				20
	Perository	Universitas Braw	java Reposito	ry Universitas	Brawijaya	
	Parasitary	Universitas Braw	jaya Reposito			
5 📫	Parasitory	Universitas Braw				
	Depository	Universitas Braw	jaya Repusito		Brawijaya	
	Repusitory	Universitas Braw				
	0 L	Universitas Braw	Java Repusito	n Universitas	Brawijaya	Po
	Popository	17 25	50 Silo	75		
	Pepository	Universitas Rray	aturation, per	cent		
	Figure	25. Cate-Nelso	n Plot for Al-	saturation ve	ersus	
	Repository	Relative Y	ield as Affecte	ed by CaCO3	Appli-	
SO	Repository	cation	jaya Repusito			
	Repository		java Reposito			
	Repository		java Reposito			
A	Repository		java Reposito			
2	Repository		java Reposito			
2	Repository		java Reposito			
N	Repository		java Reposito			
	Repository		java Repusito			
	Repository		java Repusito			
5 📫	Repository		jaya Nepusito			
	Repusitory					

seventeen percent of the exchange cations, dry matter yield starts to decrease drastically for the acid mineral soils studied.

Repository Universitas Brakes

Re

As would be expected from the reciprocal relationship of exch-Al⁺³ and exchangeable bases, dry matter yields on these acid mineral soils varies directly with this characteristic, eighty percent or higher of maximum dry matter yield is achieved when the exch-(Ca+Mg) is a minimum of 9.0 me/100 g soil (Figure 26) or when the exchangeable K/V (Ca+Mg) ratio is less than 15.3 x 10⁻² (Figure 27).

The overall soil chemical property relationships and plant chemical property relationships with dry matter yield do not explain the possible cause of dry matter yield reduction when soil-pH_(H20) was increase beyond 6.0. The postulated answer frequently reported by several workers was lime-induced micronutrient deficiencies that cause reduction in crop yield (Corey <u>et al</u>, 1971; McLean, 1971).

The efficiency of nutrient uptake by corn plants in response to CaCO₃ application was evaluated by comparing nutrient uptake of the lime-treated pots versus that of the unlimed pots. The results were tabulated in Appendix Table 11, and the average value for each CaCO₃ level for all soils was presented in Table 19. The result indicates that CaCO₃ application equivalent to 0.75 SMP-LR-pH_{6.0} unit resulted an average of 150 percent increase of N-, P-, K-, Mg-, Zn-, Cu-, and Al-uptake. Calcium uptake was increased

	Repository Universitas Brawijava	Repository Uni			
X	Repository Universitas Brawijava				
3	Repository Universitas Brawijaya				
3	Repository Universitas Brawijaya				
2	Repository Universitas Brawijaya				
1	Repository Universitas Brawijaya			Repository	
5	Repository Universitas Brawijay ^{Re}	lative Yield as	Affected by CaCO	3 Application	_
	Repository UniverFigure 26. ayCa	te-Nelson Plot	for Exch-(Ca+Mg)	versus	
	Repository Universitas Brawijaya	Repos Exchang	peable (Ca+Mg), mo	e/1000g tory	
	Repository Univorotas Brawijaya	R10.0 Sitory Uni	versitas [20.0jaya	Repository 30.0	
	Repository Uni Octation Brawijaya.	- Reglositor, Lini	vorsitas Brawijaya	Repartitory	
	Repository Universitas Brawijaya	 Repository Uni 			
	Repository Priverse as Brawijaya	Repository Uni			
	Repository 1 25 sitas Brawijaya	Repository Uni	versitas Brawijaya	Repository	
	Repository Universitas Brawijaya	Repository Uni			
	Repository Igniversitas Bra eijaya	Repository Uni			
	Repository Universitas Brawijaya	Repository Uni			
5	Repository Go50 -sitas Brawijaya	Repository Uni	veesitas Brawijaya		
h	Repository I iversita Brawijaya	Repository Uni			
-	Repository Le iversitas Brawijaya	Repository Uni	versitas Brawijaya		
5	Repository Universitas Braveijaya	Repository Uni	versitas Brawijaya -		
2	Repository Laniversitas Brawijaya	Repository Uni			
1	Repository Liniye sitas Brawijaya	Repository Uni			
	Repository Universitas Brawleyae	Repository Uni	versitas Brawijava	Repository	-
	Repository Universitas Brawijaya	Répository Ur	versitas Brawijaya		
	Repository Universitas Bra eijaya	RepositoryUni			
	Repository U100 - itas Brawijaya	Pegosie de gri	versitas Brawijaya	Repositor	•
	Repository Universitas Brawijaya	Repository Uni			
	Repository Universitas Brawijaya	.Repository Uni			
	Repository Universitas Brawijaya	Repository Uni			
	Repository Universitas Brawijaya				
	Repository Universitas Brawijaya				
	Repository Universitas Brawijaya				
	Repository Universitas Brawijaya				

UNIVERSITAS

Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository L100 ersitas Brawijava **Repository Universitas Brawijava** Repository Universitas Brawija/a postory Universitas Brawijaya Repository Idniversitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya ository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya Sository Universitas Brawijaya Braw Py Universitas Brawijava **Repository Univ** tur5 ository Universitas Brawijava sitas Brawijaya UNIVERSITAS BRAWIJAYA ersitas Brawijaya esitory Universitas Brawijaya per ersitas Brawijaya Repositor Universitas Brawijaya ... ository Universitas Brawijaya ersitas Brawijaya p ersitas Brawijaya sitory Universitas Brawijaya Repository 1 ersitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya Yi versitas Brawijava Repository Universitas Brawijaya 9⁵⁰ersitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Enversitas Brawijaya Repository Priversitas Brawijaya Repository Priversitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya **Repository Universitas Brawijaya** Repository Universitas Brawijaya **Repository Universitas Brawijaya** Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya **Repository Universitas Brawijaya** Repository Repósitory Universitas Brawijaya Repository Upis/ersitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repositor Repository Universitas Brawijava ository Universitas Brawijava Repository Repository Universitas Brawijaya K/ $\sqrt{(Ca+Mg)}$ in soil, mex10⁻²/100 g pository UNIVERSITAS BRAWIJAYA Repository University Figure 27. Cate-Nelson Plot for K/V(Ca+Mg) Ratio versus Relative Yield as Affected by CaCO, Application up to 800 percent, while Mn-uptake was reduced slightly.

