

## **ABSTRACT**

Wijianto, Agung. 2012. **Comparative Archetypal Study on Ragnarok and Christianity Apocalypse.** Study Program of English, Faculty of Cultural Studies University of Brawijaya. Supervisor: Yusri Fajar; Co-supervisor: Scarletina Vidyayani

**Keywords:** Ragnarok, Apocalypse, archetypes, motifs.

The stories about the end of the world always attract human interests. This is proven by the fact there are a lot of works that illustrate the scenarios of the End of the World. These works may be found times to times either from myths, cultures, or simply fictions. Among of all of those works, Ragnarok from Norse myth and Apocalypse from Christianity are possibly the most intriguing stories. It will be constructive to compare these two stories by using the means of archetypes. This archetypal comparison will lead to the understanding of the deep implication that both stories correspond.

Archetypal approach is an approach that studies the universal symbols called archetypes and plots called motifs contained within the story. These archetypes will serve greatly as the tool of analysis since the universality of these symbols can be applied to both works. The analysis of the archetypes will contain two categories which are the motifs and the archetypal characters.

Throughout the study, it has been found that despite the cultural and background differences of Ragnarok and Apocalypse, these two works contain universal plots and universal characters in them. It cannot be denied there are so many similarities on the terms of the archetypes. Both of the works use same motifs underlying in the plot. The same implications of the portrayal of the villain also prove to be remarkable.

Ragnarok and Apocalypse, two works of different origins and cultures, contained so many similarities judging from the archetypal point of view. To a certain degree, it is recommended to further study these two works using historical approach. The comparison of how historical background affects the content of these two stories will be useful for a literary study.

## ABSTRAK

Wijianto, Agung. 2012. **Comparative Archetypal Study on Ragnarok and Christianity Apocalypse.** Program Studi Bahasa Inggris, Fakultas Ilmu Budaya Universitas Brawijaya. Pembimbing I: Yusri Fajar; Pembimbing II: Scarletina Vidyayani

Kata Kunci: Ragnarok, Apocalysse, arketipe, motif

Cerita tentang Akhir dari Dunia selalu menarik perhatian manusia. Ini bisa dibuktikan dengan adanya fakta bahwa ada banyak karya yang mengillustrasikan Akhir dari Dunia. Karya-karya ini bisa ditemukan dari waktu ke waktu baik dari mitos, budaya, atau fiksi sederhana. Dari semua karya-karya tersebut, Ragnarok dari mitos bangsa Norse dan Apocalypse dari Kekristenan mungkin adalah cerita yang paling menarik. Perbandingan dua cerita ini dengan menggunakan sarana arketipe akan berguna. Perbandingan arketipe ini akan mengarah pada pemahaman implikasi yang lebih dalam yang dikemukakan oleh dua karya ini.

Pendekatan arketipe adalah pendekatan yang mempelajari tentang simbol-simbol universal yang disebut arketipe dan plot yang disebut dengan motif yang terkandung di dalam cerita. Arketipe ini akan sangat berguna sebagai alat analisa dikarenakan universalitas dari simbol-simbol ini dapat diterapkan di dua karya ini.

Analisa dari arketipe ini meliputi dua kategori yaitu motif and karakter arketipe.

Dari studi ini ditemukan bahwa meskipun Ragnarok and Apocalypse berasal dari budaya dan latar belakang yang berbeda, dua karya ini mengandung plot universal dan karakter universal di dalamnya. Hal yang tidak dapat dipungkiri lainnya adalah temuan bahwa banyak kemiripan yang terkandung di dalamnya dalam hal arketipe. Dua karya ini juga menggunakan motif yang sama di dalam dasar plot mereka. Implikasi yang sama dari penggambaran penjahat juga terbukti sebagai hal yang patut diperhatikan.