Repository Universitas F104 Wilava

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Table 19. Average Nutrient Uptake Efficiency Index of Corn in Response to CaCO₃ Application

Reposi	Nutrient	uptake eff	iciency	index per	CaCO ₃ level
Reposi	0.00ª/	sitas 0.25 vija	0.50	pos 0.75	1.00 Br
Reposi	tory Univer	sitas Brawija	aya Re	pository Un	iiversitas Bra
Rnposi	tory 100/er	sitas 206	226	posito261	1Ver 236 Br
RPnosi	100	236	271	273	252 P
K	100	224	283	319	309
Ca	100	656	801	975	1 128
RMgOSi	tory 100/er	sitas 217vija	292	posite355Jr	iver 367 Br
Mn	100	citae R.99	104	84 In	iverei76 Rr
Zn	100	169	180	182	177
Cuosi	100	Sitas 197	224	267	302
Reosi	tory 100/er	sitas 169via	ava 210	posito201	iver 247s Br
Al	100	eitae 157	182	195	182 Dr

A/ This numerical figure represents the SMP-1R-pH 0 unit value

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Dry Matter Yield Response

Corn dry matter yield response to CaCO₃ treatments and depth of CaCO₃ application was evaluated at two stages of growth, 35 and 45 days after planting. The yield data are presented in Appendix Table 12.

The lowest dry matter yields were obtained on the unlimed pots receiving the same amount of basal fertilizers as the limed pots. The analysis of variance of the limed pots showed that the effects of CaCO₃ increments and depth of CaCO₃ application on dry matter yields, harvested at

BR/

35 or 45 days after planting, were significant at las Brawlaya the one percent probability level, and there was no interaction between CaCO3 increments and depth of CaCO3 applicat- ya ion on dry matter yields (Table 20). Dry matter yield response to CaCO, increments applied in the first 20 cm top-wave layer was higher than to those applied in the first 20 cm toplayer. The corn plants on pots limed in the first 20 cm awiava

developed a better root system; the roots grew deeper. The dry matter yield response showed a quadratic property wiava (Figure 28 and 29). as blaw ava

Repository Universitas E105 VIava

epository Universitas Brawijaya Table 20. Dry Matter Yield Responses at Two Repository UnivGrowing Stages to CaCO3 Increments Repository Univand Depth of CaCO, Applications Versitas Brawijaya

Soil	Growth stage	Prawijaya	F-ratio value	
Reposite Reposite	(days)	CaCO ₃ eff	ect Depth of appl. effect	Inter- action
Latosol	ory Universitas E	Brawijaya Brawijaya	Repository Universit Reposit ^N .S. Iniversit	N.S.
Darmaga	45 sitas F	Brawijava	Repository Universi	N.S.
Podzolic	bry Un ³⁵ ersitas E	Brawija**a	Reposito** Universi	N.S.
Gajruk	orv Un45ersitas E	Brawija**a	Reposito** Universi	N.S.

* = Significant at the 5 percent probability level
** = Significant at the 1 percent probability level
** = Significant at the 1 percent probability level N.S.= Nonsignificant at the 5 percent probabulity level

When dry matter yield response is related to the corresponding pH (H,0) changes affected by CaCO3 increments, it clearly indicates that the greatest dry matter yield

response occured when soil-pH (H20) was increased to 5.32. awaya

Repository Universitas Bra106 ava (45) (35) • Dy = 0-20 cm depth of Lime Application D_{2 (45)} OD, = 0-10 cm depth of Lime Appli cation 40 Repository Universitas Brawijaya ository Universitas Brawijaya Universitas Brawijaya L.S.D. 0.05 ository Universitas Brawijaya -15 35 ository Universitas Brawijaya ersitas Brawijava 8.8 ository Universitas Brawijaya epository Universitas Brawijaya 30 D1 (45) ository Universitas Brawijaya L.S.D. 0 05 epository Universitas Brawi g/pot 25 -10 Repository Dry Matter Yield, niver Di (35) 20 Reposit L.S.D. 0.05 SI Un^D2(35)itas Brawijaya as Brawijaya Repository 5 15 Repository Univ Repository Universite c.05 Repository Universitas Brawijaya 10 Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya Jniversitas Brawijaya 10.00 Universe.25 Brawijaye.50 Reposit@.75 Universita-00 rawijava SMP-LR-pH 6.0 unit ersitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas CaCO₃ level, Repository Universitas Brawijaya Figure 28. Corn Dry Matter Yield Response to CaCO, Increments and Depth of CaCO3 Application: Latosol Darmaga Repository Universitas Brawijaya Frawi repository Juniersitas Brawijava Anstak BN Wildva PER Universitas Brawijaya ERSU/ Repository Universitas Brawijaya Re



The highest dry matter yield was obtained within soil-pH_{(H2}0) range of 5.5 to 6.0 (Figure 30). The same results were reported by Juo and Ballaux (1977).

Root Growth and Development

The effect of CaCO, treatments and depth of CaCO, application on root growth and development was evaluated qualitatively. Observation through the glass window (Figure 1) was carried out during the 45 days period, and at the end of this growing period, showed that root growth in the unlimed soils was severely restricted to the upper 5 cm soil layer. The first CaCO, level, equivalent to 0.25 SMP-LRpH6.0 unit, resulted in extensive root development within the limed layer. The roots which were able to penetrate away into the unlimed subsurface layer exhibited a typical Altoxicity symptoms; severe stunting, thickening of roots, awaya and suppression of lateral roots, resulting in a knobby appearance (Figure 31). The pictures presented in Figure 31 clearly show that application of 500 ml of deionezed water daily during the 45 days growing period was insignificant in its effect on Ca movement into the unlimed subsurface soil layer, though there is a possibility of differential ways movement of Ca within the layer and subsequently into the the unlimed subsurface layer as reported by Juo and Ballaux (1977). Probably, the added CaCO3 is first consumed to create negative sites, and these sites in turn is balanced by

Repository Universitas 108 Vija

i opoblicity binitorial	minimited	al and a country it			
Repository Universitas			Universitas		
Repository Universitas					Re
Repository Universitas				Brangiaya	
Repository Universitas			Universitas	Brawijaya	
Repository Universitas					
Repository Universitas					Re
Repository Universitas	Brawijaya				
		Repository			
			Universitas		
Repository Universitas					
	Brawijaya	Repository			
Repository Universitas	Brawijava	Repository.	Universitas		
Repository Universitas	Brawlava	Repository	Universitas		
Repository Universitas	Brawijava		Universitas		
Repository Universitas	Brawlava	Repository	Universitas		
Repository Universitas	Brawijava	Repository			
Repository Universitas	Brawijava	Repository	Universitas		
Repository Universitas	Brawijaya				
tepesitory Universitas	Brawijaya	Repository			
Repository Universitas	Brawijaya	Repository			
Represitory Universitas	Brawijava			Brawijava	
Repository Universitas	Brawijava	Repository	Universitas	Brawijaya	Re
Repesitory Universitas	Brawijaya	Repository			
Repository Universitas	Brawijava				
Repository Universitas	Brawijava	Repository			
Repository Universitas	Brawijava	= 1 ^{SL} Greenhouse (25 days)	Universitas		
Repository Universitas	Brawijava	- Z nd Greenhouse	Offiversitas		
Repository Universitas	Brawijava	0 = 2 nd Greenhouse	Expliversitas		
Repository Universitas	Brawijava	Repository	Universitas		
Repositery Universitas	Brawijava		Universitas		
Repositive	Brawliova	Repository	Universitas		
Repository Universitas	5.0 5.32 Soil - pEr a	Repository	Universitas		
	Brawijava		Universitas		
Repos Figure 30. Cate	-Nelson Plot	for Soil-pH ve	ersus		Re
Repository University	tive Yield Aff	fected by CaCC	3 niversitas		
Repository Universitas	Brawijava	Experiments I	and II)		
			Universitas		
		Repository			
	Brawijava	Repository			
		Repository			
		Repository			
Repository Universitae					
Repository Universitas	Brawijaya		Iniversitas		
		Repository		Brawijaya	
		Repository		Brawijaya	
				Browijaya,	
				Diawiaya	16

calcium ions at the sites of CaCO₃ application, so that very few or no calcium ions move into the unlimed subsurface layer.

It appears advisable to incorporate lime as deeply as possible for reducing the "barrier" function of aluminum in the subsurface soil to root growth and proliferation. It is recommended to incorporate lime by rotovation to 30 cm in order to reduce aluminum to this depth. This will result in the development of root system which is effective in absorbing more water during ocasional periods of dry weather (Abruna <u>et al</u>, 1975).

Repository Universita Field Experiments Story Universitas Brawijaya

Latosol from Darmaga

The increase in corn yields in response to calcitic limestone application is tabulated in Appendix Table 12. The analysis of variance of the completely randomized block design is presented in Appendix Table 13, and the corresponding response curve is presented in Figure 32.

The experimental results show that the response is quadratic, significant at the one percent probability level. The control plots which received 1.33 ton of calcitic limestone yielded an average of 76 percent of the maximum yield, and yet higher lime increments were able to increase grain yields significantly. The maximum yield was obtained with

Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya 8.10 ton of calcitic limestone per hectare or equivalent

to 0.75 SMP-LR-pH_{6.0} unit value, and further lime increments caused a significant reduction in yields (Table 21).

Table 21. Corn grain Yields in Response to Calcitic Limestone Application on Latosol from Darmaga

Lime level ^{<u>a</u>/}	Equivalent to SMP-LR-pH unit	Grain yield ^b
(ton/Ha)	tas Brawijaya - i tas Brawijaya - I	(ton/Ha)
sit 1.33 niversi	tas B0.125 va	Reposit 3.83 niver
2.67	0.25	Reposit 4.34 niver
5.33	0.50	4.60
05118.00 Inversi	las D0.75aya	5.05
osi10.67 niversi	tas B1.00aya	Reposit 4.56 niver
13.33 niversi	1.25 AVA	Reposit 4.24 niver
L.S.D.0.05		Reposit 0.35 niver

 <u>a</u>/ Lime material used was calcitic limestone (80 mesh). The CaCO₃ equivalent value was 98 percent.

b/ Grain yield was an average of 8 replications, and based on population density of 50 thousand plants per hectare

Podzolic Soil from Jonggol

20

...

The increase in corn grain yields in response to calcitic limestone and P applications is tabulated in Appendix Table 13. The analysis of variance is presented in Appendix Table 14, and the corrsponding response curve is presented in Figure 32.

The experimental result showed that there was no P effect on corn grain yields. The highest P level used

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Universitas Brawijava



BRAWIJ

(225 kg P/Ha) or equivalent to 1.14 ton TST (19.8 % P) could not overcome the the detrimental effect of aluminum on corn grain yield. On the contrary, the effect of calcitic limestone application was highly significant, and it showed a lave quadratic relation with grain yields (Figure 32). Corn yield on the unlimed plots over all P levels was 76 percent of the maximum yield obtained. The maximum yield response was obtained with 9.64 ton calcitic limestone per hectare or equivalent to 0.50 SMP-LR-pH unit value, and that further increments resulted a significant yield reduction (Table 22).

Table 22. Corn grain Yields in Response to Calcitic Limestone Application on Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Repository levels ^{a/} Repository levels	Equivalent to SMP-LR-pH 6.0	Grain yield ^{b/}	ersitas Brawijaya ersitas Brawijaya ersitas Brawijaya
(ton/Ha)	sitas Brawijaya R	(ton/Ha)	ersitas Brawijaya
0.00 4.82	0.00 0.25	2.97 2.77	
Reposito 9.64 14.46	0.50 aya 0.75	eposi 3.93 niv	
Reposit 24.10	sitas P1.00 1.25 aya	2.58 and	ersitas Brawijaya
Repositor L.S.D.0.0	sitas Brawijaya R Stas Brawijaya R	epository Univ	

Repositoa/ Lime material was calcitic limestone. Repository The CaCO, equivalent value = 98 percent oversitas Brawnava Reposit b/ Grain yield was an average of 9 plots, Universitas Brawijaya based on population density of 50 thousand plants per hectare

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

The overall results on both locations show that lime application equivalent to 0.75 SMP-LR-pH6.0 unit should be ava considered as the maximum amount needed in obtaining optimum yield of corn variety H-6.

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Laboratory, greenhouse, and field expeirments were conducted to study various aspects of lime application in relation to sustaining better crop growth and production on acid mineral soils in Indonesia.

The first objective was to evaluate relationships between soil-pH and other soil chemical changes affected by lime application. Twinty-eight acid mineral soils, each receiving 6 levels of pure reagent grade CaCO₃ powder, incubated at field moisture capacity in plastic bags, were stored at room temperature for 30 days. After 30 days, sub-samples were taken for laboratory analysis. The selected chemical analyses were: pH, TAc, exch-Ac, exch-Al⁺³, Al-saturation, CEC, ECEC, and base saturation.

The following summary statements can be made concerning those comparisons.

1. The rate of pH change per CaCO₃ increment varies among soils. The scatter diagrams of CaCO₃ levels and pH show that each soil exhibits several buffering capacity ranges within the CaCO₃ levels used, indicating that the higher the exch-Al⁺³ content the higher the amount of lime required to raise soil-pH to the desired pH value.