Ragnarok and Apocalypse, dua karya yang berasal dari asal dan budaya yang berbeda, memiliki banyak kemiripan dilihat dari pandangan arketipe. Dalam tingkat tertentu, studi selanjutnya untuk dua karya ini dianjurkan untuk menggunakan pendekatan sejarah. Pembandingan bagaimana latar belakang sejarah mempengaruhi isi dari kedua cerita akan berguna untuk studi sastra.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to the Lord Jesus, the Savior of my life, for giving me strength and fortitude in finishing this work. I could have never done this without Your blessing, the Almighty.

I would also like to thank my parents, without their moral and financial support and also your compassion, this work would never have been done. I also thank them for always sticking with me. And for my brothers and sisters, I would never forget your support and love for me. Furthermore, I would like to show my gratitude to my supervisors Yusri Fajar, M.A. and Scarletina Vidyayani, M. Hum. and also to my former co-supervisor Taufan Hendro Baskoro, S.S for giving advices and guidance throughout the process of working this thesis.

I am also extremely grateful for my friend, Yan Erick Parulian Sihombing, for always helping me in every way that he can. Not to forget Nicole J. K., you have been my source of inspiration and encouragement. I would also like to thank all of my friends of Sastra Inggris 2007 and 2008.

And last but not the least, I would also wish to express my gratitude to the Faculty of Cultural Studies lecturers. And also I would like to express my appreciation to the Dean, the Assistants of Dean and all of the staffs.

Hopefully, this thesis will be beneficial for further literary researchers, especially the students in Faculty of Cultural Studies

The Researcher

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|   |       |                       |
|---|-------|-----------------------|
| <b>TITLE PAGE</b>                                 | ..... | Universitas Brawijaya |
| <b>DECLARATION OF AUTHORSHIP</b>                  | ..... | ii                    |
| <b>SUPERVISORS' APPROVAL</b>                      | ..... | iii                   |
| <b>BOARD OF EXAMINERS CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL</b> | ..... | iv                    |
| <b>ABSTRACT</b>                                   | ..... | v                     |
| <b>ABSTRAK</b>                                    | ..... | vi                    |
| <b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>                           | ..... | vii                   |
| <b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>                          | ..... | viii                  |
| <b>LIST OF APPENDIX</b>                           | ..... | ix                    |

## CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION

|                                   |   |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| <b>INTRODUCTION</b>               |   |
| 1.1 Background of the Study ..... | 1 |
| 1.2 Problems of the Study .....   | 6 |
| 1.3 Objectives of the Study ..... | 6 |

## CHAPTER II REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

|   |    |
|---|----|
| <b>CHAPTER II REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE</b>                                  |    |
| 2.1 Archetypal Criticism .....  | 7  |
| 2.1.1 Development of the Theory .....   | 7  |
| 2.1.2 Categories of Archetypes .....  | 12 |
| 2.2 The Significance of Archetypes in Comparing<br>Ragnarok and Apocalypse..... | 17 |
| 2.3 Previous Study .....  | 18 |

CHAPTER III FINDING AND DISCUSSION

|   |    |
|---|----|
| <b>TER III FINDING AND DISCUSSION</b>   |    |
| 3.1 Motifs in Ragnarok and Apocalypse .....                                   | 20 |
| 3.1.1 Motifs in Ragnarok .....  | 20 |
| 3.1.2 Motifs in Christianity Apocalypse .....                                 | 25 |
| 3.1.3 Comparing Motifs in Ragnarok and Apocalypse.....                        | 35 |
| 3.2 Archetypal Characters in Ragnarok and Apocalypse .....                    | 37 |
| 3.2.1 Archetypal Characters in Ragnarok .....                                 | 38 |
| 3.2.2 Archetypal Characters in Apocalypse.....                                | 40 |
| 3.2.2 Comparing the Archetypal Characters in<br>Ragnarok and Apocalypse ..... | 45 |

CHAPTER IV CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

|   |    |
|---|----|
| <b>TER IV CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION</b> |    |
| 4.1 Conclusion .....                    | 49 |
| 4.2 Suggestion .....                    | 50 |

## **REFERENCES**

**LIST OF APPENDIX**

APPENDIX 1 Berita Acara Bimbingan Skripsi ..... 54