2. Liming to a desired $pH_{(H_20)}$ of 5.5 causes a drastic reduction in TAc, exch-Al⁺³, Al-saturation, and exch-Ac.

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

1

The drastic reduction of these soil properties exhibit curvilinear characteristics. The higher the exch-Al+3 the higher the reduction rate per unit drop in pH below 5.5, and the differences in exch-Al+3 or Al-saturation among the soils are greatly deminished or nullified at soil pH (H_0) 5.5 or higher. Only 5 percent of exchange sites are occupied by aluminum at soil-pH (H20) 5.5 or 92.5 percent of exch-Al⁺³ is neutralized.

3. Titratable acidity or permanent charge acidity and partially pH-dependent charge acidity are deprotonated by liming, resulting in additional net negative sites. Thus, the CEC or base saturation increases accordingly. Base awigya saturation based on CEC increases linearly with increasing pH within the CaCO, levels used. The increase in base awigya saturation based on ECEC exhibits an assymptotic relationship with increasing pH. The higher the exch-Al⁺³ content of the soil the higher is the reduction of BS, per unit pH drop below 5.5; at soil pH (H20) 5.5 and soil-pH (H20) 6.0, the effective base saturation reaches 82.5 and 92.5 percent, respectively.

The second objective was to devise one or more lime requirement (LR) test(s) based on selected soil properties or made some modification of the existing buffer methods. The buffering curves of incubated soil samples with CaCO3 for 30 days and for 12 months were used to derive the CaCO3-determined LR test values.

The CaCO3-determined LR W aya

en an WINAVA we an

at desired soil-pH_(H20) 5.5 and 6.0 were used as the reference LR test, and were assigned as the $CaCO_3-LR-pH_{(H_20)}5.5$ and $CaCO_3-LR-pH_{(H_20)}6.0$. The other lime requirement tests were: SMP-LR-pH_{6.0}, SMP-DB-LR-pH_{6.0}, Yuan-DB-LR-pH_{6.0}, and the LR test based on soil properties. The soil properties were obtained from the original soils. The regression equations relating to these LR tests with the reference LR tests were also determined. The significant contribution of soil properties to LR was evaluated by simple and multiple regression analyses.

Repository Universitas E117 vijava

The following summary statements can be made concerning these comparisons.

1. Either CaCO₃ or Ca(OH)₂ of pure reagent grade powder is equally good as a liming material for determining the reference LR tests for testing the reliability of the other lime requirement tests.

 The CaCO₃-LR-pH_{(H20)5.5} or 6.0 is highly correlated with the corresponding CaCO₃-LR-pH_{(KC1)2} or 1. The correlation coefficients are 0.989^{**} or 0.999^{**}, respectively.
 The SMP-LR-pH_{6.0} as determined from the original table of Shoemaker <u>et al</u> (1961) correlates better with the two reference LR tests for acid mineral soils having Al-saturation less than 30 percent than for acid mineral soils having aluminum saturation higher than 30 percent.

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

4. The SMP-DB-LR-pH_{6.0} is less suitable for estimating lime requirement of the soils than the SMP-LR-pH_{6.0}. The correlation coefficient is 0.830^{**} versus 0.973^{**} , respectively. The Yuan-DB-LR-pH_{6.0} is also less suitable than the SMP-LR-pH_{6.0}. Furthermore, both double methods require laborous laboratory work and calculations.

Repository Universitas E118 VIJaya

5. Percentage organic matter is the dominant contributor ot LR for acid mineral soils having Al-saturation less than thirty percent, followed by exch-Ac and nonexch-Al. The corresponding coefficient of determination of the best fit multiple regression equations are smaller than the SMP-LR $pH_{6.0}$ relationships to both reference LR tests. Thus, the best fit regression equations to assess LR of acid mineral soils having Al-saturation less than 30 percent are:

 $LR_{pH}(H_{2}0)5.5 = -1.34 + 0.71 SMP-LR-pH_{6.0} (r = 0.960^{**})$

 $LR_{pH}(H_2^0)6.0 = -0.47 + 0.90 \text{ SMP}-LR-pH_{6.0} (r = 0.974^{**})$

6. Exchangeable aluminum is the dominant contributor to lime requirement of acid mineral soils having Al-saturation higher than 30 percent. The corresponding correlation coefficients are higher than the SMP-LR-pH_{6.0} correlation coefficients with the same reference LR tests. Therefore, the best fit regression equations to assess LR of acid mineral soils having Al-saturation higher than 30 percent are: UNIVERSITAS BRAWIJA

REPOSITORY, UB. AC.ID

POSITORY.UB.AC.ID

UNIVERSITAS BRAWIJAY/ $E^{R}_{pH} = 5.71 + 0.70 \text{ exch-Al}^{+3} (r = 0.971^{**})$

 $LR_{pH} = 7.53 + 0.85 \text{ exch-Al}^{+3} (r = 0.974^{**})$

The third objective was to evaluate dry matter yield, and nutrient uptake by corn plants (Zea mays L.) in response to lime application. Dry matter yield was also related to soil chemical property changes affected by lime application. To accomplish this objective, a greenhouse experiment was conducted using top- and subsurface-samples from different acid mineral soils. Each soil received six levels of pure reagent grade CaCO3 powder, incubated at 100 percent field moisture capacity for 30 days, and then air dried. Basal nutrient solution was sprayed onto soil aggregates, and incubated at 100 percent FMC for an additional 10 days. Subsamples were taken for chemical analyses before the soils were put in 1.0 kg capacity plastic pots. Experimental awaya hybrid corn 17x16 was used, planted 1.5 cm deep and thinned to three plants per pot after emergence. The corn plants viava were harvested 35 days after planting, oven dried, ground, and analyzed. versitas Brawijaya

The following summary statements can be made concerning those responses.

Dry matter yields are significantly affected by CaCO₃
 applications. The yield response varies among soils used.
 The largest increase occurs with lower levels of CaCO₃
application, and the maximum response is obtained at higher levels within the 0.50 to 1.00 SMP-LR-pH_{6.0} unit range.

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Repository Universitas 120 vijava

2. The largest dry matter yield increase is obtained when soil-pH_(H₂0) is increased to 5.3, and the maximum yield response occurs within pH_(H₂0) 5.5 to 6.0

3. Nutrient uptake by corn plants starts to level off or decreases at $CaCO_3$ levels equivalent to 0.75 SMP-LR-pH_{6.0} unit or higher, and the magnitude of response varies among soils and among elements. The soils which show a leveling off or decreasing trend in nutrient uptake at higher $CaCO_3$ levels have pH_(H_20) values higher than pH_(H_20) 6.0

4. Dry matter yield is negatively related to 10⁻² M CaCl₂ extractable-Al content, exch-Al⁺³ content, and Al-saturation. The critical values obtained from the Cate-Nelson plots are 1.00 ppm Al⁺³, 0.75 me Al⁺³/100 g soil, and 17.0 percent, respectively. Each one of these soil-aluminum measures can be utilized as a "safeguard" for liming acid mineral soils in Indonesia

5. As would be expected from the reciprocal relationship of exch-Al⁺³ and exch-bases, dry matter yields vary directly with this characteristics. When exch-(Ca+Mg) is 9.0 me/100g of soil or when the exchangeable $K/\sqrt{(Ca+Mg)}$ ratio is less 15.3 x 10⁻², a minimum of 80 percent of maximum dry matter yield of corn is obtained.

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

POSITORY.UB.A

1

6. The nutrient uptake efficiency index evaluation shows that CaCO₃ application equivalent to 0.75 SMP-LR-pH_{6.0} unit resulted an average increase of 150 percent in N, P, K, Mg, Zn, Cu, and Al uptake by corn plants at the 30 day growing period. Calcium uptake efficiency index is increased up to 800 percent, while that of Mn is reduced slightly.

Corollary to the above objectives was the need to evaluate root growth and development of corn plants in response to CaCO, increments and depth of CaCO, application. A greenhouse experiment was conducted using two different acid mineral soils; each was sampled from 0-30 and 30-60 cm soil depth, and designated as top- and subsurface-layers, ava respectively. The untreated air dried subsurface layer soil samples were placed in locally made plywood pots at depths of 30-50 cm. The top-layer soil samples were placed on top of the subsurface soil at depth of 0-20 cm after receiving a factorial combination of lime and depth of lime treatments. The CaCO₃ levels were equivalent to 0.00, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, and 1.00 SMP-LR-pH 0.0 units, respectively. The depth of CaCO, application were 0-10 and 0-20 cm, respectively. Incubation with CaCO3 lasted for 30 days and an additional ten days of incubation allowed after basal nutrient solution application. Sub-samples were taken before placing the soils in the assigned pots. Five hundred milliliters of deionezed water was added daily. The soils were planted with experimental hybrid corn 17x16, one plant at the center and one

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

REPOSITORY, UB. AC. ID

UNIVERSITAS B D A V I I A V plant at 5 cm from the glass window. The plants near the glass window were harvested at 35 days and those at the center were harvested at 45 days after planting, respectively. Root growth and development were qualitatively studied during the 45 day period by visual observation through the glass window. Whenever no observation being done, the glass window was covered with a black plastic sheet. At the end of the 45 day period, the glass windowed side wall was opened, soil aggregates were seperated from root surfaces by a gentle tap-water spraying. Several pictures were then taken.

The following summary statements can be made concerning the experiment.

 Dry matter yields are higher when CaCO₃ is incorporated ya at 0-20 cm than at 0-10 cm soil depth.

2. The largest dry matter yield response is obtained when soil-pH_(H₂0) is raised to 5.32, and the highest yield response occurs within soil-pH_(H₂0) 5.5 to 6.0.

3. Root growth in the unlimed soils is severely restricted to the upper 5 cm soil layer. Those which are able to penetrate to the unlimed subsurface layer exhibit typical Altoxicity symptoms: severe stunting and thickening of roots, and suppression of lateral roots, resulting in a knobby ap-

H

pearance.

Repository Universitas 122 wijaya

4. There seems to be no substantial Ca movement into the unlimed subsurface soils during the 45 day growing period.

Repository Universitas 123 VIIa

5. The importance of deep placement of lime is clearly indicated. Therefore, whenever possible, lime incorporation to 30 cm is strongly recommended to reduce the barrier function of aluminum to root growth in the subsurface soil

Corollary to the four mentioned objectives was the need to look into lime effect on corn grain yields under natural environmental condition. To accomplish this, field experiments were conducted on a Latosol from Darmaga and a Podzolic soil from Jonggol. On the Latosol from Darmaga, the treatment consisted of six levels of calcitic limestone, equivalent to 0.125, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, 1.00, and 1.25 SMP-LR-pH test value; each plot received basal fertilizers of 100 kg N, 250 kg P, 45 kg Mg per hectare. The experimental design used was a completely randomized block with eight ava replications. On the Podzólic soil from Jonggol, a factorial combination of P and lime were studied. Phosphorus as the main treatment, applied at three different rates, 75, 150, and 225 kg P/Ha, respectively. Calcitic limestone was the sub-treatment, and was applied at six different rates (0.00, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, 1.00, and 1.25 SMP-LR-pH_{6.0} units). This split-plot experiment had three replicates. Each plot received basal fertilizers of 100 kg N and 150 kg K per Ha.

The calcitic limestone used had 80 mesh fineness and its

A3

tEPOSITORY.UB.ACID

Ŧ

calcium carbonate equivalent value was 98 percent. It was broadcast and incorporated to a depth of 15 cm two weeks before planting. The basal fertilizer was applied broadcast and incorporated to a depth of 15 cm two days before planting. Three redomil-treated seeds of a high yielding corn variety H-6 were spaced 20 cm apart in the row, alter thinned to one plant per hill and 100 cm between rows or equivalent to 50 thousand population density per hectare. The corn plants were harvested 96 days after planting on the Latosol from Darmaga, and 105 days on the Podzolic soil

Repository Universitas B124

from Jonggol. Repository Universitas Brawijaya The following summary statements can be made concern-jaya ing the results.

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

1. Corn grain yield on the Latosol from Darmaga was significantly increased by lime application. The maximum yield was obtained with 8.10 ton calcitic limestone per hectare or equivalent to 0.75 SMP-LR-pH_{6.0} unit, and higher lime increments resulted in a significant reduction in yield

2. Corn grain yield on the Podzolic soil from Jonggol was significantly increased by lime application. The maximum yield was obtained with 9.64 ton calcitic limestone per hectare or equivalent to 0.50 SMP-LR-pH_{6.0} unit, and higher lime increments resulted in a significant reduction in

yield.sitory Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya

DSITORY,UB.AC.ID

Repository Universitas 125wijaya 3. The overall results on both locations show that lime wava application equivalent to the 0.75 SMP-LR-pH_{6.0} test value should be considered as the maximum amount of lime needed waya to obtain optimum corn grain yield, and that liming beyond prawijaya this amount is potentially detrimental. tory Universitas Brawijaya BR UNIVERSITAS BRAWIJ/ Repository Universitas Brawijaya Repository Universitas Brawijaya UNIVERSITA BRAWI

LITERATURE CITED

tory Universitas Brawijaya

Abruna, F., J. Vincent-Chandler, and R. W. Pearson. 1964. Effect of liming on yields and composition of heavily fertilized grasses and on soil properties under humid tropical conditions. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 28: 657-661.

S. Silva. 1970. Crop response to soil acidity factors in Ultisols and Oxisols: I. Tobacco. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 34:629-634.

Response of Corn and Beans in Typical Ultisols and Oxisols of Puerto Rico. pp 261-282. In Bornemisza, E., and A. Avahydo (eds.). Soil Management in Tropical America, North Carolina State University, Raleigh.

Adams, F. and C. E. Evans. 1962. A rapid method for measuring lime requirement of Red-Yellow soils. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 26:355-357.

. and R. W. Pearson. 1967. Crop Response to Lime in the Southern United States and Puerto Rico. In Pearson, R. W. and F. Adams (eds.). Soil Acidity and Liming. Agron. Monog. 12:161-206.

Alexander, E. B. 1976. Cation-exchange capacity of acid soils using aluminum chloride and barium chloride-triethanolamine. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. J. 40:961-963.

Amedee, G. 1974. Liming of Highly Weathered Soils of the Tropics. Ph.D. Thesis, Cornell University, Department of Agronomy, Itaca, New York. p. 91.

Ames, J. W. and C. J. Schollenberger. 1916. Liming and lime requirement of soil. Ohio Agr. Exp. Sta. Bul. 306.

Anonymous. 1981. Penuntun Praktikum Dasar-Dasar Ilmu Tanah Departemen Ilmu-Ilmu Tanah, Fakultas Pertanian, Institut Pertanian Bogor.

Arminger, W. H., C. D. Foy, A. L. Fleming, and B. E. Caldwell. 1968. Differential tolerance of soybean varieties to acid soil high in exchangeable aluminum. Agron. J. 60: 67-70.

Ayres, A. S., H. H. Hagihara, and G. Stanford. 1965. Significance of extractable aluminum in Hawaiian Sugar Cane soils. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 29:387-392.

Barnisel, R. I. and C. J. Rich. 1963. Gibbsite formation from aluminum-interlayers. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 27:632-635. Baver, L. D. 1931. The Nature of Soil Buffering Action. J. Amer. Soc. Agron. 23:587-605.

Bhella, H. S. and M. D. Dawson. 1972. The use of anion exchange resin for determining available soil molybdenum. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 36:177-179.

Bhumbla, O. R. and E. O. McLean. 1965. Aluminum in Soils: VI. Changes in pH-dependent acidity, cation exchange capacity and extractable aluminum with additions of lime to acid surface soils. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 29:370-374.

- Black, A. C. 1968. Soil-Plant Relationships. Chapt. 5., Second Edition, John Wiley and Sons, Inc. p. 273-355.
- Broadbent, F. E. and G. R. Bradford. 1952. Cation exchange groupings in the soil organic fraction. Soil Sci. 74: 447-457.
- Buurman, P. and Junus Dai. 1976. Research on Podzolic Soils in Central and North Lampung (Sumatera) and Its Bearing on Agricultural Development. In Peat and Podzolic soils and their potential for agriculture in Indonesia. Proc. ATA 106 Midterm Seminar, Bul. 3, Soil Research Institute, Bogor, p. 117-149.

. L. Rochimah, and A. M. Sudihardjo. 1976. Soil Genesis on Acid Tuffs in Banten (West Java). In Peat and Podzolic soils and their potential for agriculture in Indonesia. Proc. ATA 106 Midterm Seminar, Bul. 3, Soil Reasearch Institute, Bogor, p. 151-172

- Camp, A. F. 1945. Zinc as nutrient in plant growth. Soil Sci. 60:157-164.
- Cate, R. B. Jr. and L. A. Nelson. 1971. A simple statistical procedure for partitioning soil test correlation data into two classes. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 35: 658-660.

Chapman, H. D. and P. F. Pratt. 1961. Methods of Analysis of Soils, Plants and Waters. Univ. of California, Div. of Agri. Sciences.

Coleman, N. T., E. J. Kamprath, and S. B. Weed. 1958. Liming. Adv. Agron. 10:475-522.

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Cation exchange capacity and R. J. McCracken. 1959. Piedmont soils of North Carolina. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 23:146-149.

of Soil Azidity. In Pearson, R. W. and F. Adams (eds.) Soil Azidity and Liming. Agron. Monog. 12:1-41.

+

Corey, R. B., A. E. Ludwick, and W. R. Kussow. 1971. Soil Testing: Theory and Practice. Dep. Soil Sci. Univ. of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin.

de Villiers, J. M. and M. L. Jackson. 1967. Aluminous chlorite origin of pH-dependent exchange capacity variation. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 31:614-619.

Driessen, P. M., P. Buurman, and Permadhy. 1976. The Influence of Shifting Cultivation on a "Podzolic" soil from Central Kalimantan. In Peat and Podzolic soils and their potential for agriculture in Indonesia. Proc. ATA 106 Midterm Seminar, Bul. 3, Soil Research Institute, Bogor. p 95-115.

Epstein, E. and P. R. Stout. 1957. The micronutrient cations iron, manganese, zinc, and copper: Their uptake by plants from the absorbed state. Soil Sci. 72:47-65.

Evans, C. E. and E. J. Kamprath. 1970. Lime response as related to percent aluminum saturation, solution aluminum, and organic matter content. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 34:893-896.

Fox, R. H. 1979. Soil pH, aluminum saturation and corn grain yield. Soil Sci. 127:330-334.

Foy, C. D. 1964. Toxic factors in acid soils of the Southeastern United States as related to the response of alfafa to lime. U.S.D.A. Prod. Res. Rpt. 80.

Funchess, M. J. 1918. The development of soluble manganese in acid soils as influenced by certain nitrogenous fertilizers. Ala. Agri. Exp. Sta. Bul. 207.

Gallez, A., A. S. R. Juo, and A. J. Herbillon. 1976. Surface and charge characteristics of selected soils in the tropics. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. J. 40:601-608.

Ghani, M. O. and S. A. Aleen. 1942. Effect of liming on the transformation of phophorus with particular reference to the effect of lime. Indian J. Agri. Sci. 12: 873-882.

Halstead, R. L., J. M. Lapensee, and K. C. Ivarson. 1963. Mineralization of soil organic phosphorus with particular reference to the effect of lime. Canad. J. soil Sci. 43:97-106.

Hartwell, B. L. and F. R. Pember. 1918. The presence of aluminum a s a reason for the difference in the effect of so-called acid soil on barley and rye. Soil Sci. 6: 259-279.



H

Repository

Hellings, C. S., G. Chester, and R. B. Corey. 1964. Contribution of organic matter and clay to soil exchange capacity as affected by the pH of the saturating solution. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 28:517-520.

Repository Universitas E129/II

Hodgson, J. F., W. L. Lindsay, and J. F. Trierweiler. 1966. Micronutrient cation complexing in soil solution. II. Complexing of zinc and copper in displaced solution from calcareous soils. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 30: 723-726.

Hopkins, C. G., W. H. Knox, and J. H. Petitt. 1903. A quantitative method for determining the acidity of soils. U.S. Bur. Chem. Bul. 73:114-126.

Hoyt, P. B. and M. Nyborg. 1971. Toxic metals in acid soil. I. Estimation of plant-available aluminum. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 35:236-241.

Hsu, P. H. and T. F. Bates. 1964. Fixation of hydroxy aluminum polymers by vermuculite. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 28:763-769.

Hunt, J. P. 1963. Metal Ions in Aqueous Solution. In Benjamin, W. A. (ed.) Inc., New York, Chapt. 4.

Hutchison, F. E. and A. S. Hunter. 1970. Exchangeable aluminum levels in two soils as related to lime treatment and growth of six crop species. Agron. J. 62: 702-704.

Jackson, M. L. 1958. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood, Cliffs., New York.

ing principle in Soil Science. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 27:1-10.

Jenny, H. 1961. Reflection on the acidity merry-go-round. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 25:428-432.

John, M. K. 1972. Influence of soil properties and extractable zinc and zinc availability. Soil Sci. 113: 222-227.

Juo, A. S. F. (ed.) 1979. Selected Methods for Soil and Plant Analysis. Manual Series no. 1. Intern. Institute of Tropical Agriculture (I.I.T.A.), Ibadan, Negeria, p 1-70.

Juo, A. S. R. and J. C. Ballaux. 1977. Retention and leaching of nutrients in a limed Ultisol under cropping. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. J. 41:757-761.

Kaila, A. 1961. Effect of incubation and liming on the phosphorus fraction in soil. Mastalaustieteelingen Aikakaukirja 33:185-193.

H

Kaila, A. 1965. Some phosphorus test values and fractions of inorganic phosphorus in soils. Mastalaustieteelingen Aikakauskirja 37:175-185.

Repository Universitas E130

Kamprath, E. J. 1970. Exchangeable aluminum as a criterion for liming leached mineral soils. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 34:252-254.

liming highly weathered soil to neutrality. Soil and Crop Sci. Soc. Fla. 31:200-203.

______. 1972. Soil Acidity and Liming. p 137-149. In Drosdoff, M. (Chairman). Soils of the humid tropics. National Acad. of Science, Washington, DC.

Keeney, D. R. and R. B. Corey. 1963. Factors affecting the Value requirements of Wisconsin soils. Soil Sci. Soc. Maya Amer. Proc. 27:277-280.

Kelley, W. P. 1926. A general discussion of base exchange in soils. J. Amer. Soc. Agron. 18:450-458.

Kilmer, V. T. and L. T. Alexander. 1949. Methods of making mechanical analysis of soils. Soil Sci. 68:15-24.

Kleinbaum, D. G. and L. L. Kupper. 1978. Applied Regression Alalysis and Other Multivariable Methods. Duxbury Press, North Scituate, Massachusetts, Chapt. 15:227-234.

Lathwell, D. J. (ed.) 1979. Crop response to liming of Ultisols and Oxisols. Cornell Intern. Agri. Bul. 35:1-35.

Lignon, W. S. and W. H. Pierre. 1932. Soluble aluminum studies. II. Minimum condentration of aluminum found to be toxic to corn, sorghum, and barley in solution culture. Soil Sci. 34:307-322.

Lin, C. and N. T. Coleman. 1960. The measurement of exchangeable aluminum in soils and clays. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 24:444-446.

Lucas, R. E. 1942. Reliability of lime requirement calculations based on the rapid copper method for exchange capacity. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 7:362-367.

McLean, E. O. 1970. Lime requirements of soils - inactive toxic substances or favorable pH range. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 34:363-364.

liming: Chemical and Physical. Soil and Crop Sci. Soc. Fla. 31:189-199.

Some effects of aluminum on lime requirement tests of soils. Trans. Intern. Cong. Soil Sci. 7th Cong., Madison, Wisconsin 2:142-151.

REPOSITORY, UB. AC.ID

+

McLean, E. O., W. R. Hourigan, H. E. Shoemaker, and D. R. Bhumbla. 1964. Aluminum in soils. 5. Form of aluminum as a cause of soil acidity and a complication on its measurement. Soil Sci. 99:119-126.

., D. C. Reicosky, and C. Lakshmanan. 1965. Aluminum in soils. 7. Interrelationship of organic matter, liming, and extractable aluminum with "permanent charge" (KCl), and pH-dependent cation exchange capacity of top-soils. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 29:374-378.

A comparison of several methods of determining lime requirements of soils. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 30:26-30.

weiler. 1978. An improved SMP Lime Requirement Method incorporating double-buffer and quick-test feature. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. J. 42:311-316.

McLean, A. J., R. L. Halstead, and J. Finn. 1972. Effect of lime on extractable aluminum and other soil properties and on barley and alfafa grown in pot tests. Canad. J. Soil Sci. 52:427-438.

McLeod, L. B. and L. P. Jackson. 1967. Water soluble and exchangeable aluminum in acid soils as affected by liming and fertilization. Canad. J. Soil Sci. 47: 203-210.

McPhail, M., A. L. Page, and F. T. Bingham. 1972. Adsorption interaction of monosilicic and boric acid on hydrous oxides of iron and aluminum. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 15:122-127.

Mehlich, A. 1938. Use of triethanolamine acetate barium hydroxide buffer for the determination of some base exchange properties and lime requirement of soil. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 3:162-166.

. 1941. Base unsaturation and pH in relation to soil type. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 6:150-156.

saturation and pH in relation to soil differences. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 7:167-174.

. 1942b. Base saturation and pH in relation to liming and nutrient conservation of soil. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 7:353-361.

_____. 1948. Determination of cation and anion exchange properties of soils. Soil Sci. 65:429-445.

ository Universitas Brawnava

Mendez, J. and E. J. Kamprath. 1978. Liming of Latosols and the effect on phosphorus response. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 42:86-88.

-1

Pratt, P. F. 1966. Aluminum. p 3-12. In Chapman. H. D. (ed.). Diagnostic Criteria for Plants and Soils. Univ. of California Press, Berkeley, Calif.

and R. Alvahydo. 1966. Cation exchange characteristics of soils of Sao Paulo, Brazil. Bul. 31, IRI Research Institute, New York.

Ragland, J. L. and N. T. Colemen. 1960. The hydrolysis of aluminum salts in clay and soil systems. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 24:457-460.

Rainwater, F. H. and L. L. Thatcher. 1960. Methods for collection and analysis of water samples. Geo. Survey and water supply paper 1454:97-100.

Reeve, N. G. and M. E. Sumner. 1970. Lime requirement of Natal Oxisols based on exchangeable aluminum. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 34:595-598.

Rios, M. A. and R. W. Pearson. 1964. The effect of some chemical environmental factors on cotton root behavior. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 28:232-235.

Ross, G. J., K. Lawton, and B. G. Ellis. 1964. Lime requirement related to physical and chemical properties of nine Michigan soils. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 28:209-212.

Roussopoulos, N. C. (F.). 1956. The buffer capacity and correction of acidity of soils. Ann. Agron. Paris. 7:147-157.

Russell, E. W. 1961. Soil Conditions and Plant Growth. Weley Publishing Corp., New York.

Sanchez, P. A. 1976. Properties and Management of Soils in the Tropics. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York.

Satari, A. M. and A. C. Orvedal. 1968. Working Group II. Intensification of production: Soil, water, fertilizers, and improved agricultural tools. Lembaga Ilmu Pengetahuan Indonesia and National Academy of Science, USA. 2:29-50.

Sawhney, B. L., C. R. Frink, and D. E. Hill. 1970. Components of pH-dependent cation exchange capacity. Soil Sci. 109:272-278.

Scarsbrook, C. E. 1965. Nitrogen availability. Chapt. 13. In Bartholomew, W. V. and F. E. Clark (eds.). Soil nitrogen. Agron. Monog. 10. Amer. Soc. Agron., Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, p 481-502.

Schmehl, W. R., M. Peech, and R. Bradfield. 1950. Causes of poor growth of plants on acid soils and beneficial effect of liming. Soil Sci. 70:393-410. Schnitzer, M. and S. I. M. Skinner. 1964. Organo-metallic interactions in soils. 3. Properties of iron and aluminum organic matter complexes prepared in the laboratory and extracted from a soil. Soil Sci. 98:198-204.

interactions in soils. 4. Carboxyl and hydroxyl groups in organic matter and metal retention. Soil Sci. 99:278-284.

Schofield, R. K. 1933. Rapid methods of examining soils. II. The use of paranitrophenol for assessing lime status. J. Agri. Sci. 23:252-254.

Schwertmann, U. and M. L. Jackson. 1963. Hydrogen-aluminum clays. A third buffer range appearing in potentiometric titration. Science 139:1052-1054.

Setijono, Slamet. 1974. Lime requirement tests for some Indonesian acid soils. M.Sc. Thesis, Univ. of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin, p 1-108.

Setzer, J. 1940. An example of estimation of crops possibility in analyzed soil. Bot. Sre. Brasileira Agron. 3:374-420.

Sherman, G. D. and C. K. Fujimoto. 1946. The effect of the use of lime, soil fumigants, and mulch on the solubility of manganese in Hawaiian soils. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 11:146-149.

Shoemaker, H. E., E. O. McLean, and P. F. Pratt. 1961. Buffer methods for determining lime requirement of soils with appreciable amounts of extractable aluminum. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 25:274-277.

Shukla, U. C. 1972. Effect of lime, phosphorus, and some fertilizer compounds on zinc availability in soil of Southern United States. Agrochemica 16:435-442.

Siman, A., F. W. Cradock, J. J. Nicholls, and H. C. Kirton. 1971. Effects of calcium carbonate and ammonium sulphate on manganese toxicity in an acid soil. Aust. J. Agri. Res. 22:201-214.

Sims, J. R. and F. T. Bingham. 1968. Retention of boron by layer silicates, sesquioxides and soil material. II. Sesquioxide. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 32:364-369.

Sitorus, S. R. P. 1972. Pengaruh pengapuran, pemupukan P dan K terhadap P tersedia dan hasil tanaman kedele (glycine max Merr.) pada tanah Latosol Coklat Kemerahan Darmaga. Tesis - Departemen Ilmu Tanah, IPB.

Soares, W. V., E. Labato, E. Gonzales, and G. C. Naderman, Jr. 1975. Liming soils of the Brazilian Cerrado. p 283-299. In Bornemisza, E. and A. Alvarado (eds.). Soil Management in Tropical America. North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina. Soewandi. 1976. Hubungan penempatan kalsium terhadap perkembangan akar dan produksi kacang tanah (Arachis hypogaea L.) varietas Gajah, Macan dan Kijang. Tesis -Departemen Ilmu Tanah, IPB.

Steel, R. G. D. and J. H. Torrie. 1960. Principles and Procedures of Statistics. With special reference to the biological sciences. p 284, McGraw-Hill Book company, Inc.

Thomas, G. W. 1975. Organic matter versus exchangeable aluminum in acid soil. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 39:591.

Tisdale, S. W. and W. L. Nelson. 1975. Soil Fertility and Fertilizers. Third Ed., McMillan Publishing Company, New York.

Truog, E. 1916. The cause and nature of soil acidity with special regard to colloids and adsorption. J. Phys. Chem. 20:452-484.

Uehara, G. and J. Keng. 1975. Management implications of soil mineralogy in Latin America. p 351-363. In Bornemisza, E. and A. Alvahydo (eds.). Soil Management in Tropical America. North Carolina State Univ., Raleigh, North Carolina.

van Raij, B. and M. Peech. 1972. Electrochemical properties of some Oxisols and Alfisols of the tropics. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 36:587-593.

Velez, J. and W. G. Blue. 1971. Effect of lime on extractable iron and aluminum and phosphorus sorption in a tropical and a temperate soil. Soil and Crop Sci. Soc. Fla. 31:169-173.

vlamis, J. 1953. Acid soil infertility as related to blaw ava soil solution and solid phase effects. Soil Sci. 75: 1943 383-394.

Volk, V. V. and M. L. Jackson. 1963. Inorganic pH-dependent cation exchange charge of soils. Clay and Clay Mineralogy. Proc. 12th Conf. p 281-285. Pergamon Press, New York.

Woodruff, C. M. 1947. Determination of exchangeable hydrogen and lime requirement by means of the glass electrode and a buffer solution. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 12:141-142.

ny means of a buffered solution and the glass electrode. Soil Sci. 66:53-63. Yuan, T. L. 1960. Soil acidity and aluminum status as affected by some neutral salts. Seventh Trans. Intern. Congr. of Soil Sci., Madison, Wisconsin 11.21, p 152-160.

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

Repository Universitas Brawijaya

. 1974. A double buffer method for the determination of lime requirement of acid soils. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 38:437-440.

relationship in the double buffer method for lime requirement determination. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. J. 40: 800-802.

. and J. G. A. Fiskell. 1959. Aluminum studies. 2. The extraction of aluminum from some Florida soils. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 23:202-206.

Zelazny, L. W. and F. G. Calhoun. 1971. Mineralogy and associated properties of tropical and temperate soil in the western hemisphere. Soil and Crop Sci. Soc. Fla. 31:197-199.

REPOSITO

.....